Sciencexpress

Measurement of the Instantaneous Velocity of a Brownian Particle

Tongcang Li, Simon Kheifets, David Medellin, Mark G. Raizen*

Center for Nonlinear Dynamics and Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: raizen@physics.utexas.edu

Brownian motion of particles impacts many branches of science. We report on the Brownian motion of micronsized beads of glass held in air in an optical tweezer, over a wide range of pressures, and measure the instantaneous velocity of a Brownian particle. Our results provide direct verification of the energy equipartition theorem for a Brownian particle. For short times, the ballistic regime of Brownian motion is observed, in contrast to the usual diffusive regime. We discuss the applications of these methods towards cooling the center of mass motion of a bead in vacuum to the quantum ground motional state.

In 1907, Albert Einstein published a paper in which he considered the instantaneous velocity of a Brownian particle (I,2). By measuring this quantity, one could prove that "the kinetic energy of the motion of the centre of gravity of a particle is independent of the size and nature of the particle and independent of the nature of its environment". This is one of the basic tenets of statistical mechanics, known as the equipartition theorem. However, due to the very rapid randomization of the motion, Einstein concluded that the instantaneous velocity of a Brownian particle would be impossible to measure in practice.

We report here on the measurement of the instantaneous velocity of a Brownian particle in a system consisting of a single, micrometer-sized SiO₂ bead held in a dual-beam optical tweezer in air, over a wide range of pressures. The velocity data was used to verify the Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distribution, and the equipartition theorem for a Brownian particle. The ability to measure instantaneous velocity enables new fundamental tests of statistical mechanics of Brownian particles, and is also a necessary step towards cooling of a particle to the quantum ground motional state in vacuum.

The earliest quantitative studies of Brownian motion were focused on measuring velocities, and generated enormous controversy (3,4). The measured velocities of Brownian particles (3) were almost 1000-fold smaller than predicted by the energy equipartition theorem. Recent experiments on Brownian motion in liquid (5-7) and gaseous environments (8-10) with fast detectors have observed nondiffusive motion of a Brownian particle.

Einstein's theory predicts that $\langle [\Delta x(t)]^2 \rangle = 2Dt$, where $\langle [\Delta x(t)]^2 \rangle$ is the mean-square displacement (MSD) in one dimension of a free Brownian particle during time t, and D is the diffusion constant (11). The diffusion constant can be

calculated by $D = k_B T / \gamma$, where k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature, and γ is the Stokes friction coefficient. The mean velocity measured over an interval of time t is $\overline{v} \equiv \sqrt{\left\langle \left[\Delta x(t) \right]^2 \right\rangle} / t = \sqrt{2D} / \sqrt{t}$. This diverges as t approaches 0, and therefore does not represent the real velocity of the particle (1,2). The equation $\langle [\Delta x(t)]^2 \rangle = 2Dt$, however, is only valid when $t \gg \tau_p$, i.e., in the diffusive regime. Here $\tau_p = m/\gamma$ is the momentum relaxation time of a particle with mass m. At very short time scales ($t << \tau_n$), the dynamics of a particle is dominated by its inertia, and the motion is ballistic. The dynamics of a Brownian particle over all time scales can be described by a Langevin equation (12). The MSD of a Brownian particle at very short time scales is predicted to be $\langle [\Delta x(t)]^2 \rangle = (k_B T / m) t^2$, and its instantaneous velocity can be measured as $v = \Delta x(t)/t$, when $t \ll \tau_p$ (13).

For a 1 μm diameter silica (SiO₂) sphere in water, τ_p is about 0.1 μs and the root mean square (rms) velocity is about 2 mm/s in one dimension. To measure the instantaneous velocity with 10% uncertainty, one would require 2 pm spatial resolution in 10 ns, far beyond what is experimentally achievable today (7). Due to the lower viscosity of gas, compared to liquid, the τ_p of a particle in air is much larger.

This lowers the technical demand for both temporal and spatial resolution. The main difficulty of performing high precision measurements of a Brownian particle in air, however, is that the particle will fall under the influence of gravity. We overcome this problem by using optical tweezers to simultaneously trap and monitor a silica bead in air and vacuum, allowing long duration ultra-high-resolution measurements of its motion.

For small displacements, the effect of optical tweezers on the bead's motion can be approximated by a harmonic potential. The MSD of a Brownian particle in an underdamped harmonic trap in air can be obtained by solving the Langevin equation (14):

$$\left\langle \left[\Delta x(t) \right]^2 \right\rangle = \frac{2k_B T}{m\omega_0^2} \left[1 - e^{-t/2\tau_p} \left(\cos \omega_1 t + \frac{\sin \omega_1 t}{2\omega_1 \tau_p} \right) \right] \quad (1)$$

where ω_0 is the resonant frequency of the trap, and $\omega_1 \equiv \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - 1/(2\tau_p)^2}$. The normalized velocity autocorrelation function (VACF) of the particle is (14)

$$\psi(t) = e^{-t/2\tau_p} \left(\cos \omega_1 t - \frac{\sin \omega_1 t}{2\omega_1 \tau_p} \right)$$
 (2)

In the simplified scheme of our optical trap and vacuum chamber (Fig. 1), the trap is formed inside a vacuum chamber by two counter-propagating laser beams focused to the same point by two identical aspheric lenses with focal length of 3.1 mm and numerical aperture of 0.68 (15). The two 1064 nm laser beams are orthogonally polarized, and their frequencies differ by 160 MHz to avoid interference. The scattering forces exerted on the bead by the two beams cancel, while the gradient forces near the center of the focus create a three dimensional harmonic potential for the bead. When the bead deviates from the center of the trap, it deflects both trapping beams. The position of the bead is monitored by measuring the deflection of one of the beams, which is split by a mirror with a sharp edge. The difference between the two halves is measured by a fast balanced detector (7,16).

The lifetime of a bead in our trap in air is much longer than our measurement times over a wide range of pressures and trap strengths. We have tested it by trapping a 4.7 μ m bead in air continuously for 46 hours, during which the power of both laser beams was repeatedly changed from 5 mW to 2.0 W. The trap becomes less stable in vacuum. The lowest pressure at which we have trapped a bead without extra stabilization is about 0.1 Pa.

For studying the Brownian motion of a trapped bead, unless otherwise stated, the powers of the two laser beams are 10.7 mW and 14.1 mW (15), the diameter of the bead is 3 µm, the temperature of the system is 297 K, and the air pressure is 99.8 kPa or 2.75 kPa. The trapping is stable, and the heating due to laser absorption is negligible under these conditions. In typical samples of position and velocity traces of a trapped bead (Fig. 2), the position traces of the bead at these two pressures appear very similar. On the other hand, the velocity traces are clearly different. The instantaneous velocity of the bead at 99.8 kPa changes more frequently than that at 2.75 kPa, because the momentum relaxation time is shorter at higher pressure.

Figure 3 shows the mean square displacements of a 3 µm silica bead as a function of time. The measured MSD's fit with Eq. 1 over three decades of time for both pressures. The calibration factor $\alpha \equiv position/voltage$ of the detection system is the only fitting parameter of Eq. 1 for each pressure. τ_p and ω_0 are obtained from the measured normalized VACF. The two α 's obtained for these two pressures differ by 10.8%. This is because the vacuum chamber is distorted slightly when the pressure is decreased

from 99.8 kPa to 2.75 kPa. The measured MSD's are completely different from those predicted by Einstein's theory of Brownian motion in a diffusive regime. The slopes of measured MSD curves at short time scales are double those of the MSD curves of diffusive Brownian motion in the loglog plot (Fig. 3A). This is because the MSD is proportional to t^2 for ballistic Brownian motion, while it is proportional to tfor diffusive Brownian motion. Another important feature is that the MSD curves are independent of air pressure at short time scales, as is predicted by $\langle [\Delta x(t)]^2 \rangle = (k_B T / m) t^2$ for ballistic Brownian motion, whereas the MSD in the diffusive regime does depend on the air pressure. At long time scales, the MSD saturates at a constant value because of the optical trap. Fig. 3B displays more detail of the Brownian motion at short time scales. It clearly demonstrates that we have observed ballistic Brownian motion.

The distributions of the measured instantaneous velocities (Fig. 4A) agree very well with the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. The measured rms velocities are $v_{rms} = 0.422$ mm/s at 99.8 kPa and $v_{rms} = 0.425$ mm/s at 2.75 kPa. These are very close to the prediction of the energy equipartition theorem, $v_{rms} = \sqrt{k_B T/m}$, which is 0.429 mm/s. As expected, the velocity distribution is independent of pressure. The rms value of the noise signal is 0.021 mm/s, which means we have $1.0 \oplus$ spatial resolution in 5 μ s. This measurement noise is about 4.8% of the rms velocity. Fig. 4A represents direct verification of the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of velocities and the equipartition theorem of energy for Brownian motion. For a Brownian particle in liquid, the inertial effects of the liquid become important. The measured rms velocity of the particle will be

 $v_{rms} = \sqrt{k_B T / m^*}$ in the ballistic regime, where the effective mass m* is the sum of the mass of the particle and half the mass of the displaced fluid (17). This is different from the equipartition theorem. In order to measure the true instantaneous velocity in liquid as predicted by the equipartition theorem, the temporal resolution must be much shorter than the time scale of acoustic damping, which is about 1 ns for a 1 μ m particle in liquid (17).

Figure 4B shows the normalized VACF of the bead at two different pressures. At 2.75 kPa, one can see the oscillations due to the optical trap. Eq. 2 is independent of the calibration factor α of the detection system. The only independent variable is time t, which we can measure with high precision. Thus the normalized VACF provides an accurate method to measure τ_p and ω_0 . Fitting the normalized VACF with Eq. 2, we obtained $\tau_p = 48.5 \pm 0.1$ µs, $\omega_0 = 2\pi \cdot (3064 \pm 4)$ Hz at 99.8 kPa, and $\tau_p = 147.3 \pm 0.1$ µs, $\omega_0 = 2\pi \cdot (3168 \pm 0.5)$ Hz at 2.75 kPa. The trapping frequency changed by 3% due to the distortion of the vacuum chamber at different pressures. For a particle at a certain pressure and temperature, τ_p should be independent of the trapping frequency. We verified this by changing the total power of the two laser beams from 25 mW

to 220 mW. The measured τ_p changed less than 1.3% for both pressures, thus proving that the fitting method is accurate, and the heating due to the laser beams (which would change the viscosity and affect τ_p) is negligible. We can also calculate the diameter of the silica bead from the τ_p value at 99.8 kPa (18). The obtained diameter is 2.79 μ m. This is within the uncertainty range given by the supplier of 3.0 μ m silica beads. We use this value in the calculation of MSD and normalized VACF.

The ability to measure the instantaneous velocity of a Brownian particle will be invaluable in studying nonequilibrium statistical mechanics (19,20) and can be used to cool Brownian motion by applying a feedback force with a direction opposite to the velocity (21,22). In vacuum, our optically trapped particle promises to be an ideal system for investigating quantum effects in a mechanical system (16,23– 25), due to its near-perfect isolation from the thermal environment. Combining feedback cooling and cavity cooling, we expect to cool the Brownian motion of a bead starting from room temperature to the quantum regime, as predicted by recent theoretical calculations (24,25). We have directly verified the energy equipartition theorem of Brownian motion. However, we also expect to observe deviation from this theorem when the bead is cooled to the quantum regime. The kinetic energy of the bead will not approach zero even at 0 K because of its zero-point energy. The rotational energy of the bead should also become quantized.

References and Notes

- 1. A. Einstein, Theoretische Bemerkungen über die Brownsche Bewegung. *Zeit. f. Elektrochemie* **13**, 41 (1907).
- 2. A. Einstein, *Investigations on the Theory of the Brownian Movement*, R. Fürth, Ed., A. D. Cowper, Transl. (Methuen, London, 1926), pp. 63.
- 3. F. M. Exner, Ann. d. Phys. 2, 843 (1900).
- 4. M. Kerker, Brownian movement and molecular reality prior to 1900. *J. Chem. Educ.* **51**, 764 (1974).
- 5. B. Lukić *et al.*, Direct observation of nondiffusive motion of a Brownian particle. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 160601 (2005).
- 6. Y. Han *et al.*, Brownian motion of an ellipsoid. *Science* **314**, 626 (2006).
- I. Chavez, R. Huang, K. Henderson, E.-L. Florin, M. G. Raizen, Development of a fast position-sensitive laser beam detector. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 79, 105104 (2008).
- 8. P. D. Fedele, Y. W. Kim, Direct measurement of the velocity autocorrelation function for a Brownian test particle. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 691 (1980).
- 9. J. Blum *et al.*, Measurement of the translational and rotational Brownian motion of individual particles in a rarefied gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 230601 (2006).
- D. R. Burnham, P. J. Reece, D. McGloin, Brownian dynamics of optically trapped liquid aerosols. http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.4582 (2009).
- 11. A. Einstein, Ann. d. Phys. 17, 549 (1905).
- 12. P. Langevin, C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris), 146, 530 (1908).

- 13. G. E. Uhlenbeck, L. S. Ornstein, On the theory of the Brownian motion. *Phys. Rev.* **36**, 823 (1930).
- 14. M. C. Wang, G. E. Uhlenbeck, On the theory of the Brownian motion II. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 17, 323 (1945).
- 15. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on *Science* online.
- 16. K. G. Libbrecht, E. D. Black, Toward quantum-limited position measurements using optically levitated microspheres. *Phys. Lett. A* **321**, 99 (2004).
- 17. R. Zwanzig, M. Bixon, Compressibility effects in the hydrodynamic theory of Brownian motion. *J. Fluid Mech.* **69**, 21 (1975).
- 18. A. Moshfegh, M. Shams, G. Ahmadi, R. Ebrahimi, A novel surface-slip correction for microparticles motion. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. and Eng. Aspects* **345**, 112 (2009).
- 19. R. Kubo, Brownian motion and nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. *Science* **233**, 330 (1986).
- 20. G. M. Wang, E. M. Sevick, E. Mittag, D. J. Searles, D. J. Evans, Experimental demonstration of violations of the second law of thermodynamics for small systems and short time scales. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 050601 (2002).
- 21. A. Hopkins, K. Jacobs, S. Habib, K. Schwab, Feedback cooling of a nanomechanical resonator. *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 235328 (2003).
- 22. D. Kleckner, D. Bouwmeester, Sub-kelvin optical cooling of a micromechanical resonator. *Nature* **444**, 75 (2006).
- 23. A. Ashkin, J. M. Dziedzic, Optical levitation in high vacuum. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **28**, 333 (1976).
- 24. D. E. Chang *et al.*, Cavity opto-mechanics using an optically levitated nanosphere. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 1005 (2010).
- 25. O. Romero-Isart, M. L. Juan, R. Quidant, J. Ignacio Cirac, Towards quantum superposition of living organisms. *New J. Phys.* **12**, 033015 (2010).
- 26. MGR acknowledges support from the Sid W. Richardson Foundation and the R. A. Welch Foundation grant no. F-1258. DM acknowledges support from CONACYT for his graduate fellowship (206429). The authors would also like to thank E.-L. Florin and Zhangqi Yin for helpful discussions, and I. Popov for his help in the experiment.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1189403/DC1 Materials and Methods

10 March 2010; accepted 10 May 2010 Published online 20 May 2010; 10.1126/science.1189403 Include this information when citing this paper

- **Fig 1**. Simplified schematic showing the counter-propagating dual-beam optical tweezers, and a novel detection system that has 75 MHz bandwidth and ultra-low noise. The *s*-polarized beam is reflected by a polarizing beam splitter cube after it passes through a trapped bead inside a vacuum chamber. For detection, it is split by a mirror with a sharp edge. The *p*-polarized beam passes through the cube.
- Fig 2. One-dimensional trajectories of a 3 μm diameter silica bead trapped in air at 99.8 kPa (**A**) and 2.75 kPa (**B**). The

instantaneous velocities of the bead corresponding to these trajectories are shown in (**C**) and (**D**).

Fig 3. **(A)** The mean square displacements of a 3 μ m silica bead trapped in air at 99.8 kPa (red square) and 2.75 kPa (black circle). They are calculated from 40 million position measurements for each pressure. The "noise" signal (blue triangle) is recorded when there is no particle in the optical trap. The solid lines are theoretical predications of Eq. 1. The prediction of Einstein's theory of free Brownian motion in the diffusive regime is shown in dashed lines for comparison. **(B)** MSD's at short time scales are shown in detail. The dash-dot line indicates ballistic Brownian motion of a free particle.

Fig 4. (A) The distribution of the measured instantaneous velocities of a 3 μ m silica bead. The statistics at each pressure is calculated from 4 million instantaneous velocities. The solid lines are Maxwell-Boltzmann distributions. We obtained $V_{rms} = 0.422$ mm/s at 99.8 kPa (red square) and

 $V_{rms}=0.425$ mm/s at 2.75 kPa (black circle) from the measurements. The rms value of the noise (blue triangle) is 0.021 mm/s. (**B**) The normalized velocity autocorrelation functions of the 3 μ m bead at two different pressures. The solid lines are fittings with Eq. 2.







