

USAGE OF MIXED INTEGER LINEAR PROGRAMMING IN CRYPTANALYSIS OF BLOCK CIPHERS

Halil İbrahim Kaplan

Istanbul Technical University
Informatics Institute

2025

Table of Contents

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 MILP
- 3 Cryptanalysis
- 4 ITUbee Block Cipher
- 5 MILP representation of block ciphers
- 6 References

Table of Contents

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 MILP
- 3 Cryptanalysis
- 4 ITUbee Block Cipher
- 5 MILP representation of block ciphers
- 6 References

Block Ciphers

Block ciphers designed to securely encrypt and decrypt fixed-size blocks of data by transforming plaintext into ciphertext using a symmetric key.




Figure 1: Block Cipher Encryption and Decryption

The main purpose of cryptanalysis:

- Prove that an algorithm is secure against known attacks in the open literature
- Find better complexities for those attacks and contribute to the security of the algorithm
- Break it ! (breaking an algorithm means obtaining the key with a lower complexity than the algorithm claims.)

Table of Contents

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 MILP**
- 3 Cryptanalysis
- 4 ITUbee Block Cipher
- 5 MILP representation of block ciphers
- 6 References

Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP)

Goal: Optimize (maximize or minimize) a linear function.

$$c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \cdots + c_nx_n$$

Constraints:

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n \leq b_1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n \leq b_m$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

- x_i : decision variables
- c_i : objective function weights
- a_{ij} : constraint coefficients
- b_j : limits of constraints

MILP Example

A company produces two products: **A** and **B**. The goal: **maximize profit** under production limits.

$$Z = 40x + 30y$$

- Profit per unit of A = 40
- Profit per unit of B = 30

MILP Example: Constraints

Constraints:

- **Labor:** $2x + y \leq 100$ (100 hours available)
- **Machine:** $x + 3y \leq 90$ (90 hours available)
- **Demand:** $x \geq 20$ (min. 20 units of A)
- **Non-negativity:** $x, y \geq 0$

MILP example

We will use Sagemath and GLPK solver for modeling.

```
1 p.<x,y> = MixedIntegerLinearProgram(maximization=True,
    solver="GLPK")
2 p.set_objective(40*x[0] + 30*y[0])
3 p.add_constraint(2*x[0] + y[0] == 100)
4 p.add_constraint(x[0] + 3*y[0] == 90)
5 p.add_constraint(x[0] >= 20)
6 p.add_constraint(y[0] >= 0)
7 print(p.solve())
8 print(p.get_values(x, y))
```

- Maximum profit: 2160
- A : 42
- B : 16

Cryptanalysis and MILP




Table of Contents

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 MILP
- 3 Cryptanalysis**
- 4 ITUbee Block Cipher
- 5 MILP representation of block ciphers
- 6 References

Idea: Study how input differences between two plaintexts affect output differences in ciphertexts.

Definition

For two plaintexts P and P' :

$$\Delta P = P \oplus P'$$

Differential Cryptanalysis: Probability

- Input difference: ΔX
- Output difference: ΔY

In an ideal cipher: - For n -bit input,

$$\Pr[\Delta X \rightarrow \Delta Y] = \frac{1}{2^n}$$

In practice: - Some input differences lead to output differences with much higher probability.

Differential Characteristics

Differential		Probability	
Differential characteristic	$(\Delta X, \Delta Y_1)$	P_1	Round 1
	$(\Delta Y_1, \Delta Y_2)$	P_2	Round 2
	$(\Delta Y_2, \Delta Y)$	P_3	Round 3
$(\Delta X, \Delta Y)$		$P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3$	

Figure 2: Concept of constructing differential characteristic

Differential Cryptanalysis

$\Delta X / \Delta Y$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F
0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	0	0	0	0
2	0	4	0	4	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	2	2	0	0
5	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	4	0	2	2	0	0
6	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0
7	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	2
8	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2
9	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2
A	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
B	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2
C	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
D	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	2
E	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	0
F	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	2

Table 1: Differential Distribution Table (DDT) of PRINCE algorithm

Steps of Differential Cryptanalysis

- 1 **Select Differentials:** Use DDT to find $(\Delta X, \Delta Y)$ pairs with high probability.
- 2 **Collect Pairs:** Generate many plaintext pairs P, P' with $P \oplus P' = \Delta X$.
- 3 **Encrypt:** Process these pairs through the cipher.
- 4 **Analyze Outputs:** Check if observed ciphertext differences match expected ΔY .
- 5 **Key Recovery:** Use biases to guess round subkeys (esp. in the last rounds).

Differential Cryptanalysis




Figure 3: Differential Cryptanalysis

Related-key Differential Cryptanalysis

Adds differentials also to key schedule.




Figure 4: Related-key Differential Cryptanalysis

Table of Contents

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 MILP
- 3 Cryptanalysis
- 4 ITUbee Block Cipher**
- 5 MILP representation of block ciphers
- 6 References

ITUbee Block Cipher




Figure 5: ITUbee round structure

L Function

$$L(a, b, c, d, e) = (e \oplus a \oplus b, a \oplus b \oplus c, b \oplus c \oplus d, c \oplus d \oplus e, d \oplus e \oplus a)$$

F function

$$F(X) = S(L(S(X)))$$

$$S(a \parallel b \parallel c \parallel d \parallel e) = s[a] \parallel s[b] \parallel s[c] \parallel s[d] \parallel s[e]$$

Key Schedule

- 80-bit key is split into two 40-bit halves:

$$K = K_L \parallel K_R$$

- Odd rounds use K_R
- Even rounds use K_L
- No dedicated key schedule

Table of Contents

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 MILP
- 3 Cryptanalysis
- 4 ITUbee Block Cipher
- 5 MILP representation of block ciphers**
- 6 References

MILP Modelling of XOR Operation

Following [2],

x_{in1} , x_{in2} : input differences of XOR

x_{out} : output difference of XOR.

The branch number is 2.

We introduce a binary variable d :

- $d = 0$ if $x_{in1} = x_{in2} = x_{out} = 0$
- $d = 1$ otherwise

The XOR is modelled with:

$$x_{in1} + x_{in2} + x_{out} \geq 2d$$

$$d \geq x_{in1}$$

$$d \geq x_{in2}$$

$$d \geq x_{out}$$

MILP Modelling of Linear Transformation

Similarly, for a linear transformation with:

- Inputs: $x_{in1}, x_{in2}, \dots, x_{inM}$
- Outputs: $x_{out1}, x_{out2}, \dots, x_{outM}$

Let B be the branch number and d the dummy variable (as in XOR modelling).

The model is:

$$x_{in1} + \dots + x_{inM} + x_{out1} + \dots + x_{outM} \geq B \cdot d$$

and

$$d \geq x_{in_i}, \quad d \geq x_{out_j} \quad \forall i, j$$

MILP Representation of S-boxes

Goal: minimize the number of **active S-boxes** to find the best differential trails.

Key idea:

- Active input ($\neq 0$) \Rightarrow active output
- Passive input ($= 0$) \Rightarrow passive output

We only track **activity** with a binary variable — no internal structure is modelled.

H-representation example

$L(a, b, c) = (a \oplus b, a \oplus c, b \oplus c)$ where a, b, c are 2 bit values

Input Differences	Output Differences
0 0 0	0 0 0
0 0 1	0 1 1
0 1 0	1 0 1
0 1 1	1 1 0
0 1 1	1 1 1
1 0 0	1 1 0
1 0 1	1 0 1
1 0 1	1 1 1
1 1 0	0 1 1
...	...
1 1 1	1 0 1
1 1 1	1 1 0
1 1 1	1 1 1

Table 2: Input and Output Differences of L function

H-representation example

No.	Inequality
1	$(0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0)x + 1 \geq 0$
2	$(0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0)x + 1 \geq 0$
3	$(-1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)x + 1 \geq 0$
4	$(0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0)x + 1 \geq 0$
5	$(0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0)x + 1 \geq 0$
6	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1)x + 1 \geq 0$
7	$(0, -1, 1, 0, 0, 1)x + 0 \geq 0$
8	$(0, 0, 0, -1, 1, 1)x + 0 \geq 0$
...	...
18	$(-1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)x + 0 \geq 0$
19	$(1, -1, -1, 1, 1, -1)x + 1 \geq 0$
20	$(1, -1, 0, 1, 0, 0)x + 0 \geq 0$
21	$(-1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1)x + 1 \geq 0$
22	$(-1, -1, 1, -1, 1, 1)x + 1 \geq 0$

Table 3: H-representation of L function

Differential cryptanalysis model with MILP




Figure 6: ITUbee MILP differential cryptanalysis sketch

Differential cryptanalysis model with MILP

- Number of Constrains: 18169
- Number of Variables: 85
- Minimum number of active s-box for 3 round: 16.0
- Best probability for s-box: 2^{-6}
- Best probability for 3 round differential characteristic will be $(2^{-6})^{16} = 2^{-96}$

which is not usable for differential attack.

Differential cryptanalysis model with MILP

Stage	Binary Index	Characteristic
1. round input	R1	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
	R0	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
1. round middle values	R1a	{0: 1.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 1.0, 4: 1.0}
	R1b	{0: 0.0, 1: 1.0, 2: 1.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
	R1c	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
2. round input	R2	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
	R1	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
2. round middle values	R2a	{0: 1.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 1.0, 4: 1.0}
	R2b	{0: 0.0, 1: 1.0, 2: 1.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
	R2c	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
3. round input	R3	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
	R2	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
3. round middle values	R3a	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
	R3b	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
	R3c	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
4. round input	R4	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
	R3	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}

Table 4: Best 3 round differential characteristic of ITUbee algorithm




Figure 7: ITUbee MILP Related-Key Differential Cryptanalysis Sketch

Differential cryptanalysis model with MILP

- Number of Constrains: 48649
- Number of Variables: 320
- Minimum number of active s-box for 8 round: 16.0
- Best probability for s-box: 2^{-6}
- Best probability for 8 round differential characteristic will be $(2^{-6})^{16} = 2^{-96}$

which is not usable for related-key differential attack.

Stage	Binary Index	Characteristic
Key	KL	{0: 0.0, 1: 1.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 1.0, 4: 0.0}
	KR	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
1. round input	R1	{0: 0.0, 1: 1.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 1.0, 4: 0.0}
	R0	{0: 1.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
1. round middle values	R2	{0: 1.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
	R3-3a-3b-3c-3d	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
2. round input	R4	{0: 1.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
	R3	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
2. round middle values	R4a	{0: 0.0, 1: 1.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 1.0, 4: 0.0}
	R4b-4c-4d	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
...
7. round input	R9	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
	R8	{0: 1.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
7. round middle values	R9a-9b-9c-9d	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
8. round input	R10	{0: 1.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}
	R9	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
8. round middle values	R10a	{0: 0.0, 1: 1.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 1.0, 4: 0.0}
	R10b-10c-10d	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
9. round input	R11	{0: 0.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 0.0}
	R10	{0: 1.0, 1: 0.0, 2: 0.0, 3: 0.0, 4: 1.0}

Table 5: Best 8 round related-key differential characteristic of ITUbee algorithm

Our contribution

Stage	Differential	Linear	Related-key Differential
[1]	3 round	3 round	10 round
Our Result	3 round	3 round	8 round

Table 6: Result Comparison

Table of Contents

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 MILP
- 3 Cryptanalysis
- 4 ITUbee Block Cipher
- 5 MILP representation of block ciphers
- 6 References**

- [1] Ferhat Karakoç, Hüseyin Demirci, and A Emre Harmancı. “ITUbee: a software oriented lightweight block cipher”. In: *Lightweight Cryptography for Security and Privacy: Second International Workshop, LightSec 2013, Gebze, Turkey, May 6-7, 2013, Revised Selected Papers 2*. Springer. 2013, pp. 16–27.
- [2] Nicky Mouha et al. “Differential and linear cryptanalysis using mixed-integer linear programming”. In: *Information Security and Cryptology: 7th International Conference, Inscrypt 2011, Beijing, China, November 30–December 3, 2011. Revised Selected Papers 7*. Springer. 2012, pp. 57–76.