

Map Initializations

September 2, 2011

1 Introduction

In this section we discuss the matter of map initialization. From [1] we know that the compilation algorithm takes an aggregate query and defines a map for it, which represents the materialized view of the query. The algorithm creates a trigger for each possible update on an event, which will specify how to update the main query. To this operation, the algorithm computes the delta query, and creates a new map that will represent the materialized view of the delta. For example, if we have the following query q :

$$\text{SELECT sum}(a \cdot c) \text{ FROM } R(a,b), S(b,c) \text{ WHERE } R.b = S.b \quad (1)$$

The compilation algorithm takes this query, replaces it with a map $q[]$, and computes its deltas. We will have two events: onR , onS . For simplicity we take for now only the inserting operation. The trigger code will look as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &+onR(a, b) : \\ &\quad q[]+ = a * m_R[][b] \\ &\quad m_S[][b]+ = a \\ \\ &+onS(b, c) : \\ &\quad q[]+ = c * m_S[][b] \\ &\quad m_R[][b]+ = c \end{aligned}$$

In [1] a map is defined by as function which takes input values and produces output values. With this definition, we can consider the initialization as a process of computing the function’s output values for some new input values without computing the function body.

2 Definitions

DBToaster uses query language AGCA(which is stands for AGgregation CAculus). AGCA expressions are built from constants, variables, relational atoms, aggregate sums (Sum), conditions, and variable assignments (\leftarrow) using “+” and “.”. The abstract syntax can be given by the EBNF:

$$q := q \cdot q \mid q + q \mid v \leftarrow q \mid v_1 \theta v_2 \mid R(\vec{y}) \mid c \mid v \mid (M[\vec{x}][\vec{y}] :: -q) \quad (2)$$

The above definition can express all SQL statements. Here v denotes variables, \vec{x}, \vec{y} tuples of variables, R relation names, c constants, and θ denotes comparison operators ($=, \neq, >, \geq, <, \text{ and } \leq$). “+” represents unions and “.” represents joins. Assignment operator(\leftarrow) takes an query and assigns its result to a variable(v). A map $M[\vec{x}][\vec{y}]$ is a subquery with some input(\vec{x}) and output(\vec{y}) variables. It can be seen as a nested query that for the arguments \vec{x} produces the output \vec{y} . It is not defined in [1] but we add it here for the purpose of this work.

In [2] there is operator $\llbracket q \rrbracket(\mathcal{A})$ which evaluates a query regarding to database \mathcal{A} . With $\llbracket q \rrbracket(\mathcal{A})$, we mean the value of query q over database \mathcal{A} instead of the query q itself. For example by writing $q := 0$ we mean that the query q is constant number zero, but if we write $\llbracket q \rrbracket(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ it means that the evaluation of query q is zero on database \mathcal{A} .

The DBT domain(DBToaster Domain) of a variable is the set of values that it can take. The DBT domain of all the variables in a query expression can easily be computed recursively if some rules are respected. We will use throughout the entire paper the notation of $\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q)$ for the DBT domain of a set of variables, where q is the given query and \vec{x} is a vector representing the variables(not necessarily present in the expression q). We will start by saying that the $\vec{x} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \rangle$ will be the schema of all the variables and that $\vec{c} = \langle c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n \rangle$ will be the vector of all constants, that will match the schema presented by \vec{x} . It is not necessary that \vec{x} has the same schema as the given expression. We will give the definition

of $\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(R(\vec{y}))$:

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(R(\vec{y})) = \left\{ \vec{c} \mid \sigma_{\forall x_i \in (\vec{y}): x_i = c_i} R(\vec{y}) \neq \text{NULL} \right\} \quad (3)$$

Thus, we can evaluate $\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}$ for a broader range of \vec{x} and it is not restricted by the schema of the input query expression. In such cases DBT-dom is infinite as the not presenting variables in the query can take any value.

For the comparison operator $(v_1 \theta v_2)$, where v_1 and v_2 are variables, we can compute the DBT-dom as follows:

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(v_1 \theta v_2) = \left\{ \vec{c} \mid \forall i, j : (v_1 = x_i \wedge v_2 = x_j) \Rightarrow c_i \theta c_j \right\} \quad (4)$$

The DBT-dom of a comparison is infinite.

For the join operator we can write:

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1 \cdot q_2) = \{ \vec{c} \mid \vec{c} \in \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1) \wedge \vec{c} \in \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_2) \} \quad (5)$$

while for the union operator the DBT-dom definition is very similar:

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1 + q_2) = \{ \vec{c} \mid \vec{c} \in \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1) \vee \vec{c} \in \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_2) \} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(\text{constant}) = \{ \vec{c} \} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(\text{variable}) = \{ \vec{c} \} \quad (8)$$

In (7) and (8) \vec{c} stands for all possible tuples match schema of \vec{x} , so the DBT-doms in these two cases are infinite. Finally, we can give a formalism for expressing the DBT-dom of a variable that will participate in an assignment operation:

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(v \leftarrow q_1) = \left\{ \vec{c} \mid \vec{c} \in \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1) \wedge (\forall i : (x_i = v) \Rightarrow (c_i = q_1)) \right\} \quad (9)$$

In fact, using the implication operator in the above definition allows us to extend the \vec{x} to whatever vector we want, as we already said the schema of \vec{x}

is not necessarily the same as the schema of q . We can define the **DBT-dom** of a map (for map's definition refer to [1], [2]) as follows:

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{w}}(\text{map}[\vec{x}][\vec{y}]) = \{\vec{c} | \vec{c} \in \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{w}}(\vec{x} \cup \vec{y})\} \quad (10)$$

As presented in the papers [1] and [2], maps are functions that are defined on a set of values and that will produce a result for each value of that set. The set of values will represent the **DBT-dom**, which were computed using the definitions presented so far. We can make a distinction between a complete map and an incomplete map. A complete map is characterized by the fact that each value of its **DBT-dom** have assigned a result, whilst an incomplete map is a map that will not have all the values of the **DBT-dom** and therefore neither the result for those values, on each insertion the incomplete map must compute the exact value of the tuple added to the **DBT-dom**.

In other words we can consider a complete map as a total function and an incomplete one as a partial function. A total function is a function that assigns a value to every element of its domain. But a partial function has some elements in its domain which have not been assigned to any value in its codomain.

We can express every expression of AGCA in a parse tree with EBNF 2. The root of parse tree represents the whole expression and its leaves are relations or comparisons. Each node can be regarded as a map and thus it has a **DBT-dom**. Any modification to the relations, the leaves are modified and this modification should be propagated upward through the parse tree. During the propagation process the **DBT-doms** of intermediate nodes may be changed.

3 Equijoins

We start talking about map initialization in the simplest of cases: queries represented by relations that are joined only by equalities.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SELECT sum}(\dots) \text{ FROM } R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n \text{ WHERE } R_i.x_{ik} = R_j.x_{jt} \quad (11) \\ (\forall i, j \in \{1..n\} \wedge i \neq j \wedge (x_{ik} \in \text{Sch}(R_i)) \\ \wedge (x_{jt} \in \text{Sch}(R_j))) \end{aligned}$$

When having only equality joins, the maps defined over expressions consisting of simple relations will have only output variables. Every variable will

be bounded to the relations and therefore their DBT-doms will depend on the values provided by these relations.

For query (11), the compilation algorithm will replace it with a map $q[\]$. When computing the delta regarding to a relation R_k , Δ_{R_k} , we have a map assigned to the delta. $m_{R_k}[\]$, where x_i, \dots, x_j are exactly as mentioned up, the variables that have to be replaced by values when an event onR_k will appear. The map can easily be compared with a query, which is simpler and also have a group by clause.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{SELECT } \dots \text{ FROM } R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{k-1}, R_{k+1}, \dots, R_n & (12) \\ & \text{WHERE } R_l.x_{lt} = R_m.x_{ms} \\ & (\forall l, m \in \{1 \dots n\} - \{k\} \wedge l \neq m) \\ & \text{GROUP BY } x_i, \dots, x_j \end{aligned}$$

Relation R_k have the following schema: $Sch(R_k) = x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$, but only some variables are going to be used for the communication with the other relations: x_i, \dots, x_j . We have the trigger $+onR_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and when such an event appears we test if the variables x_i, \dots, x_j from the arguments of $+onR$ are in the DBT-dom of the map or not.

We assume that the tuple is not in the DBT-dom of the map m_{R_k} and the initial value for the map regarding to that tuple will be different from 0.

$$m_{R_k}[\](x_i, \dots, x_j) \neq 0$$

If this is true then the query that can be generated for the map m_{R_k} , exactly like (12), produce a table, that have a record with the specified tuple, therefore the tuple is in the DBT-dom of the map, $\{x_i, \dots, x_j \in \text{DBT-dom}(m_{R_k})\}$. This contradicts the sentence we assumed at first. Furthermore, when invoking the query for the given values the result of the query will not be *NULL*, and therefore contradicting the fact the that tuple is not defined in the table and the query result should be *NULL*. And therefore any time, a new tuple that is not in the DBT-dom only provoke a zero initialization of the map for that tuple.

Taking into account the definitions of the AGCA expressions in (2), the following theorem works with a subset of those definitions, namely with:

$$q := q \cdot q|q + q|R(\vec{y})|(M[\vec{x}][\vec{y}]:-q)$$

Relation R_k has the following schema: $Sch(R_k) = x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$, but only some variables are going to be used for the communication with the other relations: x_i, \dots, x_j . We have the trigger $+onR_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and when such an event appears we will test if the variables x_i, \dots, x_j from the arguments of $+onR$ are in the DBT-dom of the map or not.

We assume that the tuple is not in the DBT-dom of the map m_{R_k} and the initial value for the map regarding to that tuple will be different from 0.

$$m_{R_k}[[x_i, \dots, x_j] \neq 0$$

If this is true then the query that can be generated for the map m_{R_k} :

```
SELECT ... FROM  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{k-1}, R_{k+1}, \dots, R_n$ 
WHERE  $R_l.a = R_m.a$ 
 $(\forall l, m \in \{1 \dots n\} - \{k\} \wedge l \neq m)$ 
GROUP BY  $x_i, \dots, x_j$ 
```

produces a table, that has a record with the specified tuple, therefore the tuple will be in the DBT-dom of the map, $\{x_i, \dots, x_j \in \text{DBT-dom}(m_{R_k})\}$. This contradicts the sentence we assumed at first.

Theorem 1. *The value of a map for a specific tuple, which is not in the DBT-dom of the map, will always be 0.*

$$\vec{y} \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q) \Rightarrow m_q[[\vec{y}] = 0$$

Proof. We will give a proof based on induction on the parse tree.

We presume that the map is defined over a simple relation: $m_R[[\vec{y}] := R(\vec{y})$. The vector \vec{y} from the output variables of the maps will correspond to the \vec{y} from the simple relation. Therefore the following statement is true: $\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(m_R[[\vec{y}]) = \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(R(\vec{y}))$.

If we add tuple $\vec{y}_1 = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$ to the relation R , we are going to have two situations:

1. $\vec{y}_1 \in \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(R(\vec{y}))$, therefore the DBT-dom of the relation remains the same and the map is already instantiated.

2. $\vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(R(\vec{y}))$. If the tuple is not in the DBT-dom, then

$$\text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(R(\vec{y})) \cup = \vec{y}_1 \text{ and } m_R[\vec{y}_1] = 0$$

because the order of multiplicity of that tuple in R was zero and thus any operation (sum, count) produces a NULL result.

Therefore, $\vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(R(\vec{y})) \Rightarrow m_R[\vec{y}_1] = 0$ and the base case is true.

First we talk about join relations and maps defined over a join of expressions: $m_q[\vec{y}] := q_1 \cdot q_2$. Definition 5 says that the DBT-dom of a join expression is the intersection between the DBT-doms of q_1 and q_2 . If $\vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1 \cdot q_2)$ then we will have the following cases:

1. $\vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1)$ which means that the map defined over the relation q_1 will be 0 for that tuple.

$$\left(((\llbracket q_1 \rrbracket(\cdot) = 0) \wedge (q := q_1 * q_2)) \Rightarrow (\llbracket q \rrbracket(\cdot) = 0) \right) \Rightarrow m_q[\vec{y}_1] = 0 \quad (13)$$

2. $\vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_2)$ the same proof as in the first case, but now for the expression q_2 .

Secondly we consider union expressions and maps defined over union expressions: $m_q[\vec{y}] :: q_1 + q_2$. Definition 6 says that the DBT-dom of a union expression is the union between the DBT-doms of q_1 and q_2 . Therefore, if $\vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1 + q_2)$ then: $\vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_1) \wedge \vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{x}}(q_2)$.

$$\left(((\llbracket q_1 \rrbracket(\cdot) = 0) \wedge (\llbracket q_2 \rrbracket(\cdot) = 0) \wedge (q := q_1 + q_2)) \Rightarrow (\llbracket q \rrbracket(\cdot) = 0) \right) \Rightarrow m_q[\vec{y}_1] = 0 \quad (14)$$

□

As the result of Theorem 1, we can consider Algorithm Compile in [1]. Algorithm Compile uses the initial value of map t as t_{init} . As a consequence of Theorem 1, we can say that whenever t consists of only equal joins we know that the t_{init} is always zero, otherwise we need to compute it as before.

Another problem of initial value computation, besides the problem of with which value should a map be initialized, is the problem of how fast to do the initialization. We have two different sorts of initializations: an eager one and a lazy one. The eager one initializes the right side of a trigger expression,

when the left side of the expression is initialized. The right side is initialized if and only if it needs initialization. And the lazy one is based on the fact that only the left side will be initialized, and the right side no, leaving the rest side of the initialization to be done when the appropriate trigger is called.

3.1 A practical usage in DBToaster

Our goal is to use Theorem 1 during the compilation process in DBToaster, so we need to integrate somehow the theorem in DBToaster compilation process. This section is completely connected with query compilation section(section 7) of [1]. Thus, we want to use Theorem 1 to enhance the algorithm $Compile(m, \vec{b}, t)$. Before continuing this part the reader should have read [1].

In algorithm $Compile(m, \vec{b}, t)$, they shows the initial value of expression t as t_{init} . So, we check if t is a simple query contains only equal joins then the initial value of it is always zero, otherwise we need to compute the initial value.

4 Simple inequalities

Joins between relations can be easily made also by using inequalities between the variables of those relations. For example, if we have the following query:

```
SELECT sum(a * d)
FROM R(a, b), S(c, d)
WHERE b < c
```

the result depends on the evaluation of the inequality $b < c$, where b comes from relation R and c comes from relation S .

The delta regarding to the relation R will be:

```
a * SELECT sum(d)
FROM S(c, d)
WHERE b < c
```

where the new query will be replaced by a map which will have an input variable $m_R[b][\]$. The DBT-dom of the map is given by the values offered by

relation R , however the result of the map is influenced by the value of c from the relation S .

The initial value of the map $m_R[b][\cdot]$ is influenced by the relation S . Therefore we will have the following situations:

1. the relation S is empty and therefore no value of c can be produced and thus the initialization of the map $m_R[b][\cdot]$ is always 0, because b cannot be compared with any value of c
2. if relation S is not empty then every update to relation R needs a check with every value c from S . Therefore we can say that the initial value of map $m_R[b][\cdot] = \sum_{\forall c > b} S(c, d) * d$

When relation S is not empty, the initialization of map $m_R[b][\cdot]$ can easily be maintained incrementally, because knowing a value of the map for a specific b , the other one can be easily deduced. We have $sum = m_R[b_1][\cdot]$, where b_1 is a value that we had to compute the result of the map from scratch. When adding a value b_2 to the relation R , we have the following cases:

1. $b_1 > b_2$ then $m_R[b_2][\cdot] = sum + \sum_{\forall c \text{ where } b_2 < c \leq b_1} S(c, d) * d$
2. $b_1 < b_2$ then $m_R[b_2][\cdot] = sum - \sum_{\forall c \text{ where } b_1 \leq c < b_2} S(c, d) * d$

Starting from this example we offer a generalization and a proof that the initial value of maps, when talking about joins done by inequalities, are going to be exactly like in the example.

5 A method for inequalities

In this section we are going to propose an algorithm for computing the initial value of a map for an input value which is not present in the **DBT-dom**. What we have presented in Theorem 1 is not true any more for queries with inequalities.

We will introduce the notion of graph dependency between the variables and afterwards present the algorithm for the value computation. Suppose we are given a map $m[\cdot][\cdot]$ and for it we want to know the value of $m[x'][\cdot]$. In other words, we want to know the initial value of a new input value x' for the specified map. In any expression which is represented by a map, we have 3 types of variables: input variables(IV), output variables(OV) and

intermediate variables(ITO). The intermediate variables are the variables which are not input nor output variables. We do not have any comparisons between input and output variables, except for equality. Thus, in this section we do not consider the equality operator as a comparison operator. We use x_i, y_j to show the input and intermediate variables. Now, suppose we have a comparison operator $v_1 \theta v_2$. There are 3 cases for v_1, v_2 :

- $v_1 = x_i, v_2 = x_j$, in other words, both of the variables in the comparison are from input variables. We do not need to worry about this case and we can easily ignore it, since we can enforce it by applying it to the input value.
- $v_1 = y_i, v_2 = y_j$, in other words, both of variables in the comparison are from intermediate variables. We do not need to consider this case either.
- $v_1 = x_i, v_2 = y_j$. When we have a comparison operator between an input and intermediate variable. This is the only case which we need to consider.

We can model the comparisons with bipartite graph $G(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, E)$ as follows. \mathcal{A} is the set of all input variables and \mathcal{B} is the set of all intermediate variables. For each comparison which contains an input variable and an intermediate variable, we add an edge between the corresponding vertices. This bipartite graph may contain some cycles.

5.1 Method

Before starting this subsection we have to stipulate that the following arguments and paragraphs are true as long as we do not have any “ \neq ” operators. In this section we are going to propose an efficient way to evaluate the initial value of a map for a new input value.

We build a Range Tree(or Kd-Tree) data structure (DS) over the intermediate variables. Let $\vec{x'}$ to be the new input value for which we want to initialize the map. Since the comparison operators contain the input variables and the value of input variables are fixed, thus, these values($\vec{x'}$) define a volume in the search space of the intermediate variables, in other words, the search space of the DS.

We just need to consider all the tuples in this space for answering the query which initialize m for $\vec{x'}$. This space may drastically reduce the search

space, specially for the initial value of zero when there is no tuple in the search space.

Lemma 1. *We can compute the initial value of a map for a new value in $O(\log^{d-1} n + k)$ where n is the number of tuples in the whole search space and k is the number of tuples induced by the input variables. d is the number of intermediate variables.*

Proof. As be said before, we build a range tree on the intermediate variables. Any region on this data structure can be identified in $O(\log^{d-1} n + k)$. \square

We can also use KD-tree data structure whose order is $O(n^{(1-s/d)} + k)$ where $s < d$ is the number of input variables.

5.2 Another Method

In this part we propose another method which in some situations is more efficient than the previous one. The previous method is based on building a data structure on the intermediate variables and with which we bind the search space. But this method is based on the fact that all queries are aggregates and the results of all of them are integers.

The core idea behind this method is exploiting the fact that the queries are aggregate. Suppose we want to evaluate a query over a set of tuples. We can incrementally introduce the tuples one by one and then verify the query over it. Here we can use a memoization method to reduce the cost of reevaluating the tuples.

We build a range tree (or again a Kd-tree) over the input variables. In other words the DS is defined over the input of the map. Suppose we want to know the initial value of \vec{x} . The tuple \vec{x} represents a point in the space of DS. In order to evaluate the map with the new point we can use the fact that each point in this space is evaluated over a volume of space and if we find another point which has already evaluated, we just need to evaluate the difference between the volumes.

6 Map initialization

The maps used for the materialized view of the delta queries, are functions which have two types of arguments: input variables and output variables.

Input variables are those variables that have the DBT-dom dependent on the relations that are not present in the underlying expression of the map. Whereas, output variables are variables that are bounded to the relations that appear in the underlying expression of the map.

For example:

$$m[\vec{x}][\vec{y}] :: q$$

is a map defined over the expression q . Expression q is defined by AGCA expressions in 2. Vector \vec{x} will represent the vector of input variables of map m , and these variables will depend on the DBT-doms of other relations. Vector \vec{y} will represent the vector of output variables of map m , and these variables will depend on the DBT-doms of the relations inside expression q .

We will start by giving a short example. If we have the following query and Δ regarding to R , written in DBToaster Calculus [1]:

$$q[] = R(a, b) \cdot S(b, c) \cdot T(c, d) \cdot (a < d)$$

$$\Delta_R(a', b') = S(b', c) \cdot T(c, d) \cdot (a' < d)$$

then $\Delta_R(a', b')$ will be replaced by a map which will have variable a as an input variable and variable b as an output variable: $m_R[a][b]$. This map will appear in the trigger of onR regarding to an update which is done to relation R

When an insertion is made to relation R , the map should be initialized with a value. However if the value for variable b is not in the $\text{DBT-dom}_b(m[a][b])$, the map will be initialized by 0, regardless of the fact that the map has an input variable.

Theorem 2. *A map with output variables will always be initialized with 0, when adding a tuple to the underlying expression of the map and the subtuple of the added tuple corresponding to the output variables is not in the DBT-dom of the map's output variables.*

$$m[\vec{x}][\vec{y}] :: q$$

$\vec{z}_1 = \langle \vec{x}_1, \vec{y}_1 \rangle$ the tuple that will be added to the map's expression q

where \vec{x}_1 corresponds to the input variables of the map m and \vec{y}_1 corresponds to the output variables of the map m

$$\vec{y}_1 \notin \text{DBT-dom}_{\vec{y}}(m[\vec{x}][\vec{y}]) \Rightarrow (m[\vec{x}_1][\vec{y}_1] = 0)$$

Proof. The proof is based on the Theorem 1, because equijoins will have only output variables. The property from the equijoins will be kept to maps with input and output variables.

Domains of output variables will always depend on relations that appear in the map's underlying expression q and therefore the **DBT-dom** can be easily computed. Exactly as we showed in Theorem 1, if the tuple is not in the **DBT-dom** then the initial value of the map is 0. \square

References

- [1] C. Koch, *Incremental Query Evaluation in a Ring of Databases*, preprint (2011).
- [2] O. Kennedy, Y. Ahmad, C. Koch. *DBToaster: Agile views for a dynamic data management system*. In CIDR, 2011.
- [3] Mark de Burg, Otfrid Cheong, *Computational Geometry Algorithms and Applications, 3rd Edition* Springer, 2008.