CMPS 101

Homework Assignment 2 Solutions

1. p.50: 3.1-1

Let f(n) and g(n) be asymptotically non-negative functions. Using the basic definition of Θ -notation, prove that $f(n) + g(n) = \Theta(\max(f(n), g(n)))$.

Proof:

Since f(n) and g(n) are asymptotically non-negative, there exists a positive constant n_0 such that $f(n) \ge 0$ and $g(n) \ge 0$ for all $n \ge n_0$. For such n we have

$$0 \le \max(f(n), g(n))$$

$$\le \min(f(n), g(n)) + \max(f(n), g(n))$$

$$\le 2 \cdot \max(f(n), g(n)).$$

But $f(n) + g(n) = \min(f(n), g(n)) + \max(f(n), g(n))$, so for all $n \ge n_0$ we have

$$0 \le 1 \cdot \max(f(n), g(n)) \le f(n) + g(n) \le 2 \cdot \max(f(n), g(n)).$$

Thus
$$f(n) + g(n) = \Theta(\max(f(n), g(n)))$$
, as required.

2. p.50: 3.1-3

Explain why the statement "The running time of algorithm A is at least $O(n^2)$ " is meaningless.

Solution:

This statement is true under all circumstances, hence it conveys no useful information, and is therefore meaningless. To illustrate, let T(n) be the running time of algorithm A. To say that T(n) is "at least $O(n^2)$ " is to say that T(n) is bounded below by a function that is bounded above (asymptotically) by n^2 . If T(n) in the class $O(n^2)$, then T(n) is bounded below by itself, which is bounded above asymptotically by n^2 , and hence the statement is true. If, on the other hand, T(n) is in the class $O(n^2)$, then $O(n^2)$, then $O(n^2)$ is bounded below by $O(n^2)$ is not comparable to $O(n^2)$ is bounded below by $O(n^2)$ is not comparable to $O(n^2)$ is bounded below by some positive constant, which is bounded above by $O(n^2)$ is greater than some, possibly small, positive number.) In all cases the statement is true, and therefore devoid of meaning.

3. p. 50: 3.1-4

Determine whether the following statements are true or false.

a.
$$2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$$

Solution: True since $2^{n+1} = 2 \cdot 2^n = \text{const} \cdot 2^n = O(2^n)$.

b.
$$2^{2n} = O(2^n)$$

Solution: False since $2^{2n} = (2^2)^n = 4^n = \omega(2^n)$ and since $\omega(2^n) \cap O(2^n) = \emptyset$.

4. p.58: 3-2abcdef

Indicate, for each pair of expressions (A, B) in the table below, whether A is O, o, O, o, or O of O. Assume that C > 1 are constants. Place 'yes' or 'no' in each of the empty cells below, and justify your answers.

	A	В	0	0	Ω	ω	Θ
a.	$\lg^k n$	n^{ε}	yes	yes	no	no	no
b.	n^k	c^n	yes	yes	no	no	no
c.	\sqrt{n}	$n^{\sin n}$	no	no	no	no	no
d.	2 ⁿ	$2^{n/2}$	no	no	yes	yes	no
e.	$n^{\lg c}$	$c^{\lg n}$	yes	no	yes	no	yes
f.	lg(n!)	$\lg(n^n)$	yes	no	yes	no	yes

Justifications:

- a. Applying l'Hopital's rule $\lceil k \rceil$ times, we get $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\lg n)^k}{n^{\varepsilon}} = 0$, whence $\lg^k n = o(n^{\varepsilon})$.
- b. Again, by $\lceil k \rceil$ applications of l'Hopital's rule, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^k}{c^n} = 0$, whence $n^k = o(c^n)$.
- c. Let c>0, and observe that $n^{\sin n}>c\sqrt{n}$ for $n=2\pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}$, where k is a sufficiently large positive integer. Therefore the inequality $n^{\sin n}\leq c\sqrt{n}$ is false for arbitrarily large n, and hence $n^{\sin(n)}\neq O(\sqrt{n})$. Also note that $n^{\sin n}< c\sqrt{n}$ for $n=2\pi k+\frac{3\pi}{2}$, where k is sufficiently large, and therefore the inequality $n^{\sin n}\geq c\sqrt{n}$ is false for arbitrarily large n, whence $n^{\sin(n)}\neq O(\sqrt{n})$.
- d. Observe $\lim_{n\to\infty} (2^n/2^{n/2}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (\sqrt{2})^n = \infty$, and therefore $2^n = \omega(2^{n/2})$.
- e. Recall it was shown in class that $n^{\lg c} = c^{\lg n}$.
- f. Note $\lg(n^n) = n \lg(n)$, and it was shown using Stirling's formula that $\lg(n!) = \Theta(n \lg(n))$.

5. p.58: 3-4cdeh

Let f(n) and g(n) be asymptotically positive functions (i.e. f(n) > 0 and g(n) > 0 for sufficiently large n.) Prove or disprove the following statements.

c. Assume $\lg(g(n)) \ge 1$ and $f(n) \ge 1$ for all sufficiently large n. Then f(n) = O(g(n)) implies $\lg(f(n)) = O(\lg(g(n)))$. **True**

Proof:

Let f(n) = O(g(n)). Then there exists positive c and n_0 such that $f(n) \le cg(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$. The above hypotheses say we can take n_0 large enough to also guarantee $f(n) \ge 1$ and $\lg(g(n)) \ge 1$ for $n \ge n_0$. Thus $1 \le f(n) \le cg(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Take \log_2 of this inequality to obtain

(*)
$$0 \le \lg(f(n)) \le \lg(c) + \lg(g(n)) = \left(\frac{\lg(c)}{\lg(g(n))} + 1\right) \lg(g(n)) \le (\lg(c) + 1) \lg(g(n)).$$

2

The last inequality is a consequence of $\lg(g(n)) \ge 1$, for this implies $1 \ge \frac{1}{\lg(g(n))}$, which implies

 $\lg(c) \ge \frac{\lg(c)}{\lg(g(n))}$. Define the constant $b = \lg(c) + 1$. Inequality (*) gives $0 \le \lg(f(n)) \le b \lg(g(n))$ for all $n \ge n_0$, showing that $\lg(f(n)) = O(\lg(g(n)))$, as claimed.

d. f(n) = O(g(n)) implies $2^{f(n)} = O(2^{g(n)})$. **False**

Counter-Example:

Let f(n) = 2n and g(n) = n. Then $2^{g(n)} = 2^n$ and $2^{f(n)} = 2^{2n} = 4^n = \omega(2^n)$, so $2^{f(n)} = \omega(2^{g(n)})$, and therefore $2^{f(n)} \neq O(2^{g(n)})$.

e. $f(n) = O((f(n))^2)$. **False**

Counter-Example:

Let
$$f(n) = 1/n$$
. Then $f(n) = \omega((f(n))^2)$ since $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{f(n)^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{f(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n = \infty$, and therefore $f(n) \neq O((f(n))^2)$.

h. $f(n) + o(f(n)) = \Theta(f(n))$. True

Proof:

In the above formula, o(f(n)) stands for some anonymous function h(n) in the class o(f(n)), whence $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{h(n)}{f(n)}=0$. Thus $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f(n)+h(n)}{f(n)}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(1+\frac{h(n)}{f(n)}\right)=1$, and $f(n)+h(n)=\Theta(f(n))$, as claimed.

6. Let $f(n) = \Theta(n)$. Prove that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(i) = \Theta(n^2)$. (See the hint at bottom of p.4 of the handout on asymptotic growth rates.)

Proof:

Since $f(n) = \Theta(n)$ there exist positive constants c_1 , c_2 , and n_0 such that for all $n \ge n_0$ the inequality $0 \le c_1 n \le f(n) \le c_2 n$ holds. In particular, given $n_0 \le i \le n$ we have $c_1 i \le f(i) \le c_2 i$. Upon summing these inequalities from $i = n_0$ to i = n we obtain

$$\sum_{i=n_0}^{n} c_1 i \le \sum_{i=n_0}^{n} f(i) \le \sum_{i=n_0}^{n} c_2 i$$

for any $n \ge n_0$. Define $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0-1} f(i)$. Then the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{1}i - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}-1} c_{1}i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(i) - A \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{2}i - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}-1} c_{2}i$$

Adding the constant A to all terms, and using the well known formula $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, we obtain

$$c_{1}\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) - c_{1}\left(\frac{n_{0}(n_{0}-1)}{2}\right) + A \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(i) \leq c_{2}\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) - c_{2}\left(\frac{n_{0}(n_{0}-1)}{2}\right) + A$$

Now observe that since c_1 , c_2 , n_0 , and A are constants, the left hand side is in the class $\Omega(n^2)$ and the right hand side is in $O(n^2)$, and this inequality holds for all $n \ge n_0$. In other words, the inequality $h_1(n) \le \sum_{i=1}^n f(i) \le h_2(n)$ holds for all sufficiently large n, where $h_1(n) = \Omega(n^2)$ and $h_2(n) = O(n^2)$. By an exercise in the handout on asymptotic growth of functions, we conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^n f(i) = \Theta(n^2)$, as required.