



# **Campaign Analysis - Promotion Impact Analysis**

(Nutrition Supplements Company)

Impact assessment of various marketing campaigns

## PROMOTION IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR NUTRITION SUPPLEMENTS BRAND



#### **ABOUT THE CLIENT**

Client is a direct-to-consumer nutrition supplements brand with presence in multiple countries across the globe



#### **SITUATION**

- Client was evaluating multiple marketing strategies (Email, Voicemail, SMS etc.) to enhance customer conversion rates, retention and reactivations, and wanted to understand the effectiveness of each strategy using control and test groups
- Merilytics partnered with the client to evaluate the impact of marketing campaigns by analyzing and comparing the customers behavior (from the control and target group or pre-post period) in response to the campaign





- Segmented customers into control and test groups for trial marketing promotion campaign, ensuring the groups are comparable and statistically similar based on demographics, age, lifetime orders/tenure etc.
- **Defined pre-period and post-periods for new campaigns to** estimate the impact of the marketing campaigns and compare the results across the groups
- Calculated key metrics such as customer conversion rate, retention, and reactivations in the pre-post period / test-control group for each campaign to identify
  the optimal marketing strategy
- Devised checks to ensure the post period or the test group lift is attributable to the marketing promotion campaign by measuring the statistical significance of the results





- Promotion Impact analysis helped evaluate the marketing strategies and identify the marketing promotion campaigns and parameters that are delivering best performance in terms of customer conversion, retention, and reactivations
- The Health Concern Focused Advt. analysis and other test campaign analyses helped the client avoid marketing campaigns that do not deliver any incremental value against the cost incurred in running the campaigns

## MARKETING PROMOTION CAMPAIGN SCENARIOS



## Direct Mail (DM) vs. E-Mail (EM)

Analyzed customer retention trends of customers from different groups i.e., **DM**, **EM**, **DM+EM** and **Holdout** 

#### **Letter Friend**

Analyzed customer subscription reactivations across three variations in handwritten letters sent to a group of lapsed customers

#### **Health Concern Focused Advt.**

Compared overall lift in web traffic / customer conversion rate for **pre-post period scenario** and impact on conversion of customers with specifically mentioned concerns in the advt.

## Sly Broadcast

Analyzed the customer subscription reactivations from the two segments of the Slybroadcast (long vs. short mail version)

### **Email Type**

Compared the performance of **Generic vs Social Impact themed emails** sent out to lapsed customers

#### Direct Mail (DM) vs. Voice Mail (VM)

Compared conversion rate from different groups i.e., **DM vs. DM+VM** 

## PRE-POST PERIOD ANALYSIS OF PROMOTION



#### **ILLUSTRATIVE**

#### **Pre-Post lift in Web traffic and conversion**

Total VAT and Conversions		Before Ads		А	fter Ads			
Gender-AgeGroup	Total VAT's	Conversions	Conversion rate	Total VAT's	Conversions	Conversion rate	%increase in vat's	% increase in conversions
F-Over 35	189,0	)55 23,135	12%	362,805	37,075	10%	92%	60%
F-Under 35	90,5	515 9,485	10%	153,815	14,870	10%	70%	57%
M-Over 35	20,2	295 3,165	16%	33,195	4,110	12%	64%	30%
M-Under 35	12,7	700 2,130	17%	15,445	2,445	16%	22%	15%
Grand Total	312,5	65 37,915	12%	565,260	58,500	10%	81%	54%

VAT and Conversions with any health concern	Вє	fore Ads		А	fter Ads			
Gender-AgeGroup	VAT's with any concern	Conversions	Conversion rate	VAT's with any concern	Conversions	Conversion rate	%increase in vat's	% increase in conversions
F-Over 35	163,790	17,995	11%	310,300	30,905	10%	89%	72%
F-Under 35	71,630	7,045	10%	123,235	12,055	10%	72%	71%
M-Over 35	18,275	2,515	14%	30,940	3,465	11%	69%	38%
M-Under 35	11,195	1,665	15%	13,900	2,045	15%	24%	23%
Grand Total	264,890	29,220	11%	478,375	48,470	10%	81%	66%

	% of total VAT	's with any hea	lth concern	% of total VAT's				
Health Concern	Before Ads	After Ads	pps difference	Before Ads		After Ads	pps difference	
C1	11.49	5% 13.58%	2.1%		9.70%	11.49%	1.89	
C2	9.42	2% 10.15%	0.7%		7.98%	8.59%	0.69	
C3	5.88	3% 5.76%	-0.1%		4.99%	4.87%	-0.19	
C4	4.26	5% 4.35%	0.1%	,	3.61%	3.68%	0.19	
C5	5.46	5% 4.58%	-0.9%		4.63%	3.88%	-0.89	
C6	4.06	5% 4.20%	0.1%		3.44%	3.56%	0.19	
C7	5.63	3% 3.95%	-1.7%		4.77%	3.35%	-1.4	
C7	2.94	1% 2.86%	-0.1%		2.49%	2.42%	-0.1	
C8	2.95	5% 2.66%	-0.3%		2.50%	2.25%	-0.3	
C9	0.00	0.00%	0.0%		1.52%	1.50%	0.0	
C10	2.26	5% 1.65%	-0.6%		1.91%	1.39%	-0.5	
C11	1.19	9% 1.06%	-0.1%		1.01%	0.89%	-0.1	
C12	1.07	7% 0.96%	-0.1%		0.90%	0.81%	-0.1	
013	0.29	9% 0.37%	0.1%		0.25%	0.31%	0.1	
014	0.14	1% 0.08%	-0.1%	,	0.12%	0.07%	-0.1	
C15	0.05	5% 0.00%	0.0%	,	0.04%	0.00%	0.0	
C16	0.04	1% 0.00%	0.0%		0.03%	0.00%	0.0	

Measuring the overall impact on web traffic who take Virtual Assessment Test (VAT) and conversion of new customers via Pre-Post period of the campaign based on start/end date

Measuring the impact on web traffic who take Virtual Assessment Test (VAT) and conversion of new customers with specific health concern mentioned in the advt. via Pre-Post period of the campaign based on start/end date

Measuring and comparing the impact in web-traffic of customers with specific health concerns who take Virtual Assessment Test (VAT) between concerns called-out in Advt. vs the ones not called out.

# **BREAKEVEN ANALYSIS FOR A/B TEST SCENARIOS**



## **ILLUSTRATIVE**

#### TARGET LIFETIME ORDERS FOR BREAK-EVEN

			otal Cost as									
	Discount	P	ercentage of Gross	#Target Considered	Baseline LTC	)						
		50%	52%	10	0,000	5.8						
									Baseline Gross	Target Gross	Additional Orders	
Target Method	AOV	N	Mailing Cost	Conversion Rate	Discount		Total Cost per Order	Gross Revenue	Margin	Margin	Required	Target LTO
DM		\$90.0	\$45,082		0.17 %	\$45	\$47	\$86,851	(\$10,933)	\$24,640	4.9	10.7
DM+EM		\$89.0	\$45,082		0.18 %	\$44	\$46	\$93,170	(\$8,448)	\$24,640	4.3	10.0
EM		\$93.4	\$0		0.12 %	\$47	\$49	\$62,666	\$24,640	\$24,640	0	5.8
Holdout		\$91.5	\$0		0.12 %	\$46	\$48	\$62,346	\$24,514	\$24,514	0	5.8

Breakeven Analysis measuring the Target Lifetime Orders (LTO) or Target Conversion rate needed to breakeven on the costs incurred across the various A/B test scenarios of Direct Mail

(DM), E-Mail (EM) & DM+EM Campaigns

Average Order Value (AOV)

#### **TARGET CONVERSION RATE FOR BREAK-EVEN**

Total Cost as
Percentage of Gross

Discount Order Value #Target Considered Baseline LTO
50% 52% 100,000 5.8

			,						
							Baseline Gross	Target Gross	Target Conversion
Target Method	AOV	Mailing Cost	Conversion Rate	Discount	Total Cost per Order	Gross Revenue	Margin	Margin	Rate
DM	\$90	.0 \$45,08	2 0.17%	\$45	\$47	\$86,85	1 (\$10,933)	\$24,640	0.34%
DM+EM	\$89	.0 \$45,08	2 0.18%	\$44	\$46	\$93,17	(\$8,448)	\$24,640	0.35%
EM	\$93	.4 \$	0.12%	\$47	\$49	\$62,66	\$24,640	\$24,640	0.12%
Holdout	\$91	.5 \$	0.12%	\$46	\$48	\$62,34	5 \$24,514	\$24,514	0.12%