

# NaN Equivalence Comparison Misused

## Context

NaN equivalence comparison behaves differently from None equivalence comparison.

## Problem

While **None == None evaluates to True, np.nan == np.nan evaluates to False** in NumPy. As Pandas treats None like np.nan for simplicity and performance reasons, a comparison of DataFrame elements with np.nan always returns False. Therefore, given a python conditional statement (e.g., ==, !=, is), if **one of the two extremes of the condition is np.nan, this condition can lead to unintentional bugs in the code.**

## Solution

Developers **should avoid to compare objects with np.nan and use df.isna()**.

| Existing Stage | Effect      |
|----------------|-------------|
| Data Cleaning  | Error-prone |

## Example

```
Python
```

```
### Pandas & NumPy
import pandas as pd
- import numpy as np

df = pd.DataFrame([1, None, 3])
- df_eq_nan = df == np.nan
- df_is_nan = df is np.nan
+ df_eq_nan = df.isna()
+ df_is_nan = df.isna()
```