

Unpacking Household Budgeting Strategies through a Transportation Lens

Kamryn Mansfield¹, Katie Asmussen²

¹University of Tennessee,

²University of Tennessee,

Corresponding author: Kamryn Mansfield, kmansfi4@vols.utk.edu

Abstract

This is where we will put our abstract.

Plain Language Summary

This is a plain language summary

1 Introduction

Statistics I can point out

- The amount of budget allocated to transportation is more than it used to be (`johnson_century_2001?`) (but in recent years it might be going down. I want to double check that)
- How much does the average household spend on transportaiton? I can probably get some good data from Bureau of Transportation Statistics (2024)
- Do people with more kids spend more on transportation?
- Do people with more cars spend more on transportation?
- Lower income households spend in a bigger percent of their budget on transportation (transportaiton burdened) (Molloy et al., 2024)

Households juggle how to allocate their budgets: whether to invest in a reliable car, pay for quality childcare, secure housing in a good school district, or set money aside for leisure. These everyday choices shape how families live and move, reflecting the trade-offs they make to balance competing priorities. Transportation often sits at the center of these decisions, not only because it can be a significant expense, but also because choosing to buy and maintain a car versus relying on public transit represents a long-term commitment and a broader lifestyle choice. Its relative weight compared to housing, childcare, and other spending varies widely across families. The relationship between household budgeting and mobility is shaped not only by causal direction but also by how families prioritize and weight different needs. On one hand, mobility resources such as car ownership can structure the household budget: households with no or only one vehicle may spend far less on transportation, freeing up income for other essential or discretionary categories. On the other hand, underlying family structures and preferences can drive budget allocation choipces that, in turn, shape transportation behavior. Larger families may prioritize childcare or invest in higher-quality housing in areas with better schools, limiting what remains for transportation. Others may emphasize frugality across all categories or deliberately substitute toward lower-cost transit options. Understanding both the direction of influence and the weight assigned to different budget categories is critical for transportation planning and policy, as these dynamics reveal how families navigate competing priorities under varying demographic and mobility contexts.

The purpose of this research is to explore how household budgets are structured around transportation decisions and how this impacts other spending categories. Using the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CEX), we will perform a Latent Class Analysis (LCA) to find groupings based on a household's transportation expenses. These groupings can help us find groups of spenders with similar patterns to help us predict transportation expenses based on the household's characteristics.

2 Literature Review

Source: [Article Notebook](#)

Table 1 summarizes the literature that was reviewed for this study. As seen in the table, there were a total of 35 studies reviewed with 19 studies that use the consumer expenditure survey.

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Citation	Dataset	Findings
A family expenditure perspective on transport planning: Australian evidence in context	Morris & Wigan (1979)	Australian Expenditure Survey	transport takes about 17 percent of expenditures, at least part of the consumption expenditure by low income families is financed from savings, loans or other sources besides “income”.
Variable Lifespan and the Intertemporal Elasticity of Consumption	Skinner (1985)	CEX	Changes in inflation will prompt changes in consumer expenditures
A sample selection model for prepared food expenditures	Nayga (1998)	CEX	Most of the variables analysed significantly affect prepared food expenditures. For example, results suggest that frozen meals expenditures are higher for households without children, for smaller households, and for households headed by a non-white individual
Transportation Costs and Economic Opportunity Among the Poor	Blumenberg (2003)	CEX	Cost comparisons fall short of finding if transportation costs are a barrier for economic opportunity among the poor
Analysis of Variations in Vehicle Ownership Expenditures	Thakuriah & Liao (2005)	CEX	For vehicle-owning households, of every additional dollar that households spend, 18 cents is spent on vehicles after controlling for socioeconomic, demographic, life cycle, and other factors relating to households.

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Citation	Dataset	Findings
Leisure Travel Expenditure Patterns by Family Life Cycle Stages	Hong et al. (2005)	CEX	Marrieds without children are more likely to spend on leisure travel than singles, whereas single parents and solitary survivors are less likely to spend on leisure travel than singles.
Transporta- tion Expendi- tures and Ability to Pay: Evidence from Consumer Expenditure Survey	Thakuriah (Vonu) & Liao (2006)	CEX	Transportation expenditures made by households are better explained by permanent income levels of households than by annual incomes.
The Effects of Ethnic Identity on Household Budget Allocation to Status Conveying Goods	Fontes & Fan (2006)	CEX	Asian Americans allocate more of their budget to housing, African Americans allocate more of their budget to apparel, and Hispanics allocate more of their budget to both housing and apparel, but to a lesser extent than Asian Americans with respect to housing and African Americans with respect to apparel.
Do Trans- portation and Commu- nications Tend to be Substitutes, Comple- ments, or Neither?: U.S. Consumer Expendi- tures Perspective, 1984–2002	Choo et al. (2007)	CEX	New tech doesn't substitue Personal Vehicle travel probably

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Citation	Dataset	Findings
An analysis of the determinants of children's weekend physical activity participation	Rachel B. Copperman & Bhat (2007a)	San Francisco Bay Area Travel Survey	The “number of children” variable suggests an overall higher likelihood of participation in utilitarian active travel among households with many children relative to households with few children
An analysis of the social context of children's weekend discretionary activity participation	Sener & Bhat (2007)	Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID)	male children more likely to participate with their fathers than female children, African-American children less likely to participate in health-enhancing active recreation pursuits
An Exploratory Analysis of Children's Daily Time-Use and Activity Patterns Using the Child Development Supplement (CDS) to the US Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID)	Rachel B. Copperman & Bhat (2007b)	Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID)	The age of childrend has an effect on the types of activities they pursue
Estimating Transportation Costs by Characteristics of Neighborhood and Household	Haas et al. (2008)	CEX and others	Their model can help in travel demand modeling

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Citation	Dataset	Findings
An analysis of children's leisure activity engagement: Examining the day of week, location, physical activity level, and fixity dimensions	Sener et al. (2008)	Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID)	Children in households with parents who are employed, higher income, or higher education were found to participate in structured outdoor activities at higher rates.
A comprehensive analysis of household transportation expenditures relative to other goods and serviCEX: An application to United States consumer expenditure data	Ferdous et al. (2010)	CEX	Adjustments are made with increased fuel priCEX
Measuring the Structureal Determinants of Urban Travel Demand	Souche (2010)	IUTP database	urban density and cost of transport mode were statistically significant in their model
An empirical analysis of children's after school out-of-home activity-location engagement patterns and time allocation	Paleti et al. (2011)	Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID)	The results show that a wide variety of demographic, attitudinal, environmental, and others' activity-travel pattern characteristics impact children's after school activity engagement patterns.

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Citation	Dataset	Findings
Is the Consumer Expenditure Survey Representative by Income?	Sabelhaus et al. (2013)	CEX	the highest income thresholds are underrepresented in the survey
Relationship between Households' Housing and Transportation Expenditures: Examination from Lifestyle Perspective	Deka (2015)	CEX	More housing costs = more transportation costs, people the take transit spend less on transportation
An empirical investigation into the time-use and activity patterns of dual-earner couples with and without young children	Bernardo et al. (2015)	ATUS	the presence of a child in dual-earner households not only leads to a reduction in in-home non-work activity participation (excluding child care activities) but also a substantially larger decrease in out-of-home non-work activity participation (excluding child care and shopping activities),
Expenditures on Children by Families, 2015	Lino et al. (2017)	CEX	Many observations on the expenditures of children
Factors influencing travel mode choice among families with young children (aged 0–4): A review of the literature	McCarthy et al. (2017)	Lit Review	many factores influence decisions about mode choice when traveling with young children.

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Citation	Dataset	Findings
Relationships between Land Use, Transportation, Household Expenditures, and Municipal Spending in Small Urban Areas	Mattson & Peterson (2019)	CEX, American Community Survey	denser areas are more likely to use transit to commute. People in single-family homes tend to spend more money on transportation
An environment-people interactions framework for analysing children's extra-curricular activities and active transport	Leung et al. (2019)	Survey in Hong Kong Schools	childrens activities can differ a lot based on neighborhood environment and family sociodemographic background.
Relationships between density, transit, and household expenditures in small urban areas	Mattson (2020)	CEX, American Community Survey	single family homes spend more on transportation, higher income is correlated with higher transportation costs.
An Updated Estimation Model of the Cost of Raising Children in Texas	Osborne et al. (2021)	NHTS, CEX	Regardless of the method of calculation, we find that it is nearly impossible for two minimum wage earners to meet the basic costs of raising children in Texas, especially when child care is included

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Citation	Dataset	Findings
Parental Investments of Money for White, Black, and Hispanic Children in the United States	Hastings (2022)	CEX	. Both sociodemographic and economic factors play a substantial role in these differences, and the racial and ethnic gaps in parental investments of money are nearly eliminated when both are accounted for
The role of household modality style in first and last mile travel mode choice	Lu et al. (2022)	South-east Queensland Travel Survey (SEQTS)	joint travel contributes least to modal shift from car to active transport when there is improved infrastructure of trains and things
A review of the socio-demographic characteristics affecting the demand for different car-sharing operational schemes	Amirnazmifshar & Diana (2022)	NA	There are lots of factors that might affect people's willingness to use car sharing
Estimating Expenditures on Children by Families in Canada, 2014 to 2017	Duncan et al. (2023)	Survey of Household Spending (SHS)	The more income, the more spending on kids.
A New Approach to Understanding the Impact of Automobile Ownership on Transportation Equity	Molloy et al. (2024)	CEX	“Captive Riders” have less spending allocated to transportation than captive drivers.
Transportation Statistics Annual Report 2024	Bureau of Transportation Statistics (2024)	CEX and others	Lots of summaries

Table 1: Summary of the Literature

Title	Citation	Dataset	Findings
A STUDY OF SPENDING, SAVING AND INVESTMENT PATTERNS OF MARRIED COUPLES WITH CHILDREN(NON-DINK) IN MUMBAI	Hargunani et al. (2024)	Data from Mumbai	The data analysis reveals distinct spending, saving, and investment patterns among married couples, with a clear prioritization towards ensuring the well-being and future security of their families.”
Subsidizing Car Ownership for Low-Income Individuals and Households	Klein (2024)	Personal Survey Created by Dr. Klein	Having a car gave people more opportunities than before, and they usually had more time to spend with the family. At the beginning and end of the day.
Investigating the effects of ridesourcing on the dynamics of household car ownership	Bilgin et al. (2025)	United Kingdom Household Longitudinal Study	Suggests that households are less likely to qcquire a car in the presence of ridesourcing, but car disposal is mainly driven by household compositions and residential relocation factors.

Source: [Lit Review Table](#)

The literature relating to this study has been classified into four groups: (1) Family Choices and Activity Patterns, (2) Family Transportation Choices, (3) Family Spending and Budgets, and (4) Family Transportation Budgets. The following sections describe the relevant findings from literature in each of these groups.

2.1 Household Activities and Transportation Choices

The literature shows that household dynamics affect the activity patterns of those in the household, and many studies focus on the role children play in these household activities. Some studies aim to find how the presence of children affect the activities of the household (Bernardo et al., 2015), and many studies focus on how children’s activities are affected by household demographics (Rachel B. Copperman & Bhat, 2007b; Leung et al., 2019; Paleti et al., 2011; Sener et al., 2008; see Sener & Bhat, 2007). A common finding in these studies is that child activity participation is associated with

the environment the child lives in along with household income, parent activities, and other household demographics.

Among the studies on household choices is a group of literature with a specific focus on a household's transportation decisions. A common finding in this group of literature is that household composition plays a role in its transportation choices. Some studies in this group are concerned with the way households use cars or the effect of car ownership on transportation decisions (Amirnazmiasfar & Diana, 2022; Bilgin et al., 2025; Klein, 2024; Lu et al., 2022). These studies show there is a major connection between car ownership and transportation decisions. Other studies are focused on travel choices more generally (Rachel B. Copperman & Bhat, 2007a; Souche, 2010). For an extensive literature review on the transportation choices of households with young children, see the study done by McCarthy et al. (2017).

2.2 Household Spending and Budgets

Another set of studies focuses on household budgets and household spending patterns (Fontes & Fan, 2006; Nayga, 1998; Sabelhaus et al., 2013; Skinner, 1985). Many of the studies reviewed had an emphasis on the budgets related to raising children. Hargunani et al. (2024) analyzed family spending patterns in Mumbai and concluded that many families focus their expenditures on the current and future wellbeing of their children. This is evidenced by money spent on basic necessities and setting aside money for the future.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) has produced reports that use the CEX to specifically analyze the costs of raising a child in the United States. The most recent report (Lino et al., 2017) found the top expenditure for married-couple families with two children to be housing. The rankings of other expenditures were different depending on the age of children, but food, child care/education, and transportation were always the next highest expenditures on children. Similar to the USDA report on the cost of raising children, Osborne et al. (2021) modeled the cost of raising children in Texas by following similar methodologies but using Texas-specific data for housing and childcare costs. They looked not only at married-couple families, but also at single-parent households and dual households where children spend time with both parents in different locations. They found differing expenditures on children among the different family make-ups and among different incomes.

Duncan et al. (2023) performed a study in Canada using the country's Survey of Household Spending (SHS) to analyze family expenditures. They found similar results as previously mentioned studies. Different income groups had different amounts allocated to children, but housing was always the highest expenditure with food, child care/education, and transportation being the next highest expenditures.

Other studies with similar analyses have had similar findings. Hastings (2022) used the CEX to compare expenditures between different racial and ethnic groups. When controlling for both family characteristics and income, he found that there was not a significant difference in total expenditures on children among racial and ethnic groups. This suggests that income and family characteristics play a larger role in family budgeting than race and ethnicity.

2.3 Household Transportation Expenses and Budgets

There have been many studies on family budgets and transportation expenses (Blumenberg, 2003; Choo et al., 2007; Ferdous et al., 2010; Haas et al., 2008; Hong et al., 2005; Morris & Wigan, 1979; Thakuriah (Vonu) & Liao, 2006).

One study focused on transportation budgets was done by Thakuriah & Liao (2005). Using CEX data, they made multiple models to analyze the expenditures related to vehicle ownership of households in the United States. In each model, they used a variety of variables (income, household demographics, spatial factors, economic factors, and family condition factors) to predict the amount of money a household

spends on vehicles. Their model results indicate 18 percent of additional household expenditures is a vehicle expense. They results also indicate many factors influence household vehicle expenses. The models showed that homeowners spend more on vehicle expenses. They also showed that vehicle expenses are connected with the sex of the head of household and the number of people in the household.

In a study by Deka (2015), they used two years of the CEX to see the connection between housing expenses and transportation expenses. They created three least squares models: one to describe expenditures in dollar amounts, one to describe expenditures as percentages of income, and one to describe expenditures as shares of total household expenditures. They found a positive association between transportation expenses and housing expenses. Similarly, share of income on transportation was positively affected by share of income on housing, but there was no significant evidence showing the share of income on housing being affected by share of income on transportation. Both housing and transportation expenditures were found to be higher for those living in single-family detached homes and lower for those living in older homes.

Mattson & Peterson (2019) looked at how density, land use, transportation, and household expenditures are related. They developed a regression model using CEX data where transportation expenditures were estimated using type of housing, population, family characteristics, and other factors. Similar to other studies, they found that income is positively associated with transportation expenditures. Their model showed single-family homes to have higher transportation expenditures than other types of dwellings. Using data from the U.S. Census Bureau's Annual Survey of State and Local Government Finances, they also created models to explore how land use might affect municipal spending. In these models, increase in density was associated with a decrease in many per capita operational costs, including fire protection, streets and highways, parks and recreation, and others.

A similar study was published by Mattson (2020) where he modeled transportation expenditures using CEX data. The results showed, like the previous publication, that single-family dwellings spend more on transportation compared to other types. He also found that larger household sizes and newer homes contribute to higher transportation spending.

In their study, Molloy et al. (2024) look at CEX data after proposing a new framework to look at transit users and vehicle users. In past studies, three categories have been used to analyze transportation users: "drivers" are those who own a car, "captive riders" are those who use transit because they can not afford a car, and "choice riders" are those who can afford a car but do not. Instead of having one grouping for those that own cars, Molloy et al. (2024) propose splitting that category into "captive drivers" and "choice drivers". Captive drivers would be those in the population that are low income but have a car representing people with less transportation freedom. They analyzed the CEX with this new way of classifying transportation users and found that captive drivers carry the most transportation burden. The transportation expenditures of captive drivers average more than 16% of total household expenditures while the transportation expenditures of captive riders was only around 7% of total expenditures.

Bureau of Transportation Statistics (2024) - Chapter 2 has a whole section where they analyze household expenditures getting much of their data from the CEX.

2.4 The Current Study

This study has never been done and will add to the current literature in the following ways.

- Data: Many studies on household transportation patterns focus on time diaries and other things. This study uses consumer expenditure data to understand patterns in household transportation budgets.
- Methodology: LCA to find groupings that organize households using different transportation expense patterns. What variables do we want to look at, and how is that different from other studies?
- Purpose: Have there been any studies that share a similar end goal as our study? If so, is ours unique?

3 Data

Data for the following analysis were attained from the 2024 Consumer Expenditure Survey (CEX) Public Use Microdata (PUMD). The CEX is deployed continuously by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). The data are organized by quarter published each year. The CEX consists of two surveys as described below.

- **The Interview Survey** tracks a household's major and recurring expenditures by reviewing their expenses from the past three months. Approximately 6000 usable interviews are collected each quarter. After interviewing a household for 4 consecutive quarters, they are removed from the sample and replaced by a new household.
- **The Diary Survey** tracks a household's weekly expenditures by having them fill out a detailed expenditure diary across a two-week span. Approximately 3000 usable responses are collected each quarter. After the two consecutive weeks of reporting expenditures, the household is removed from the sample and replaced by a new household.

4 References

- Amirnazmifshar, E., & Diana, M. (2022). A review of the socio-demographic characteristics affecting the demand for different car-sharing operational schemes. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 14, 100616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trip.2022.100616>
- Bernardo, C., Paleti, R., Hoklas, M., & Bhat, C. (2015). An empirical investigation into the time-use and activity patterns of dual-earner couples with and without young children. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 76, 71–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2014.12.006>
- Bilgin, P., Mattioli, G., Morgan, M., & Wadud, Z. (2025). Investigating the effects of ridesourcing on the dynamics of household car ownership. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 146, 104886. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2025.104886>
- Blumenberg, E. (2003). Transportation Costs and Economic Opportunity Among the Poor.
- Bureau of Transportation Statistics. (2024). *Transportation Statistics Annual Report 2024* (pp. 219 pages, 35.3 Megabytes). Bureau of Transportation Statistics. <https://doi.org/10.21949/EOKQ-GF72>
- Choo, S., Lee, T., & Mokhtarian, P. L. (2007). Do Transportation and Communications Tend to be Substitutes, Complements, or Neither?: U.S. Consumer Expenditures Perspective, 1984–2002. *Transportation Research Record*, 2010(1), 121–132. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2010-14>
- Copperman, Rachel B., & Bhat, C. R. (2007a). An analysis of the determinants of children's weekend physical activity participation. *Transportation*, 34(1), 67–87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-006-0005-5>
- Copperman, Rachel B., & Bhat, C. R. (2007b). An Exploratory Analysis of Children's Daily Time-Use and Activity Patterns Using the Child Development Supplement (CDS) to the US Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID).

- Deka, D. (2015). Relationship between Households' Housing and Transportation Expenditures: Examination from Lifestyle Perspective. *Transportation Research Record*, 2531(1), 26–35. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2531-04>
- Duncan, K. A., Frank, K., & Guèvremont, A. (2023). Estimating Expenditures on Children by Families in Canada, 2014 to 2017. <https://doi.org/10.25318/11F0019M2023007-ENG>
- Ferdous, N., Pinjari, A. R., Bhat, C. R., & Pendyala, R. M. (2010). A comprehensive analysis of household transportation expenditures relative to other goods and services: An application to United States consumer expenditure data. *Transportation*, 37(3), 363–390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-010-9264-2>
- Fontes, A., & Fan, J. (2006). The Effects of Ethnic Identity on Household Budget Allocation to Status Conveying Goods. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 27, 643–663. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-006-9031-x>
- Haas, P. M., Makarewicz, C., Benedict, A., & Bernstein, S. (2008). Estimating Transportation Costs by Characteristics of Neighborhood and Household. *Transportation Research Record*, 2077(1), 62–70. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2077-09>
- Hargunani, C., Vernekar, S., & Vernekar, S. (2024). A STUDY OF SPENDING, SAVING AND INVESTMENT PATTERNS OF MARRIED COUPLES WITH CHILDREN(NON-DINK) IN MUMBAI, 20(1).
- Hastings, O. P. (2022). Parental Investments of Money for White, Black, and Hispanic Children in the United States. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World*, 8, 23780231221103054. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23780231221103054>
- Hong, G.-S., Fan, J. X., Palmer, L., & Bhargava, V. (2005). Leisure Travel Expenditure Patterns by Family Life Cycle Stages. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 18(2), 15–30. https://doi.org/10.1300/J073v18n02_02
- Klein, N. J. (2024). Subsidizing Car Ownership for Low-Income Individuals and Households. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 44(1), 165–177. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X20950428>
- Leung, K. Y. K., Astroza, S., Loo, B. P. Y., & Bhat, C. R. (2019). An environment-people interactions framework for analysing children's extra-curricular activities and active transport. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 74, 341–358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2018.12.015>
- Lino, M., Kuczynski, K., Rodriguez, N., & Schap, T. (2017). *Expenditures on Children by Families, 2015*. United States Department of Agriculture. <https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.327257>
- Lu, Y., Prato, C. G., Sipe, N., Kimpton, A., & Corcoran, J. (2022). The role of household modality style in first and last mile travel mode choice. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 158, 95–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2022.02.003>
- Mattson, J. (2020). Relationships between density, transit, and household expenditures in small urban areas. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 8, 100260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trip.2020.100260>
- Mattson, J., & Peterson, D. (2019). Relationships between Land Use, Transportation, Household Expenditures, and Municipal Spending in Small Urban Areas.
- McCarthy, L., Delbosc, A., Currie, G., & Molloy, A. (2017). Factors influencing travel mode choice among families with young children (aged 0–4): A review of the literature. *Transport Reviews*, 37(6), 767–781. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2017.1354942>
- Molloy, Q., Garrick, N., & Atkinson-Palombo, C. (2024). A New Approach to Understanding the Impact of Automobile Ownership on Transportation Equity. *Transportation Research Record*, 2678(2), 366–376. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981231174444>
- Morris, J. M., & Wigan, M. R. (1979). A family expenditure perspective on transport planning: Australian evidence in context. *Transportation Research Part A: General*, 13(4), 249–285. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2607\(79\)90051-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2607(79)90051-7)

- Nayga, R. M. (1998). A sample selection model for prepared food expenditures. *Applied Economics*, 30(3), 345–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/000368498325868>
- Osborne, C., Wu, E., & Benson, K. (2021). *An Updated Estimation Model of the Cost of Raising Children in Texas*.
- Paleti, R., Copperman, R. B., & Bhat, C. R. (2011). An empirical analysis of children’s after school out-of-home activity-location engagement patterns and time allocation. *Transportation*, 38(2), 273–303. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-010-9300-2>
- Sabelhaus, J., Johnson, D., Ash, S., Swanson, D., Garner, T., Greenlees, J., & Henderson, S. (2013). *Is the Consumer Expenditure Survey Representative by Income?* (No. w19589). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w19589>
- Sener, I. N., & Bhat, C. R. (2007). An analysis of the social context of children’s weekend discretionary activity participation. *Transportation*, 34(6), 697–721. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-007-9125-9>
- Sener, I. N., Copperman, R. B., Pendyala, R. M., & Bhat, C. R. (2008). An analysis of children’s leisure activity engagement: Examining the day of week, location, physical activity level, and fixity dimensions. *Transportation*, 35(5), 673–696. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-008-9173-9>
- Skinner, J. (1985). Variable Lifespan and the Intertemporal Elasticity of Consumption. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 67(4), 616–623. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1924806>
- Souche, S. (2010). Measuring the structural determinants of urban travel demand. *Transport Policy*, 17(3), 127–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2009.12.003>
- Thakuriah, P. (Vonu)., & Liao, Y. (2005). Analysis of Variations in Vehicle Ownership Expenditures. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 1926(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198105192600101>
- Thakuriah (Vonu), P., & Liao, Y. (2006). Transportation Expenditures and Ability to Pay: Evidence from Consumer Expenditure Survey. *Transportation Research Record*, 1985(1), 257–265. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106198500128>