Continuous Optimization: Assignment 1

Due on April 30, 2014

Honglu Ma **Hiroyasu Akada** **Mathivathana Ayyappan**

Exercise 1

(a)

Claim: $lim_{k\to\infty} x^{(k)} = \frac{1}{30}$
Proof:
Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $N = \max\{\frac{1}{90\epsilon}, 8\}$. Assume $n > N$. We have

$$n > N \Rightarrow n > 9 > \sqrt[3]{600} \Rightarrow n^3 > 600 \Rightarrow 5n^3 > 3000 \Rightarrow 10n^3 - 5n^3 > 3000 \Rightarrow 3000 + 5n^3 < 10n^3$$

and obviously

$$900n^4 > 150n^3 + 900n^4$$

To check the validity of the limit we need to show $\left|x^{(n)} - x^\star\right| < \epsilon$ where $x^\star = \frac{1}{30}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{n^4 - 100}{5n^3 + 30k^4} - \frac{1}{30}\right| &= \left|\frac{30n^4 - 3000 - 5n^3 - 30k^4}{150n^3 + 900n^4}\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{-3000 - 5n^3}{150n^3 + 900n^4}\right| \\ &= \frac{3000 + 5n^3}{150n^3 + 900n^4} \\ &< \frac{10n^3}{900n^4} = \frac{1}{90n} && \text{(by the inequalities above)} \\ &< \frac{1}{90N} \\ &< \frac{1}{\frac{90}{90\epsilon}} = \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

(b)

We have the following accumulation points:

$$x^{(8n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+2)} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+3)} = \begin{pmatrix}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+4)} = \begin{pmatrix}-1\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

$$x^{(8n+5)} = \begin{pmatrix}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+6)} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\-1\end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n+7)} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(8n)} = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

We can prove by showing that $x^{(k)}$ is not a cauchy sequence thus does not converges (Proposition A.5, Lecture Script) i.e. $\exists\epsilon > 0$ such that $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists n, m > N$ such that $\left\|x^{(n)} - x^{(m)}\right\| \geq \epsilon$.
Proof:
Let $\epsilon = 1$, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $n = 8N$ and $m = 8N + 4$. We have

$$\left\|x^{(8N)} - x^{(8N+4)}\right\| = \left\|\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}-1\\0\end{pmatrix}\right\| = 2 \geq 1 = \epsilon$$

Exercise 2

(a)

The interior of a set C is defined as the union of all open sets contained in C.
The closure of a set C is the set C together with all of its limit points.
(i) Let $C = \mathbb{R}$. We have $\text{int}(C) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{cl}(C) = \mathbb{R}$. It is obvious that $\text{int}(\text{cl}(C)) = \text{int}(C) = \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) Let $C = \mathbb{Q}$. We have $\text{int}(C) = \emptyset$ and $\text{cl}(C) = \mathbb{R}$. This is because every open interval in $\mathbb{R}$ contains irrational numbers. Thus $\text{int}(\text{cl}(C)) = \text{int}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \neq \text{int}(C) = \emptyset$.

Exercise 2 continued on next page...

(b)

Consider function $f(x) = \sqrt{||x||_1}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ has sublevel sets $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}$. The function can also be written as $f(x) = \sqrt{|x_1| + |x_2|}$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$.
Pick two elements x, y from the sublevel set of α such that $f(x) \leq \alpha$ and $f(y) \leq \alpha$. We have

$$f(x) = \sqrt{|x_1| + |x_2|} \leq \alpha \Rightarrow |x_1| + |x_2| \leq \alpha^2 \text{ and similarly } |y_1| + |y_2| \leq \alpha^2$$

Now take a point $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Also $z_1 = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1$, $z_2 = \lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
|z_1| + |z_2| &= |\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1| + |\lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2| \\
&\leq \lambda|x_1| + (1 - \lambda)|y_1| + \lambda|x_2| + (1 - \lambda)|y_2| \\
&\leq \lambda(|x_1| + |x_2|) + (1 - \lambda)(|y_1| + |y_2|) \\
&\leq \lambda\alpha^2 + (1 - \lambda)\alpha^2 = \alpha^2
\end{aligned}$$

thus $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}$ is a convex set.
Now we need to show that f is not convex. Let $x = (0, 0)$, $y = (1, 0)$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. We have $f(x) = 0$, $f(y) = 1$ and

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} > \lambda f(x) + (1 - \frac{1}{2})f(y) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Check here for visualization of the sublevel sets.

(c)

Let v_{N_A} be v's projection onto the null space. We know that v can be decomposite into

$$\begin{aligned}
v = A^\top\lambda + v_{N_A} &\Rightarrow Av = A(A^\top\lambda + v_{N_A}) \\
&\Rightarrow Av = AA^\top\lambda + 0 \\
&\Rightarrow Av = AA^\top\lambda \\
&\Rightarrow (AA^\top)^{-1}Av = \lambda
\end{aligned}$$

Replace λ in the original equation we get

$$\begin{aligned}
v = A^\top(AA^\top)^{-1}Av + v_{N_A} &\Rightarrow v - A^\top(AA^\top)^{-1}Av = v_{N_A} \\
&\Rightarrow (I - A^\top(AA^\top)^{-1}A)v = v_{N_A}
\end{aligned}$$

(d)

(i) Take $v \in \{x \in E \mid f(x) \geq c\}$ we have

$$f(v) \geq c > c - \frac{1}{k} \text{ for all } k > 1$$

(ii) Take $v \in \{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\}$. Let $f(v) = c + \frac{1}{k}$.
We can always pick a k' such that $k' \geq k$ so that $f(v) = c + \frac{1}{k} \geq c + \frac{1}{k'}$

Exercise 3

(i)

Proof: Assume that $\exists A_1, A_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) - A_1 h||}{||h||} = 0 \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) - A_2 h||}{||h||} = 0$$

Subtracting the two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) - A_1 h||}{||h||} - \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) - A_2 h||}{||h||} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{||f(x+h) - f(x) - A_1 h - f(x+h) + f(x) + A_2 h||}{||h||} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{||A_2 h - A_1 h||}{||h||} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{||(A_2 - A_1) h||}{||h||} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \frac{||(A_2 - A_1) \alpha u||}{||\alpha u||} = 0 && \text{let } \alpha u = h,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } u \text{ is an unit vector} \\
&\Rightarrow \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \frac{\alpha^2 ||(A_2 - A_1) u||}{\alpha^2 ||u||} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \frac{||(A_2 - A_1) u||}{||u||} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{||(A_2 - A_1) u||}{||u||} = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow ||(A_2 - A_1) u|| = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow A_2 = A_1 && \text{linear transformation is unique}
\end{aligned}$$

(ii)

(iii)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise 4

(a)

The function can be rewritten as

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2}||u - c||^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}||Au||^2$$

Exercise 4 continued on next page. . .

where $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1\times N}$ and each element at row i and column j is defined as

$$A_{ij} := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(b)

For each entry of $\nabla f(u)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} = \begin{cases} u_i - c_i + \mu(u_i - u_{i+1}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ u_i - c_i + \mu(-u_{i-1} + 2u_i - u_{i+1}) & \text{if } 1 < i < n \\ u_i - c_i + \mu(-u_{i-1} + u_i) & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

(c)

$$\nabla f(u) = u - c + \mu A^\top A u$$

which can be verified since each element of $A^\top A$ can be expressed as

$$(A^\top A)_{1j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } j = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(A^\top A)_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j = i-1 \\ 2 & \text{if } j = i \\ -1 & \text{if } j = i+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, 2 \leq i \leq N-2$$

$$(A^\top A)_{Nj} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j = N-1 \\ 1 & \text{if } j = N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(u) = 0 &\Rightarrow u - c + \mu A^\top A u = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow (\mu A^\top A + I)u = c \end{aligned}$$

$\mu A^\top A + I$ is symmetric. if $\det(\mu A^\top A + I) \neq 0$ then $\mu A^\top A + I$ is invertible and we can write

$$u = (\mu A^\top A + I)^- 1c$$

(e)

Argue that if $\mu A^\top A + I$ is invertible then the solution is unique.