

# Continuous Optimization: Assignment 9

Due on June 25, 2024

Honglu Ma

Hiroyasu Akada

Mathivathana Ayyappan

## Exercise 1

The problem of finding the closest point to another point can be formulated as

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \|x - p\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|x\|^2 = 4$$

while the problem of finding the farthest point can be formulated as

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \|x - p\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|x\|^2 = 4$$

which is equivalent to

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} -\|x - p\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|x\|^2 = 4$$

where  $p = (2, 4, 2)^\top$

### Closest Point

We want the constraint levelset to be tangent to the curve of the objective function at the optimal point i.e.

where  $f(x) = \|x - p\|^2$  and  $g(x) = \|x\|^2$  satisfying  $g(x) = 4$ .  
After calculating the gradients, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(x - p) &= 2\lambda x \\ x - p &= \lambda x \\ x &= \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} p \end{aligned}$$

$x$  still has to satisfy the constraint  $\|x\|^2 = 4$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda)^2} \|p\|^2 &= 4 \\ \frac{24}{(1 - \lambda)^2} &= 4 \\ \frac{6}{(1 - \lambda)^2} &= 1 \\ 1 - \lambda &= \pm\sqrt{6} \\ \lambda &= 1 \pm \sqrt{6} \end{aligned}$$

We have two solutions for  $x$ :

$$x = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} p$$

The Lagrange multiplier method gives us only the stationary points and we have to determine the minimum by checking which solution results in a smaller objective function value.

$$\|x - p\|^2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{6}}{-\sqrt{6}} \|p\|^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1 - \sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{6}} \|p\|^2$$

Apparently, the latter is smaller.

Thus, the closest point is  $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

## Farthest Point

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(x - p) &= -2\lambda x \\ x - p &= -\lambda x \\ x &= \frac{1}{1 + \lambda}p \end{aligned}$$

with constraint

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{1 + \lambda}p \right\|^2 &= 4 \\ \frac{24}{(1 + \lambda)^2} &= 4 \\ \lambda &= -1 \pm \sqrt{6} \end{aligned}$$

We have two solutions for  $x$ :

$$x = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}p$$

Which is the same as the ones we calculated for the closest point. Thus, the farthest point is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

## Exercise 2

The minimization problem is given by

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b$$

It is equivalent to

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b$$

Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2$  and  $c_i(x) = a_i^\top x$  where  $a_i$  is the  $i$ -th row of  $A$ .

The constraint  $Ax = b$  can be rewritten as  $m$  smaller constraints:  $c_i(x) = b_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Using the Lagrange multiplier method, we compose such equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla c_i(x) \\ x &= \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_i \\ x &= A^\top \lambda \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  is the Lagrange multiplier for the  $i$ -th constraint and  $\lambda$  is a column vector consists of all multipliers.

We also have the constraint level sets:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b \\ AA^\top \lambda &= b \\ \lambda &= (AA^\top)^{-1}b \\ \Rightarrow x &= A^\top (AA^\top)^{-1}b \end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 3

We have the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g(x) = 1$$

with  $f(x) = c^\top x + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|x - \bar{x}\|^2$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$   
 where  $x_i$  is the  $i$ -th element of  $x$ .

Using the Lagrange multiplier method, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(x) &= \lambda \nabla g(x) \\ c + \frac{1}{\tau}(x - \bar{x}) &= \lambda \mathbf{1} && \text{observe that } \nabla g(x) = \mathbf{1} \text{ which is a column vector of 1s} \\ x &= \tau(\lambda \mathbf{1} - c) + \bar{x} \end{aligned}$$

Plug  $x$  back into the constraint  $g(x) = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tau(\lambda - c_1) + \bar{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tau(\lambda - c_n) + \bar{x}_n \end{pmatrix}\right) &= 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(\lambda - c_i) + \bar{x}_i &= 1 \\ n\tau\lambda - \tau \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i &= 1 \\ n\tau\lambda - \tau g(c) + g(\bar{x}) &= 1 \\ \lambda &= \end{aligned}$$

Now that  $\lambda$  is determined, we can calculate  $x$  with the formula we derived earlier

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \tau\left(\frac{1 + \tau g(c) - g(\bar{x})}{n\tau} \mathbf{1} - c\right) + \bar{x} \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \tau g(c) - g(\bar{x})}{n} \mathbf{1} - c\right) + \bar{x} \end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 4

(a)

We can express the constrained set for each problem as such:

$$C_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\|^2 = 1 \text{ and } \langle v_i, x \rangle \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k-1\}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . The problem can be thus reformulated as

$$\lambda_i = \min_{x \in C_i} \langle x, Qx \rangle \text{ and } v_i \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in C_i} \langle x, Qx \rangle$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Observe that

$$C_n \subset C_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset C_1$$

We know that for any set  $A$  and  $B$ , if  $A \subset B$ , then

$$\inf_{x \in D} f(x) \leq \inf_{x \in C} f(x)$$

which in our case, implies

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$$

(b)

**Proof by Contradiction**

Assume that set  $V := \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is not a linearly independent set i.e. there exists  $v_k \in V$  s.t.  $v_k = \sum_{i \neq k} \mu_i v_i$ . We also know by the constraint, that  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0 \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, i \neq j$ . Take any  $v_j$  from  $V$  s.t.  $j \neq k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_k, v_j \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i \neq k} \mu_i v_i, v_j \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i \neq k, i \neq j} \mu_i v_i, v_j \right\rangle + \langle v_j, v_j \rangle \\ &= 0 + \langle v_j, v_j \rangle \\ &= \langle v_j, v_j \rangle \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

We know that

$$\lambda_1 = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c_1(x) = 1$$

where  $f(x) = \langle x, Qx \rangle$  and  $c_1(x) = \|x\|^2$ .

Apply the Lagrange multiplier method, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(x) &= \tilde{\lambda}_1 \nabla c_1(x) \\ Qx &= \tilde{\lambda}_1 x \end{aligned}$$

with Lagrange multiplier  $\tilde{\lambda}_1$  and constraint  $c_1(x) = 1$ .

One can interpret this as  $\tilde{\lambda}_1$  being the eigenvalue of  $Q$  and  $x$  being the eigenvector.

## Exercise 5

(a)

We can find the projection of  $\bar{x}$  onto set  $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle a, x \rangle \leq b\}$  by solving a constrained optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x - \bar{x}\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \langle a, x \rangle = b$$

We have the following two conditions:

1.  $\hat{x} \in C$
2.  $\hat{x} \notin C$

By Fermat's Rule, we know that  $-\nabla f(\hat{x}) = N_C(\hat{x})$ .

If  $\hat{x} \in C$ , then  $N_C(\hat{x}) = \{0\}$ . We have

$$-\nabla f(\hat{x}) = 0$$

$$\bar{x} - \hat{x} = 0$$

$$\hat{x} = \bar{x}$$

If  $\hat{x} \notin C$ , then  $N_C(\hat{x}) = \{\hat{x} + t \frac{a}{\|a\|} \mid t \geq 0\}$ . We have

$$-\nabla f(\hat{x}) = \hat{x} + t \frac{a}{\|a\|}$$

$$\bar{x} - \hat{x} = \hat{x} + t \frac{a}{\|a\|}$$

$$\hat{x} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - t \frac{a}{\|a\|})$$

We also know that  $\hat{x} \in C$  i.e.

$$\langle a, \hat{x} \rangle = b$$

$$\langle a, \frac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - t \frac{a}{\|a\|}) \rangle = b$$

$$\langle a, \bar{x} \rangle - t\|a\| = 2b$$

$$t = \frac{\langle a, \bar{x} \rangle - 2b}{\|a\|}$$

Plug  $t$  back into the formula of  $\hat{x}$ , we have

$$\hat{x} = \bar{x} - \frac{\langle a, \bar{x} \rangle}{\|a\|^2} a$$

In both condition  $\hat{x}$  is expressed as a function of  $\bar{x}$  which shows that the projection is singleton.