

¹ **Unpacking Household Budgeting Strategies through a
2 Transportation Lens**

³ **Kamryn Mansfield¹, Katie Asmussen²**

⁴ ¹University of Tennessee,

⁵ ²University of Tennessee,

6 **Abstract**

7 This is where we will put our abstract.

8 **Plain Language Summary**

9 This is a plain language summary

10 **1 Introduction**

11 Households juggle how to allocate their budgets: whether to invest in a reliable car,
 12 pay for quality childcare, secure housing in a good school district, or set money aside
 13 for leisure. These everyday choices shape how families live and move, reflecting the
 14 trade-offs they make to balance competing priorities. Transportation often sits at
 15 the center of these decisions, not only because it can be a significant expense, but
 16 also because choosing to buy and maintain a car versus relying on public transit
 17 represents a long-term commitment and a broader lifestyle choice. Its relative weight
 18 compared to housing, childcare, and other spending varies widely across families.
 19 The relationship between household budgeting and mobility is shaped not only by
 20 causal direction but also by how families prioritize and weight different needs. On
 21 one hand, mobility resources such as car ownership can structure the household
 22 budget: households with no or only one vehicle may spend far less on transportation,
 23 freeing up income for other essential or discretionary categories. On the other hand,
 24 underlying family structures and preferences can drive budget allocation choices
 25 that, in turn, shape transportation behavior. Larger families may prioritize child-
 26 care or invest in higher-quality housing in areas with better schools, limiting what
 27 remains for transportation. Others may emphasize frugality across all categories or
 28 deliberately substitute toward lower-cost transit options. Understanding both the
 29 direction of influence and the weight assigned to different budget categories is critical
 30 for transportation planning and policy, as these dynamics reveal how families navigate
 31 competing priorities under varying demographic and mobility contexts.

32 The purpose of this research is to explore how household budgets are structured
 33 around transportation decisions and how this impacts other spending categories.
 34 Using the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CEX), we will perform a Latent Class
 35 Analysis (LCA) to find groupings based on a household's transportation expenses.
 36 These groupings can help us find groups of spenders with similar patterns to help us
 37 predict transportation expenses based on the household's characteristics.

38 **2 Literature Review**

39 The literature relating to this study has been classified into four groups: (1) Family
 40 Choices and Activity Patterns, (2) Family Transportation Choices, (3) Family Spend-
 41 ing and Budgets, and (4) Family Transportation Budgets. The following sections
 42 describe the relevant findings from literature in each of these groups.

43 Table 1 summarizes the literature we reviewed

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Authors	Year	Findings
A family expenditure perspective on transport planning: Australian evidence in context	Morris, J. M., & Wigan, M. R.	1979	transport takes about 0.17 percent of expenditures, at least part of the consumption expenditure by low income families is financed from savings, loans or other sources besides "income".

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Authors	Year	Findings
Variable Lifespan and the Intertemporal Elasticity of Consumption	Skinner	1985	Changes in inflation will prompt changes in consumer expenditures
A sample selection model for prepared food expenditures	Nayga	1998	Most of the variables analysed significantly affect prepared food expenditures. For example, results suggest that frozen meals expenditures are higher for households without children, for smaller households, and for households headed by a non-white individual
Transportation Costs and Economic Opportunity Among the Poor	Blumenberg, E.	2003	Cost comparisons fall short of finding if transportation costs are a barrier for economic opportunity among the poor
Analysis of Variations in Vehicle Ownership Expenditures	Thakuriah, P. (Vonu), & Liao, Y.	2005	For vehicle-owning households, of every additional dollar that households spend, 18 cents is spent on vehicles after controlling for socioeconomic, demographic, life cycle, and other factors relating to households.
Leisure Travel Expenditure Patterns by Family Life Cycle Stages	Hong, G.-S., Fan, J. X., Palmer, L., & Bhargava, V.	2005	Marrieds without children are more likely to spend on leisure travel than singles, whereas single parents and solitary survivors are less likely to spend on leisure travel than singles.
Transportation Expenditures and Ability to Pay: Evidence from Consumer Expenditure Survey	Thakuriah (Vonu), P., & Liao, Y.	2006	Transportation expenditures made by households are better explained by permanent income levels of households than by annual incomes.
The Effects of Ethnic Identity on Household Budget Allocation to Status Conveying Goods	Fontes and Fan	2006	Asian Americans allocate more of their budget to housing, African Americans allocate more of their budget to apparel, and Hispanics allocate more of their budget to both housing and apparel, but to a lesser extent than Asian Americans with respect to housing and African Americans with respect to apparel.

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Authors	Year	Findings
Do Transportation and Communications Tend to be Substitutes, Complements, or Neither?: U.S. Consumer Expenditures Perspective, 1984–2002	Choo, S., Lee, T., & Mokhtarian, P. L.	2007	New tech doesn't substitute Personal Vehicle travel probably
An analysis of the determinants of children's weekend physical activity participation	Copperman, R. B., & Bhat, C. R.	2007	The “number of children” variable suggests an overall higher likelihood of participation in utilitarian active travel among households with many children relative to households with few children
An analysis of the social context of children's weekend discretionary activity participation	Sener, I. N., & Bhat, C. R.	2007	male children more likely to participate with their fathers than female children, African-American children less likely to participate in health-enhancing active recreation pursuits
An Exploratory Analysis of Children's Daily Time-Use and Activity Patterns Using the Child Development Supplement (CDS) to the US Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID)	Copperman, R. B., & Bhat, C. R.	2007	The age of children has an effect on the types of activities they pursue
Estimating Transportation Costs by Characteristics of Neighborhood and Household	Haas, P. M., Makarewicz, C., Benedict, A., & Bernstein, S.	2008	Their model can help in travel demand modeling
An analysis of children's leisure activity engagement: Examining the day of week, location, physical activity level, and fixity dimensions	Sener, I. N., Copperman, R. B., Pendyala, R. M., & Bhat, C. R.	2008	Children in households with parents who are employed, higher income, or higher education were found to participate in structured outdoor activities at higher rates.

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Authors	Year	Findings
A comprehensive analysis of household transportation expenditures relative to other goods and services: An application to United States consumer expenditure data	Ferdous, N., Pinjari, A. R., Bhat, C. R., & Pendyala, R. M.	2010	Adjustments are made with increased fuel prices
Measuring the Structural Determinants of Urban Travel Demand	Souche, Stephanie	2010	urban density and cost of transport mode were statistically significant in their model
An empirical analysis of children's after school out-of-home activity-location engagement patterns and time allocation	Paleti, R., Copperman, R. B., & Bhat, C. R.	2011	The results show that a wide variety of demographic, attitudinal, environmental, and others' activity-travel pattern characteristics impact children's after school activity engagement patterns.
Is the Consumer Expenditure Survey Representative by Income?	Sabelhaus, J., Johnson, D., Ash, S., Swanson, D., Garner, T., Greenlees, J., & Henderson, S.	2013	the highest income thresholds are underrepresented in the survey
Relationship between Households' Housing and Transportation Expenditures: Examination from Lifestyle Perspective	Deka, D.	2015	More housing costs = more transportation costs, people who take transit spend less on transportation
An empirical investigation into the time-use and activity patterns of dual-earner couples with and without young children	Bernardo, C., Paleti, R., Hoklas, M., & Bhat, C.	2015	the presence of a child in dual-earner households not only leads to a reduction in in-home non-work activity participation (excluding child care activities) but also a substantially larger decrease in out-of-home non-work activity participation (excluding child care and shopping activities),

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Authors	Year	Findings
Expenditures on Children by Families, 2015	Lino, M., Kuczynski, K., Rodriguez, N., & Schap, T.	2017	Many observations on the expenditures of children
Factors influencing travel mode choice among families with young children (aged 0–4): A review of the literature	McCarthy, L., Delbosc, A., Currie, G., & Molloy, A.	2017	many factors influence decisions about mode choice when traveling with young children.
Relationships between Land Use, Transportation, Household Expenditures, and Municipal Spending in Small Urban Areas	Mattson, J., & Peterson, D.	2019	denser areas are more likely to use transit to commute. People in single-family homes tend to spend more money on transportation
An environment-people interactions framework for analysing children's extra-curricular activities and active transport	Leung, K. Y. K., Astroza, S., Loo, B. P. Y., & Bhat, C. R.	2019	children's activities can differ a lot based on neighborhood environment and family sociodemographic background.
Relationships between density, transit, and household expenditures in small urban areas	Mattson, J.	2020	single family homes spend more on transportation, higher income is correlated with higher transportation costs.
An Updated Estimation Model of the Cost of Raising Children in Texas	Osborne, C., Wu, E., & Benson, K.	2021	Regardless of the method of calculation, we find that it is nearly impossible for two minimum wage earners to meet the basic costs of raising children in Texas, especially when child care is included
Parental Investments of Money for White, Black, and Hispanic Children in the United States	Hastings, O. P.	2022	. Both sociodemographic and economic factors play a substantial role in these differences, and the racial and ethnic gaps in parental investments of money are nearly eliminated when both are accounted for
The role of household modality style in first and last mile travel mode choice	Lu, Y., Prato, C. G., Sipe, N., Kimpton, A., & Corcoran, J.	2022	joint travel contributes least to modal shift from car to active transport when there is improved infrastructure of trains and things

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Authors	Year	Findings
A review of the socio-demographic characteristics affecting the demand for different car-sharing operational schemes	Amirnazmiasfhar, E., & Diana, M.	2022	There are lots of factors that might affect people's willingness to use car sharing
Estimating Expenditures on Children by Families in Canada, 2014 to 2017	Duncan, K. A., Frank, K., & Guèvremont, A.	2023	The more income, the more spending on kids.
A New Approach to Understanding the Impact of Automobile Ownership on Transportation Equity	Molloy, Q., Garrick, N., & Atkinson-Palombo, C.	2024	“Captive Riders” have less spending allocated to transportation than captive drivers.
Transportation Statistics Annual Report 2024	Bureau of Transportation Statistics.	2024	Lots of summaries
A STUDY OF SPENDING, SAVING AND INVESTMENT PATTERNS OF MARRIED COUPLES WITH CHILDREN(NON-DINK) IN MUMBAI	Hargunani, C., Vernekar, S., & Vernekar, S.	2024	The data analysis reveals distinct spending, saving, and investment patterns among married couples, with a clear prioritization towards ensuring the well-being and future security of their families.”
Subsidizing Car Ownership for Low-Income Individuals and Households	Klein, N. J.	2024	Having a car gave people more opportunities than before, and they usually had more time to spend with the family. At the beginning and end of the day.
Investigating the effects of ridesourcing on the dynamics of household car ownership	Bilgin, P., Mattioli, G., Morgan, M., & Wadud, Z.	2025	Suggests that households are less likely to acquire a car in the presence of ridesourcing, but car disposal is mainly driven by household compositions and residential relocation factors.

⁴⁴ Source: [Lit Review Table](#)⁴⁵ **2.1 Family Choices and Activity Patterns**⁴⁶ There have been many studies done on the choices and activities of families (Rachel B. Copperman & Bhat, 2007b; Leung et al., 2019; Sener et al., 2008; see Sener & Bhat, 2007). These studies often focus on the activities choices of households and children.
⁴⁷
⁴⁸

49 Paletti et al. (2011) performed a study where they wanted to characterize the activity
 50 patterns of children after school. Their data were gathered from the Child Devel-
 51 opment Supplement to the Panel Study of Income Dynamics which has household
 52 demographics and time-use diaries for children. They looked at travel patterns
 53 using combinations of three activity-travel scenarios: staying at school, going home
 54 from school, and going somewhere else after school. They further identified specific
 55 after-school activities (e.g. Organized activities at school, recreation at the home
 56 of someone else, meals at restaurants, etc.) to use in a multiple discrete-continuous
 57 extreme value (MDCEV) model. The MDCEV is a type of discrete choice model
 58 that works when multiple options can be chosen, and was used to find predictors of
 59 children's participation in the different after school activities. In their analysis, they
 60 found that 57.7% of children in the survey participated in at least one out-of-home
 61 activity after school. They also found that children's activities were connected to
 62 household income, family dynamics, environment, and other things. For example,
 63 children in households with higher income were more likely to participate in activities
 64 after school. Children with no siblings along with children having a working primary
 65 caregiver were more likely to stay at school or go somewhere besides home directly
 66 after school. Children living close to a large city were less likely to go somewhere after
 67 school, go home, and then go back out. The findings of this study show the variety of
 68 factors that might affect a family's activity, and therefore transportation, patterns.

69 Another study on family choices was done by Bernardo et al. (2015). They used the
 70 American Time Use Survey and a Multiple Discrete Continuous Nested Extreme
 71 Value (MDCNEV) model to examine the activities of dual-earner households. The
 72 variables they used relate to household demographics, respondent demographics,
 73 couple characteristics, and day of the week. Findings indicated that women are more
 74 likely to participate in out-of-home maintenance, shopping, and social activities than
 75 men. They also found that respondents with higher education and with children are
 76 more likely to work from home. One key finding of this study is that couples with
 77 children are much less likely to participate in out-of-home, non-work activities.

78 **2.2 Family Transportation Choices**

79 Among the studies on family choices is a group of studies that focus on family trans-
 80 portation choices (Amirnazmifshar & Diana, 2022; Rachel B. Copperman & Bhat,
 81 2007a; Lu et al., 2022; Souche, 2010). These studies look at the connection between
 82 family mobility and family decisions.

83 McCarthy et al. (2017) is a literature review with some good findings, but I don't
 84 know if I should site the literature review or if I should find individual papers from
 85 the review to talk about.

86 A unique study to understand the effects car ownership has on household decisions
 87 was done by Nicholas Klein (2024). In order to understand how access to a car
 88 can effect a family in the United States, he interviewed 30 people in Maryland and
 89 Virginia who received a subsidized car. Two main findings of this study relate to
 90 travel behavior changes and access to opportunities. The people interviewed generally
 91 changed their travel behavior in similar ways after receiving a car. Before receiving
 92 the car, they would rely on public transit and others for transportation, but after
 93 receiving a car, they made many trips in their own cars, including some trips that
 94 they had to forgo before having a car. Another general conclusion Klein makes is that
 95 people had more access to opportunities after receiving a car. They had easier access
 96 to more potential jobs, but some also mentioned the ability to get more hours at the
 97 their current jobs. With less reliance on public transit, many respondents spent more
 98 time with their families at the beginning and end of the day.

99 Another study interested in car ownership was done by @bilgin_investigating_2025.
 100 They analyzed car ownership across multiple years using the United Kingdom
 101 Household Longitudinal Study dataset to see if ridesourcing availability affects car

102 ownership. They used two fixed effects logit models: one to model the effect of
 103 ridesourcing on the decision to increase the number of cars in the household and the
 104 other to model the effect of ridesourcing on the decision to decrease the number of
 105 cars in the household. Their results suggested that households with more than one car
 106 are more likely to get rid of a car and less likely to add a car compared to households
 107 with one car. Even with this tendency, their models did not show a strong connection
 108 between the presence of ride sourcing and changes in car ownership. They concluded
 109 that changes in household composition have a stronger impact on the change in
 110 number of cars of a household.

111 **2.3 Family Spending and Budgets**

112 Another set of studies focuses on household budgets and household spending patterns
 113 (Fontes & Fan, 2006; Nayga, 1998; Sabelhaus et al., 2013; Skinner, 1985). Many of
 114 the studies reviewed had an emphasis on the budgets related to raising children.
 115 Hargunani et al. (2024) analyzed family spending patterns in Mumbai and concluded
 116 that many families focus their expenditures on the current and future wellbeing of
 117 their children. This is evidenced by money spent on basic necessities and setting aside
 118 money for the future.

119 The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) has produced reports that use
 120 the CEX to specifically analyze the costs of raising a child in the United States. The
 121 most recent report (Lino et al., 2017) found the top expenditure for married-couple
 122 families with two children to be housing. The rankings of other expenditures were
 123 different depending on the age of children, but food, child care/education, and trans-
 124 portation were always the next highest expenditures on children. Similar to the USDA
 125 report on the cost of raising children, Osborne et al. (2021) modeled the cost of
 126 raising children in Texas by following similar methodologies but using Texas-specific
 127 data for housing and childcare costs. They looked not only at married-couple families,
 128 but also at single-parent households and dual households where children spend time
 129 with both parents in different locations. They found differing expenditures on children
 130 among the different family make-ups and among different incomes.

131 Other studies with similar analyses have had similar findings. @hastings_parental_2022
 132 used the CEX to compare expenditures between different racial and ethnic groups.
 133 When controlling for both family characteristics and income, he found that there was
 134 not a significant difference in total expenditures on children among racial and ethnic
 135 groups. This suggests that income and family characteristics play a larger role in
 136 family budgeting than race and ethnicity. Duncan et al. (2023) performed a study in
 137 Canada using the country's Survey of Household Spending (SHS) to analyze family
 138 expenditures. They found similar results as previously mentioned studies. Different
 139 income groups had different amounts allocated to children, but housing was always
 140 the highest expenditure with food, child care/education, and transportation being the
 141 next highest expenditures.

142 **2.4 Family Transportation Expenses and Budgets**

143 There have been many studies on family budgets and transportation expenses (Blu-
 144 menberg, 2003; Choo et al., 2007; Ferdous et al., 2010; Haas et al., 2008; Hong et al.,
 145 2005; Morris & Wigan, 1979; Thakuriah (Vonu) & Liao, 2006).

146 One study focused on transportation budgets was done by Thakuriah & Liao (2005).
 147 Using CEX data, they made multiple models to analyze the expenditures related
 148 to vehicle ownership of households in the United States. In each model, they used
 149 a variety of variables (income, household demographics, spatial factors, economic
 150 factors, and family condition factors) to predict the amount of money a household
 151 spends on vehicles. Their model results indicate 18 percent of additional household
 152 expenditures is a vehicle expense. They results also indicate many factors influence
 153 household vehicle expenses. The models showed that homeowners spend more on

154 vehicle expenses. They also showed that vehicle expenses are connected with the sex
 155 of the head of household and the number of people in the household.

156 Deka (2015) - More housing costs = more transportation costs, people the take transit
 157 spend less on transportation

158 Mattson (2020) Mattson & Peterson (2019) - single family homes spend more on
 159 transportation, higher income is correlated with higher transportation costs. - denser
 160 areas are more likely to use transit to commute. People in single-family homes tend to
 161 spend more money on transportation

162 Molloy et al. (2024) - “Captive Riders” have less spending allocated to transportation
 163 than captive drivers.

164 Bureau of Transportation Statistics (2024) - Lots of summaries

165 3 References

166 Amirnazmiasfhar, E., & Diana, M. (2022). A review of the socio-demographic
 167 characteristics affecting the demand for different car-sharing operational
 168 schemes. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 14, 100616.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trip.2022.100616>

169 Bernardo, C., Paleti, R., Hoklas, M., & Bhat, C. (2015). An empirical investigation
 170 into the time-use and activity patterns of dual-earner couples with and without
 171 young children. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 76, 71–91.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2014.12.006>

172 Blumenberg, E. (2003). Transportation Costs and Economic Opportunity Among the
 173 Poor.

174 Bureau of Transportation Statistics. (2024). *Transportation Statistics Annual Re-*
 175 *port 2024* (pp. 219 pages, 35.3 Megabytes). Bureau of Transportation Statistics.
<https://doi.org/10.21949/E0KQ-GF72>

176 Choo, S., Lee, T., & Mokhtarian, P. L. (2007). Do Transportation and Commu-
 177 nications Tend to be Substitutes, Complements, or Neither?: U.S. Consumer
 178 Expenditures Perspective, 1984–2002. *Transportation Research Record*, 2010(1),
 179 121–132. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2010-14>

180 Copperman, Rachel B., & Bhat, C. R. (2007a). An analysis of the determinants of
 181 children’s weekend physical activity participation. *Transportation*, 34(1), 67–87.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-006-0005-5>

182 Copperman, Rachel B., & Bhat, C. R. (2007b). An Exploratory Analysis of Children’s
 183 Daily Time-Use and Activity Patterns Using the Child Development Supplement
 184 (CDS) to the US Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID).

185 Deka, D. (2015). Relationship between Households’ Housing and Transportation
 186 Expenditures: Examination from Lifestyle Perspective. *Transportation Research
 187 Record*, 2531(1), 26–35. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2531-04>

188 Duncan, K. A., Frank, K., & Guèvremont, A. (2023). Estimating Expenditures on
 189 Children by Families in Canada, 2014 to 2017. <https://doi.org/10.25318/11F0019M2023007-ENG>

190 Ferdous, N., Pinjari, A. R., Bhat, C. R., & Pendyala, R. M. (2010). A comprehensive
 191 analysis of household transportation expenditures relative to other goods and ser-
 192 vices: An application to United States consumer expenditure data. *Transportation*,
 193 37(3), 363–390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-010-9264-2>

194 Fontes, A., & Fan, J. (2006). The Effects of Ethnic Identity on Household Budget
 195 Allocation to Status Conveying Goods. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*,
 196 27, 643–663. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-006-9031-x>

197 Haas, P. M., Makarewicz, C., Benedict, A., & Bernstein, S. (2008). Estimating
 198 Transportation Costs by Characteristics of Neighborhood and Household. *Trans-
 199 portation Research Record*, 2077(1), 62–70. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2077-09>

- 205 Hargunani, C., Vernekar, S., & Vernekar, S. (2024). A STUDY OF SPENDING,
 206 SAVING AND INVESTMENT PATTERNS OF MARRIED COUPLES WITH
 207 CHILDREN(NON-DINK) IN MUMBAI, 20(1).
- 208 Hong, G.-S., Fan, J. X., Palmer, L., & Bhargava, V. (2005). Leisure Travel Ex-
 209 penditure Patterns by Family Life Cycle Stages. *Journal of Travel & Tourism
 210 Marketing*, 18(2), 15–30. https://doi.org/10.1300/J073v18n02_02
- 211 Klein, N. J. (2024). Subsidizing Car Ownership for Low-Income Individuals and
 212 Households. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 44(1), 165–177.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X20950428>
- 213 Leung, K. Y. K., Astroza, S., Loo, B. P. Y., & Bhat, C. R. (2019). An environment-
 214 people interactions framework for analysing children's extra-curricular ac-
 215 tivities and active transport. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 74, 341–358.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2018.12.015>
- 216 Lino, M., Kuczynski, K., Rodriguez, N., & Schap, T. (2017). *Expenditures on Children
 217 by Families, 2015*. United States Department of Agriculture. <https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.327257>
- 218 Lu, Y., Prato, C. G., Sipe, N., Kimpton, A., & Corcoran, J. (2022). The role of
 219 household modality style in first and last mile travel mode choice. *Transportation
 220 Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 158, 95–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2022.02.003>
- 221 Mattson, J. (2020). Relationships between density, transit, and household expendi-
 222 tures in small urban areas. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*,
 223 8, 100260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trip.2020.100260>
- 224 Mattson, J., & Peterson, D. (2019). Relationships between Land Use, Transportation,
 Household Expenditures, and Municipal Spending in Small Urban Areas.
- 225 McCarthy, L., Delbosc, A., Currie, G., & Molloy, A. (2017). Factors influencing
 226 travel mode choice among families with young children (aged 0–4): A review of
 227 the literature. *Transport Reviews*, 37(6), 767–781. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2017.1354942>
- 228 Molloy, Q., Garrick, N., & Atkinson-Palombo, C. (2024). A New Approach to Un-
 229 derstanding the Impact of Automobile Ownership on Transportation Equity.
 230 *Transportation Research Record*, 2678(2), 366–376. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981231174444>
- 231 Morris, J. M., & Wigan, M. R. (1979). A family expenditure perspective on trans-
 232 port planning: Australian evidence in context. *Transportation Research Part A:
 233 General*, 13(4), 249–285. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2607\(79\)90051-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2607(79)90051-7)
- 234 Nayga, R. M. (1998). A sample selection model for prepared food expenditures. *Ap-
 235 plied Economics*, 30(3), 345–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/000368498325868>
- 236 Osborne, C., Wu, E., & Benson, K. (2021). *An Updated Estimation Model of the Cost
 237 of Raising Children in Texas*.
- 238 Paleti, R., Copperman, R. B., & Bhat, C. R. (2011). An empirical analysis of
 239 children's after school out-of-home activity-location engagement patterns and
 240 time allocation. *Transportation*, 38(2), 273–303. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-010-9300-2>
- 241 Sabelhaus, J., Johnson, D., Ash, S., Swanson, D., Garner, T., Greenlees, J.,
 242 & Henderson, S. (2013). *Is the Consumer Expenditure Survey Repre-
 243 sentative by Income?* (No. w19589). National Bureau of Economic Research.
<https://doi.org/10.3386/w19589>
- 244 Sener, I. N., & Bhat, C. R. (2007). An analysis of the social context of children's
 245 weekend discretionary activity participation. *Transportation*, 34(6), 697–721.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-007-9125-9>
- 246 Sener, I. N., Copperman, R. B., Pendyala, R. M., & Bhat, C. R. (2008). An analysis
 247 of children's leisure activity engagement: Examining the day of week, location,
 248 physical activity level, and fixity dimensions. *Transportation*, 35(5), 673–696.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-008-9173-9>

- 260 Skinner, J. (1985). Variable Lifespan and the Intertemporal Elasticity of Consumption.
261 *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 67(4), 616–623. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1924806>
- 262 Souche, S. (2010). Measuring the structural determinants of urban travel demand.
263 *Transport Policy*, 17(3), 127–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2009.12.003>
- 264 Thakuriah, P. (Vonu)., & Liao, Y. (2005). Analysis of Variations in Vehicle Ownership
265 Expenditures. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation
Research Board*, 1926(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198105192600101>
- 266 Thakuriah (Vonu), P., & Liao, Y. (2006). Transportation Expenditures and Ability
267 to Pay: Evidence from Consumer Expenditure Survey. *Transportation Research
Record*, 1985(1), 257–265. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106198500128>
- 271