

Variables:

$$N := \text{number of data points} \quad (1)$$

$$P := \text{number of machines} \quad (2)$$

$$n_p := \text{number of data points on machine } p \quad (3)$$

Objective function:

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N f_k(x) \right)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x\|_2^2 \right)}_{\text{regularizer}} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \mu) = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^P \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} f_{ij}(x_i) \right)}_{\text{loss}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2P} \sum_{i=1}^P \|x_i\|_2^2 \right)}_{\text{regularizer}} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^P \sum_{j=i+1}^P \mu_{ij}^T (x_i - x_j) \right)}_{\text{undirected equality constraints}} \quad (5)$$

Taking the gradient with respect to x_i and μ_{ij} we obtain:

$$\nabla_{x_i} \mathcal{L}(x, \mu) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \nabla_{x_i} f_{ij}(x_i) + \frac{\lambda}{P} x_i + \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^P \mu_{ij} \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ji} \right) \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla_{\mu_{ij}} \mathcal{L}(x, \mu) = x_i - x_j \quad \forall i < j \quad (7)$$

Notice in equation 6 that we subtract the μ_{ji} terms. This is because for $j < i$, the variable x_i occurs on the negative side of the sum $\sum_{i=1}^P \sum_{j=i+1}^P \mu_{ij}^T (x_i - x_j)$. Essentially we maintain the invariant that we only index μ_{ij} such that $i < j$ and hence for $j < i$ we reverse the index.

For primal and dual "learning rates" η_t and γ_t respectively we obtain the update equations:

$$x_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow x_i^{(t)} - \eta_t \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \nabla_{x_i} f_{ij}(x_i)|_{x_i^{(t)}} + \frac{\lambda}{P} x_i^{(t)} + \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^P \mu_{ij} \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ji} \right) \right) \quad (8)$$

$$\mu_{ij}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \mu_{ij}^{(t)} + \gamma_t (x_i^{(t+1)} - x_j^{(t+1)}) \quad \forall i < j \quad (9)$$

On each machine i we store an array:

$$u_i[j] = \mu_{ij} \text{ if } i < j \text{ else } -\mu_{ji}$$

The update equations become:

$$x_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow x_i^{(t)} - \eta_t \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \nabla_{x_i} f_{ij}(x_i)|_{x_i^{(t)}} + \frac{\lambda}{P} x_i^{(t)} + \sum_{j=1}^P u_i^{(t)}[j] \right) \quad (10)$$

$$u_i^{(t+1)}[j] \leftarrow u_i^{(t)}[j] + \gamma_t (x_i^{(t+1)} - x_j^{(t+1)}) \quad (11)$$

0.1 Sanity Check

Suppose $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 2$ and $u_i[j] = 0$ and we go can update the $u_i[j]$ values. Then on machine $i = 1$ we get:

$$u_1[2] \leftarrow 0 + \gamma_t(x_1 - x_2) = -1$$

which when added to the x_1 update equation will cause x_1 to increase (we subtract the gradient) in the direction of x_2 . Conversely on machine $i = 2$ we have:

$$u_2[1] \leftarrow 0 + \gamma_t(x_2 - x_1) = 1$$

which when added to the x_2 update equation will cause x_2 to decrease in the direction of x_1 .

1 Simplified Update Equations

It is possible to simplify the notation slightly further. Instead of tracking the individual lagrangian multipliers μ_{ij} in some complex array $u_i[j]$ we can instead just track the sum:

$$\sigma_i := \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^P \mu_{ij} \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ji} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$(13)$$

Plugging the sum into the primal update we obtain:

$$x_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow x_i^{(t)} - \eta_t \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \nabla_{x_i} f_{ij}(x_i) \Big|_{x_i^{(t)}} + \frac{\lambda}{P} x_i^{(t)} + \sigma_i \right) \quad (14)$$

To derive the dual update we do the following:

$$\sigma_i^{(t+1)} = \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^P \leftarrow \mu_{ij}^{(t)} + \gamma_t(x_i^{(t+1)} - x_j^{(t+1)}) \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \leftarrow \mu_{ji}^{(t)} + \gamma_t(x_j^{(t+1)} - x_i^{(t+1)}) \right) \quad (15)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^P \mu_{ij}^{(t)} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ji}^{(t)} \right) + \gamma_t \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^P (x_i^{(t+1)} - x_j^{(t+1)}) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (x_j^{(t+1)} - x_i^{(t+1)}) \right) \quad (16)$$

$$= \sigma_i^{(t)} + \gamma_t \sum_{j=1}^P (x_i^{(t+1)} - x_j^{(t+1)}) \quad (17)$$

This leads to the following simplified update equations.

$$x_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow x_i^{(t)} - \eta_t \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \nabla_{x_i} f_{ij}(x_i) \Big|_{x_i^{(t)}} + \frac{\lambda}{P} x_i^{(t)} + \sigma_i \right) \quad (18)$$

$$\sigma_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \sigma_i^{(t)} + \gamma_t \sum_{j=1}^P (x_i^{(t+1)} - x_j^{(t+1)}) \quad (19)$$

1.1 Sanity check

Suppose $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 2$ and all $\sigma_i = 0$ then the σ updates will:

$$\sigma_1^{(t+1)} \leftarrow 0 + \lambda((x_1 - x_1) + (x_1 - x_2)) \quad (20)$$

$$= \lambda(x_1 - x_2) \quad (21)$$

$$= -\lambda \quad (22)$$

$$\sigma_2^{(t+1)} \leftarrow 0 + \lambda((x_2 - x_1) + (x_2 - x_2)) \quad (23)$$

$$= \lambda(x_2 - x_1) \quad (24)$$

$$= \lambda \quad (25)$$

Which will cause x_1 to increase (we subtract the gradient) and x_2 to decrease.