

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | DRMG applied to two-dimensional classical lattice models | 1 |
| 1.1 | Partition functions of classical lattices | 1 |
| 1.2 | Transfer matrices of lattice models | 1 |
| 1.2.1 | 1D Ising model | 1 |
| 1.2.2 | 2D Ising model | 2 |
| 1.3 | Partition function of the 2D Ising model as a tensor network . | 4 |
| 1.3.1 | A system of four spins | 4 |
| 1.3.2 | Thermodynamic limit | 5 |
| 1.3.3 | The transfer matrix as a tensor network | 6 |
| 1.4 | Transfer matrix renormalization group | 6 |
| 1.5 | Corner transfer matrices | 7 |
| 1.5.1 | Corner transfer matrix renormalization group | 7 |
| | Bibliography | 8 |

1

DRMG applied to two-dimensional classical lattice models

1.1 Partition functions of classical lattices

The central quantity in equilibrium statistical mechanics is the partition function Z , which, for a discrete system such as a lattice, is defined as

$$Z = \sum_s \exp(-\beta H(s)) \quad (1.1)$$

where the sum is over all microstates s , H is the energy function, and $\beta = T^{-1}$ the inverse temperature.

1.2 Transfer matrices of lattice models

1.2.1 1D Ising model

refer to Ising, talk a bit about model (magnetism etc).

Consider the 1D zero-field ferromagnetic Ising model, defined by the energy function

$$H(\sigma) = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j \quad (1.2)$$

Here, we sum over nearest neighbors $\langle ij \rangle$ and the spins σ_i take the values ± 1 . $J > 0$.

Assume, for the moment, that the chain consists of N spins, and apply periodic boundary conditions. The partition function of this system is given

by

$$Z_N = \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N \in \{-1, 1\}} \exp(-\beta H(\sigma)) \quad (1.3)$$

Exploiting the local nature of the interaction between spins, we can write

$$Z_N = \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N \in \{-1, 1\}} \prod_{\langle i, j \rangle} e^{K \sigma_i \sigma_j} \quad (1.4)$$

where we defined $K \equiv \beta J$.

Now, we can define the 2×2 matrix

$$T_{\sigma\sigma'} = \exp(K\sigma\sigma') \quad (1.5)$$

to obtain

$$Z_N = \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N} T_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} \cdots T_{\sigma_N\sigma_1} = \text{Tr} T^N \quad (1.6)$$

T is called the transfer matrix. Since T is, in fact, diagonalizable, $T^N = P D^N P^{-1}$, where P consists of the eigenvectors of T . By the cyclic property of the trace, we have

$$Z_N = \lambda_1^N + \lambda_2^N \quad (1.7)$$

Thus, we have reduced the problem of finding the partition function to an eigenvalue problem, which is quite easy in this case.

Note that in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$Z = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^N \quad (1.8)$$

where λ_1 is the non-degenerate largest eigenvalue (in absolute value) of T .

1.2.2 2D Ising model

Talk about exact solution (Onsager). Why is it important? Maybe star-triangle relation (Baxter). Not all IRF models solvable.

Next, we treat the two-dimensional, square-lattice Ising model. In two dimensions, the energy function is still written as in (1.2), but now every lattice site has four neighbors.

Let N be the number of columns and l be the number of rows of the lattice, and assume $l \gg N$. In the vertical direction, we apply periodic boundary

conditions, as in the one-dimensional case. In the horizontal direction, we keep an open boundary. We refer to N as the system size.

Similarly to the 1D case, the partition function can be written as

$$Z_N = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{\langle i,j,k,l \rangle} W(\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k, \sigma_l) \quad (1.9)$$

where the product runs over all groups of four spins sharing the same face. The Boltzmann weight of such a face is given by

$$W(\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k, \sigma_l) = \exp \left\{ \frac{K}{2} (\sigma_i \sigma_j + \sigma_j \sigma_k + \sigma_k \sigma_l + \sigma_l \sigma_i) \right\} \quad (1.10)$$

We can express the Boltzmann weight of a configuration of the whole lattice as a product of the Boltzmann weights of the rows

$$Z_N = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{r=1}^l W(\sigma_1^r, \sigma_2^r, \sigma_1^{r+1}, \sigma_2^{r+1}) \dots W(\sigma_{N-1}^r, \sigma_N^r, \sigma_{N-1}^{r+1}, \sigma_N^{r+1}) \quad (1.11)$$

where σ_i^r denotes the value of the i th spin of row r .

Now, we can generalize the definition of the transfer matrix to two dimensions, by defining it as the Boltzmann weight of an entire row

$$T_N(\sigma, \sigma') = W(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2) \dots W(\sigma_{N-1}, \sigma_N, \sigma'_{N-1}, \sigma'_N) \quad (1.12)$$

The dimensions of T_N are $2^N \times 2^N$.

Similarly as in the one-dimensional case, the partition function now becomes

$$Z_N = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{r=1}^l T_N(\sigma^r, \sigma^{r+1}) = \text{Tr} T_N^l \quad (1.13)$$

In the limit of an $N \times \infty$ cylinder, the partition function is once again determined by the largest eigenvalue¹.

$$Z_N = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} T_N^l = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_0)^l_N \quad (1.14)$$

The partition function in the thermodynamic limit is given by

$$Z = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Z_N \quad (1.15)$$

¹As in the 1D case, T is symmetric, so it is orthogonally diagonalizable

1.3 Partition function of the 2D Ising model as a tensor network

In calculating the partition function of 1D and 2D lattices, matrices of Boltzmann weights like W and T play a crucial role. We have formulated them in a way that is valid for any interaction-round-a-face (IRF) model, defined by

$$H \sim \sum_{\langle i,j,k,l \rangle} W(\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k, \sigma_l) \quad (1.16)$$

where the summation is over all spins sharing a face. W can contain 4-spin, 3-spin, 2-spin and 1-spin interaction terms. The Ising model is a special case of the IRF model, with W given by Equation 1.10.

We will now express the partition function of the 2D Ising model as a tensor network. The transfer matrix T is redefined in the process. This allows us to visualize the equations in a way that is consistent with the many other tensor network algorithms under research today.

Help! I don't know what the formal connection between the transfer matrix and the tensor network representation is! Yes you do! For any l , the trace over the transfer matrix is the same in both definitions of T . It is symmetric, so they should be related by a basis transformation. Is it, perhaps, exactly the basis in which the CTM is diagonal?

1.3.1 A system of four spins

We define

$$Q(\sigma_i, \sigma_j) = \exp(K\sigma_i\sigma_j) \quad (1.17)$$

as the Boltzmann weight of the bond between σ_i and σ_j . It is the same as the 1D transfer matrix in Equation 1.5.

The Boltzmann weight of a face W decomposes into a product of Boltzmann weights of bonds

$$W(\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k, \sigma_l) = Q(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)Q(\sigma_j, \sigma_l)Q(\sigma_l, \sigma_k)Q(\sigma_k, \sigma_i) \quad (1.18)$$

It is now easy to see that the partition function is equal to the contracted

Figure 1.1: Hallo hier staat nog niks

tensor network in Figure 1.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_{2 \times 2} &= \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4} \sum_{a, b, c, d} \delta_{\sigma_1, a} Q(a, b) \delta_{\sigma_2, b} Q(b, c) \delta_{\sigma_3, c} Q(c, d) \delta_{\sigma_4, d} Q(d, a) \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4} W(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

where the Kronecker delta is defined as usual:

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \tag{1.20}$$

1.3.2 Thermodynamic limit

We define the matrix P by

$$P^2 = Q \tag{1.21}$$

and can now write the partition function of an arbitrary square lattice as a tensor network of a single recurrent tensor a_{ijkl} , given by

$$a_{ijkl} = \sum_{a, b, c, d} \delta_{abcd} P_{ia} P_{jb} P_{kc} P_{ld} \tag{1.22}$$

where the generalization of the Kronecker delta is defined as

$$\delta_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_1 = \dots = i_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.23}$$

See ???. At the edges and corners, we have suitable 2 and 3 legged tensors, which we will also denote by a .

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ijk} &= \sum_{abc} \delta_{abc} P_{ia} P_{jb} P_{kc} \\
 a_{ij} &= \sum_{ab} \delta_{ab} P_{ia} P_{jb}
 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1.2: lalala nog niks

The challenge is to approximate this tensor network in the thermodynamic limit.

1.3.3 The transfer matrix as a tensor network

Say something about reshaping legs.

We can now redefine the row-to-row transfer matrix from Equation 1.12 as the tensor network expressed in Figure 1.2. For all l , it is still true that

$$Z_{N \times l} = \text{Tr} T_N^l = \sum_{i=1}^{2^N} \lambda_i^l \quad (1.24)$$

so the eigenvalues must be the same. That means that the new definition of the transfer matrix is related to the old one by a basis transformation

$$T_{\text{new}} = P T_{\text{old}} P^{-1} \quad (1.25)$$

1.4 Transfer matrix renormalization group

More about connection between 1D quantum and 2D classical

Pictures

Nishino [4] was the first one to apply DMRG in its modern form to approximate the transfer matrix of a two-dimensional classical lattice system.

In the limit of an $N \times \infty$ lattice, T_N^l becomes proportional to the projector onto the eigenspace of the largest eigenvalue

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} T_N^l = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_0)_N^l |\lambda_0\rangle_N \langle \lambda_0|_N \sim |\lambda_0\rangle_N \langle \lambda_0|_N \quad (1.26)$$

Now, the connection to ground state DMRG is clear. Recall the full-system density matrix of an N -site 1D quantum lattice system (the superblock) in the ground state (cf. (??))

$$\rho_N = |\Psi_0\rangle_N \langle\Psi_0|_N \quad (1.27)$$

Write down equations that are analogous to reduced density matrix. Pictures

1.5 Corner transfer matrices

The concept of corner transfer matrices for 2D lattices was first introduced by Baxter [1, 2, 3]. Whereas the row-to-row transfer matrix (1.12) corresponds to adding a row to the lattice, the corner transfer matrix adds a quadrant of spins. It is defined as

$$A_{\sigma, \sigma'} = \begin{cases} \sum \prod_{\langle i, j, k, l \rangle} W(\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k, \sigma_l) & \text{if } \sigma_1 = \sigma'_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma_1 \neq \sigma'_1 \end{cases} \quad (1.28)$$

Picture.

Here, the product runs over groups of four spins that share the same face, and the sum is over all spins in the interior of the quadrant. In a symmetric and isotropic model, we have

$$W(a, b, c, d) = W(b, a, d, c) = W(c, a, d, b) = W(d, c, b, a) \quad (1.29)$$

and the partition of an $N \times N$ lattice is expressed as

$$Z_{N \times N} = \text{Tr} \mathcal{A}^4 \quad (1.30)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, (1.30) is equal to (1.13).

1.5.1 Corner transfer matrix renormalization group

More about Baxter's variational approach.

Nishino and Okunishi combined ideas from Baxter and White to formulate the corner transfer matrix renormalization group [5].

Bibliography

- [1] RJ Baxter. “Dimers on a rectangular lattice”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 9.4 (1968), pp. 650–654.
- [2] RJ Baxter. “Variational approximations for square lattice models in statistical mechanics”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 19.5 (1978), pp. 461–478.
- [3] Rodney J Baxter. *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*. Elsevier, 1982. Chap. 13.
- [4] Tomotoshi Nishino. “Density matrix renormalization group method for 2D classical models”. In: *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* 64.10 (1995), pp. 3598–3601.
- [5] Tomotoshi Nishino and Kouichi Okunishi. “Corner transfer matrix renormalization group method”. In: *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* 65.4 (1996), pp. 891–894.