Continuous	O.	ptimization:	Assi	gnment	1

Due on April 30, 2014

Honglu Ma Hiroyasu Akada Mathivathana Ayyappan

Exercise 1

(a)

Claim: $\lim_{k\to\infty} x^{(k)} = \frac{1}{30}$

Proof:

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $N = \max\{\frac{1}{90\epsilon}, 8\}$. Assume n > N. We have

$$n > N \Rightarrow n > 9 > \sqrt[3]{600} \Rightarrow n^3 > 600 \Rightarrow 5n^3 > 3000 \Rightarrow 10n^3 - 5n^3 > 3000 \Rightarrow 3000 + 5n^3 < 10n^3$$

and obviously

$$900n^4 > 150n^3 + 900n^4$$

To check the validity of the limit we need to show $|x^{(n)} - x^*| < \epsilon$ where $x^* = \frac{1}{30}$.

$$\left| \frac{n^4 - 100}{5n^3 + 30k^4} - \frac{1}{30} \right| = \left| \frac{30n^4 - 3000 - 5n^3 - 30k^4}{150n^3 + 900n^4} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{-3000 - 5n^3}{150n^3 + 900n^4} \right|$$

$$= \frac{3000 + 5n^3}{150n^3 + 900n^4}$$

$$< \frac{10n^3}{900n^4} = \frac{1}{90n}$$
 (by the inequalities above)
$$< \frac{1}{90N}$$

$$< \frac{1}{90} = \epsilon$$

(b)

We have the following accumulation points:

$$x^{(8n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+3)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+4)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$x^{(8n+5)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+6)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+7)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

We can prove by showing that $x^{(k)}$ is not a cauchy sequence thus does not converges (Proposition A.5, Lecture Script) i.e. $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n, m > N$ such that $||x^{(n)} - x^{(m)}|| \ge \epsilon$.

Proof:

Let $\epsilon = 1$, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, choose n = 8N and m = 8N + 4. We have

$$\left\| x^{(8N)} - x^{(8N+4)} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 2 \ge 1 = \epsilon$$

Exercise 2

(a)

The interior of a set C is defined as the union of all open sets contained in C. The closure of a set C is the set C together with all of its limit points.

- (i) Let $C = \mathbb{R}$. We have $\operatorname{int}(C) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\operatorname{cl}(C) = \mathbb{R}$. It is obvious that $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(C)) = \operatorname{int}(C) = \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) Let $C = \mathbb{Q}$. We have $\operatorname{int}(C) = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{cl}(C) = \mathbb{R}$. This is because every open interval in \mathbb{R} contains irrational numbers. Thus $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(C)) = \operatorname{int}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \neq \operatorname{int}(C) = \emptyset$.

(b)

Consider function $f(x) = \sqrt{||x||_1}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ has sublevel sets $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}$. The function can also be written as $f(x) = \sqrt{|x_1| + |x_2|}$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$.

Pick two elements x, y from the sublevel set of α such that $f(x) \leq \alpha$ and $f(y) \leq \alpha$. We have

$$f(x) = \sqrt{|x_1| + |x_2|} \le \alpha \Rightarrow |x_1| + |x_2| \le \alpha^2$$
 and similarly $|y_1| + |y_2| \le \alpha^2$

Now take a point $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Also $z_1 = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1$, $z_2 = \lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$

$$|z_{1}| + |z_{2}| = |\lambda x_{1} + (1 - \lambda)y_{1}| + |\lambda x_{2} + (1 - \lambda)y_{2}|$$

$$\leq \lambda |x_{1}| + (1 - \lambda)|y_{1}| + \lambda |x_{2}| + (1 - \lambda)|y_{2}|$$

$$\leq \lambda (|x_{1}| + |x_{2}|) + (1 - \lambda)(|y_{1}| + |y_{2}|)$$

$$\leq \lambda \alpha^{2} + (1 - \lambda)\alpha^{2} = \alpha^{2}$$

thus $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(x) \le \alpha\}$ is a convex set.

Now we need to show that f is not convex. Let x = (0,0), y = (1,0) and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. We have f(x) = 0, f(y) = 1 and

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} > \lambda f(x) + (1 - \frac{1}{2})f(y) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Check here for visualization of the sublevel sets.

(c)

Let v_{N_A} be v's projection onto the null space and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We know that v can be decomposite into

$$v = A^{\top} \lambda + v_{N_A} \Rightarrow Av = A(A^{\top} \lambda + v_{N_A})$$
$$\Rightarrow Av = AA^{\top} \lambda + 0$$
$$\Rightarrow Av = AA^{\top} \lambda$$
$$\Rightarrow (AA^{\top})^{-1} Av = \lambda$$

Replace λ in the original equation we get

$$v = A^{\top} (AA^{\top})^{-1} A v + v_{N_A} \Rightarrow v - A^{\top} (AA^{\top})^{-1} A v = v_{N_A}$$

$$\Rightarrow (I - A^{\top} (AA^{\top})^{-1} A) v = v_{N_A}$$

(d)

To show equivalence of two sets A and B, we need to show both $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.

(i) Show $\{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge c\} \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) > c - \frac{1}{k}\}$ Take $v \in \{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge c\}$ we have

$$f(v) \ge c > c - \frac{1}{k}$$
 for all $k \ge 1$

This shows that $v \in \{x \in E \mid f(x) > c - \frac{1}{k}\}$ for all $k \ge 1$, i.e. $v \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) > c - \frac{1}{k}\}$. Show $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) > c - \frac{1}{k}\} \supseteq \{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge c\}$

Proof by contradiction:

Take $v \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) > c - \frac{1}{k}\}$. Assume that $v \notin \{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge c\}$ we have

$$\begin{split} f(v) &< c \Rightarrow c - f(v) > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \exists k \geq 1 \text{ such that } c - f(v) - \frac{1}{k} \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow c - \frac{1}{k} \geq f(v) \end{split}$$
 Contradiction

(ii) Show
$$\{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge c + \frac{1}{k}\}$$

Take $v \in \{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\}$. Let $f(v) = c + \frac{1}{k}$.

We can always pick a k' such that $k' \geq k$ so that $f(v) = c + \frac{1}{k} \geq c + \frac{1}{k'}$.

This shows that $\exists k' > 1$ such that $v \in \{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge c + \frac{1}{k'}\}.$

Show
$$\{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\} \supseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge c + \frac{1}{k}\}$$

Take $v \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) \ge c + \frac{1}{k}\}.$

$$0 \leq O_{k=1}(w \leq D + f(w) \leq 0 + \frac{1}{k}).$$

$$f(v) \ge c + \frac{1}{k} > c$$

Exercise 3

(i)

The assumption of h is a vector with same entry is incorrect which leads to a wrong result. Please see the correct answer below.

Suppose each the entry of h is t, we have $||h|| = \sqrt{nt^2}$. To make the derivation cleaner, we represent

f' = f(x+h) and f = f(x). We can rewrite the equation in the form of vector entry terms:

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i}^{n} (f'_{i} - f_{i} - t \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^{2}}}{\sqrt{nt^{2}}} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i}^{n} (f'_{i} - f_{i} - t \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^{2}}{nt^{2}}} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} (f'_{i} - f_{i} - t \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^{2}}{nt^{2}} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{(f'_{i} - f_{i} - t \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^{2}}{nt^{2}}\right) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{(f'_{i} - f_{i})^{2} - 2t(f'_{i} - f_{i}) \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij} + t^{2}(\sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^{2}}{nt^{2}}\right) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{(f'_{i} - f_{i})^{2}}{nt^{2}} - \frac{2(f'_{i} - f_{i}) \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij}}{nt} + \frac{(\sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^{2}}{n}\right) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{(f'_{i} - f_{i})^{2}}{nt^{2}}\right) - \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{2(f'_{i} - f_{i}) \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij}}{nt}\right) + \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{(\sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^{2}}{n}\right) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{nt^{2}} \sum_{i}^{n} (f'_{i} - f_{i})^{2}\right) - \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{2}{nt} \sum_{i}^{n} (f'_{i} - f_{i}) \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij}\right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^{2} = 0 \end{split}$$

Proof: Assume that $\exists A_1, A_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{||f(x+h)-f(x)-A_1h||}{||h||}=0 \text{ and } \lim_{h\to 0}\frac{||f(x+h)-f(x)-A_2h||}{||h||}=0$$

With the previous derivation, we can write the two equations as such:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{nt^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_i' - f_i)^2 \right) - \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{2}{nt} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_i' - f_i) \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{1ij} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{1ij})^2 = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{nt^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_i' - f_i)^2 \right) - \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{2}{nt} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_i' - f_i) \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{2ij} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{2ij})^2 = 0$$

Subtracting the two equations:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{2}{nt} \sum_{i}^{n} (f'_{i} - f_{i}) (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij} - \sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij}) \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij})^{2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij})^{2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{2(f'_{i} - f_{i})}{nt} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij} - \sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij}) \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij})^{2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij})^{2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i}^{n} \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{2(f'_{i} - f_{i})}{nt} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij} - \sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij}) \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij})^{2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij})^{2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i}^{n} \left((\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij} - \sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij}) \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2(f'_{i} - f_{i})}{nt} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij})^{2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij})^{2} = 0$$

Observe term $\sum_{i}^{n} \left(\left(\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij} - \sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij} \right) \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2(f'_{i} - f_{i})}{nt} \right)$. As $t \to 0$, $f'_{i} - f_{i} \to 0$, we cannot determine $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2(f'_{i} - f_{i})}{nt}$. To make each term of the sum equals to 0, we need $\sum_{j}^{m} A_{1ij} = \sum_{j}^{m} A_{2ij}$ for each row i.

Correct Answer

No need to expand any term. Just treat ||f(x+h)-f(x)-Ah|| like f(x+h)-f(x)-Ah without the norm.

(ii)

If A = Df(x) is admitted as the derivative of f at x then we can write

$$\begin{split} f(x+h) &= f(x) + Ah \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{h \to 0} f(x+h) = \lim_{h \to 0} (f(x) + Ah) \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{h \to 0} f(x+h) = f(x) \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{h \to 0} f(x+h) = f(x) \end{split}$$

which implies continuity of f at x.

Correct Answer

Let h = x - a.

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + Df(x)h + o(||h||) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(a) + Df(a) \cdot (x-a) + o(||x-a||)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = \lim_{x \to a} f(a) + \lim_{x \to a} (Df(a) \cdot (x-a)) + \lim_{x \to a} o(||x-a||)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = f(a) + Df(a) \cdot \lim_{x \to a} (x-a) + \lim_{x \to a} o(||x-a||)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = f(a) + Df(a) \cdot 0 + \lim_{x \to a} o(||x-a||)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = f(a) + \lim_{x \to a} o(||x-a||)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = f(a) + \lim_{x \to a} \left(\frac{||x-a||o(||x-a||)}{||x-a||} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = f(a)$$

(iii)

We can continue to derive from part (i):

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{nt^2} \sum_{i}^{n} (f'_i - f_i)^2 \right) - \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{2}{nt} \sum_{i}^{n} (f'_i - f_i) \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(f'_i - f_i)^2}{t^2} - \sum_{i}^{n} 2 \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f'_i - f_i}{t} + \sum_{i}^{n} (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^2 \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \left(\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(f'_i - f_i)^2}{t^2} - 2 \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f'_i - f_i}{t} + (\sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij})^2 \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \left(\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f'_i - f_i}{t} - \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij} \right)^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f'_i - f_i}{t} = \sum_{j}^{m} A_{ij}$$

which implies that the sum of each row i of A is how sensitive f_i is to a small change of t. A is the Jacobian matrix of f and is express as:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Correct Answer

Let $h = te_j$ where e_j is the jth canonical basis vector.

$$\begin{split} &f(x+te_j)-f(x)=Df(x)te_j+o(||te_j||)\\ &\Rightarrow \frac{f(x+te_j)-f(x)}{t}=\frac{Df(x)te_j}{t}+\frac{o(||te_j||)}{t}\\ &\Rightarrow \frac{f(x+te_j)-f(x)}{t}=Df(x)e_j+\frac{o(||te_j||)}{t}\\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{f(x+te_j)-f(x)}{t}=\lim_{t\to 0}Df(x)e_j+\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{o(||te_j||)}{t}\\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{f(x+te_j)-f(x)}{t}=Df(x)e_j+\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{o(||te_j||)}{t}\\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{f(x+te_j)-f(x)}{t}=Df(x)e_j+\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{|t|o(||e_j||)}{t}\\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{f(x+te_j)-f(x)}{t}=Df(x)e_j+\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{|t|o(||e_j||)}{t}\\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{f(x+te_j)-f(x)}{t}=Df(x)e_j \end{split}$$

The left hand side denotes the directional derivative of f at x in the direction of e_j while the right hand side is the definition of the jth column of the matrix Df(x). Thus each column of Df(x) is the directional derivative of f at x along the direction of the canonical basis vectors i.e. the partial derivatives of f at x.

Exercise 4

(a)

The function can be rewritten as

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2}||u - c||^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}||Au||^2$$

where $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and each element at row i < N and column j is defined as

$$A_{ij} := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and its last row is defined as $A_{Nj} := 0$

(b)

For each entry of $\nabla f(u)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} = \begin{cases} u_i - c_i + \mu(u_i - u_{i+1}) & \text{if } i = 1\\ u_i - c_i + \mu(-u_{i-1} + 2u_i - u_{i+1}) & \text{if } 1 < i < n\\ u_i - c_i + \mu(-u_{i-1} + u_i) & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

(c)

$$\nabla f(u) = u - c + \mu A^{\top} A u$$

which can be verified since each element of $A^{\top}A$ can be expressed as

$$(A^{\top}A)_{1j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 1\\ -1 & \text{if } j = 2\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(A^{\top}A)_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j = i - 1\\ 2 & \text{if } j = i\\ -1 & \text{if } j = i + 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, 2 \le i \le N - 2$$

$$(A^{\top}A)_{Nj} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j = N - 1\\ 1 & \text{if } j = N\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(d)

$$\nabla f(u) = 0 \Rightarrow u - c + \mu A^{\top} A u = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow (\mu A^{\top} A + I) u = c$$

 $\mu A^{\top}A + I$ is symmetric. if $\det(\mu A^{\top}A + I) \neq 0$ then $\mu A^{\top}A + I$ is invertible and we can write $u = (\mu A^{\top}A + I)^{-1}c$

(e)

We need to show that the matrix $\mu A^{\top}A + I$ is invertible, *i.e.*, $\mu A^{\top}A + I$ is positive definite. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $\mu A^{\top}A + I$ and v be the corresponding eigenvector. Then we have

$$(\mu A^{\top} A + I)v = \lambda v.$$

By multiplying both sides by v^{\top} , we get

$$v^{\top}(\mu A^{\top}A + I)v = \lambda v^{\top}v.$$

Since $\mu A^{\top}A + I$ is symmetric, we have $v^{\top}(\mu A^{\top}A + I)v = \mu \|Av\|^2 + \|v\|^2$.

Since $\mu > 0$, we have $\mu \|Av\|^2 + \|v\|^2 > 0$ and thus, we get $\lambda > 0$.

This shows that all eigenvalues of $\mu A^{\top}A + I$ are positive, and hence it is positive definite and invertible.