

# Paper Review

Md Kamrul Hasan Khan

May 8, 2017

## The Bayesian Elastic Net

*Qing Li\* and Nan Lin†*

In 2010 Li and Lin proposed a Bayesian analysis of the Elastic Net problem and solve the problem using a Gibbs sampler. Model hierarchy is given below:

$$y|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim \mathcal{N}_{p+1}(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

$$\beta|\sigma^2 \sim \exp\{-\lambda_1 \|\beta\|_1 - \lambda_2 \|\beta\|_2^2\}$$

$$\sigma^2 \sim \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

The above model is difficult to solve directly through a Gibbs sampler because the absolute values  $|\beta_j|$ 's in the prior would yield unfamiliar full conditional distributions. Then introducing a latent variable  $\tau$ , they propose another hierarchical model:

$$y|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$$

$$\beta|\tau, \sigma^2 \sim \prod_{j=1}^p \mathcal{N}\left(0, \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\sigma^2} \frac{\tau_j}{\tau_j - 1}\right)^{-1}\right)$$

$$\tau|\sigma^2 \sim \prod_{j=1}^p \mathcal{TG}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{8\lambda_2\sigma^2}{\lambda_1^2} \cdot (1, \infty)\right)$$

$$\sigma^2 \sim \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

Now the model becomes computationally easier to solve since the full conditional distributions now are

$$\beta|y, \sigma^2, \tau \sim \mathcal{N}_p(A^{-1}X^T y, \sigma^2 A^{-1})$$

$$\text{where } A = X^T X + \lambda_2 \text{diag}\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_1 - 1}, \dots, \frac{\tau_p}{\tau_p - 1}\right)$$

$$(\tau - \mathbf{1}_p)|y, \sigma^2, \beta \sim \prod_{j=1}^p GIG\left(\lambda = \frac{1}{2}, \psi = \frac{\lambda_1}{4\lambda_2\sigma^2}, \chi = \frac{\lambda_2\beta_j^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\sigma^2|y, \beta, \tau \sim \frac{1}{\sigma^2}^{\frac{n}{2} + p + 1} \left\{ \Gamma U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_1^2}{8\sigma^2\lambda_2}\right) \right\}^{-p} \times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left\{ \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda_2 \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\tau_j}{\tau_j - 1} \beta_j^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{4\lambda_2} \sum_{j=1}^p \tau_j \right\}\right]$$

## Sampling from the full conditional distribution

It is straight forward to sample from  $\beta|y, \sigma^2, \tau$ .

For  $\tau$  instead of sampling from generalized inverse Gaussian, they sample it in the following way:

$$\frac{1}{(\tau - \mathbf{1}_p)} \sim \prod_{j=1}^p \mathcal{IG}(\mu = \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_1)}}{(2\lambda_2)|\beta_j|}, \lambda = \frac{\lambda_1}{4\lambda_2\sigma^2})$$

where  $\mu$  is the mean and  $\lambda$  is the shape parameter.

To sample  $\sigma^2|Y, \beta, \tau$  they suggested acceptance-rejection algorithm. Their description is given below:

Denote the function of  $\sigma^2$  on the right-hand side of the prosterior distribution as  $f(\sigma^2)$ . Then by the definition of incomplete gamma functions,

$$f(\sigma^2) \leq \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-p} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{a+1} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma^2}b\right\} = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-p}}{b^a} h(\sigma^2)$$

where  $h(\cdot)$  is the pdf for inverse-gamma  $(a, b)$  and

$$a = \frac{n}{2} + p, b = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) + \lambda_2 \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\tau_j}{\tau_j - 1} \beta_j^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{4\lambda_2^2} \sum_{j=1}^p \tau_j \right]$$

To ger  $\sigma^2$  from  $f(\sigma^2)$ , we first generate a candidate  $Z$  from with  $h$  and a  $u$  from uniform(0,1) and then accept  $Z$  if  $u \leq \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p b^a f(Z) / \Gamma(a) h(Z)$  or equivalentlty, if  $\log(u) \leq p \log(\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})) - p \log \Gamma_U(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_1^2}{8Z\lambda_2})$

## Varibale Selection

In this paper authors described two criterion for variable selection. (i) Credible interval criterion, (ii) Scaled neighborhood criterion.

- (i) Credible interval criterion: A predictor  $\mathbf{x}_j$  is excluded if the credible interval of  $\beta_j$  covers 0 and is retained otherwise.
- (ii) Scaled neighborhood criterion: Consider the posterior probability in  $\left[ -\sqrt{\text{var}(\beta_j|\mathbf{y})}, \sqrt{\text{var}(\beta_j|\mathbf{y})} \right]$ . A predictor is excluded if the posterior probability exceeds a certain probability threshold and retained otherwise.

They suggested to take the level credible interval as 0.5 and the probability of threshold in the scaled neighborhood criterion as 0.5.

## My Suggestion

In this method authors suggested to use acceptance-rejectaion algorithm to sample from  $\sigma^2|\mathbf{Y}, \beta, \tau$ . That means all  $\sigma^2$  will not be accepted. But instead of using acceptance-rejectaion algorithm we can use Slice sampling to sample from  $\sigma^2|\mathbf{Y}, \beta, \tau$ . The description is given below:

$$u|\sigma^2 \sim \text{unif}(0, h(\sigma^2)) \text{ where } \sigma^2 = \left\{ \Gamma_U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_1^2}{8\sigma^2\lambda_2}\right) \right\}$$

$$\sigma^2|u \sim \mathcal{TI}\mathcal{G}(a, b)I_{\{0, c\}}(\sigma^2)$$

where,  $a = \frac{n}{2} + p$ ,

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) + \lambda_2 \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\tau_j}{\tau_j - 1} \beta_j^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{4\lambda_2^2} \sum_{j=1}^p \tau_j \right] \text{ and}$$

$$c = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{8\lambda_2 F^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - \exp\left\{\frac{-\log(u)}{p}\right\}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)}, \text{ where } F^{-1} \text{ is the inverse cdf of Gamma Distribution.}$$

## Future work

Using MCMC diagnosis I will check which method, acceptance-rejectaion algorithm or Slice sampling, works better here.