Homework I

Hanlin He (hxh160630)

June 27, 2017

Question 1. IP Addressing and Subnetting

a) To configure B and C as a subnet, it takes at least two available IP address, plus the reserve address for broadcast and the subnet itself. So it would be a subnet with subnet mask of `30`, or in dot-decimal notation of `255.255.255.252`. In conclusion, the number of addresses available for the Ethernet would be reduced by four.

b) As ARP proxy, B would pretend to be C in front of A.

i. Packets send sequence would be like table 1.

Table 1: All Packets Sent

Message Type	Sender	Sender's MAC Addr	Sender's IP Addr	Target MAC Addr	Target IP Addr
ARP Req	A	A's MAC addr	A's IP	00-00-00-00-00-00	C's IP
ARP reply	B	B's MAC addr	C's IP	A's MAC addr	A's IP
IP msg	A	A's MAC addr	A's IP	B's MAC addr	C's IP

ii. To implement the proxy ARP, B's routing table need to add rules that for for all received or generated packets destination at C's IP address, the packets would go through the WIFI interface. And for packets received from C with destination address not B, B would forward the message to the Ethernet interface.

Question 2. CIDR

If the router actually containing that subset failed, the connected router would detect that the link become unavailable and delete the “correct” routing policy. In the meantime, all the packets with the destination of that subset addresses would be forwarded to the router advertising the “big” address blocks, since it’s the only matching one, which lead to the wrong domain.

Question 3. Internet Basics

a) The core router need to know the actual network number since the router still based on the routing table to forward a packet. Because the packet only contains a destination IP address, it does not contain the AS number it wants to go to. In other word, BGP only helps the router to build the routing table, it do not generate its own forwarding table.

b) In theory, network administrator could redistribute all of the EBGP routes into the IGP. However, this is not recommended because of the large number of EBGP routes in the real Internet might cause the IGP crashes. By setting up iBGP among routers within an AS, and inject a default route (or boarder router pretend to have direct link with destination), the internal router could learn the best border router to use when sending a packet to any address.

c) Firstly, advertising the entire AS-path would make routing loop easily detected. Secondly, sometimes network administrator might want the network traffic go through specific path due to some policy decisions. Only by know the entire AS-path can such requirement be fulfilled.

d)

Question 4. Routing Policies

a) E would be willing to accept advertisement from D to maximize its monetary. Since if E accept from B, it would increase expenses. And if E accept from C, there will be no profit, since C is a peer.

b) To enforce E accept advertisement from C, network administrator could set up a higher local preference.

c) E would prefer A to use AS level path A $\rightarrow$ D $\rightarrow$ E. Because receiving traffic from D would increase profit via providing service to D.

d) To cause the traffic to propagate on path A $\rightarrow$ D $\rightarrow$ E, E can prepend its AS path towards B and C to make the path longer. That is, instead of advertising AS path `[E]`, E can advertising a longer AS path `[E,E]` to both B and C, and advertising the original AS path to D. By doing this, A would receive AS paths as:

- `[B,E,E]` from B,
- `[C,E,E]` from C,
- `[D,E]` from D.

A would choose the shorter one, A $\rightarrow$ D $\rightarrow$ E.

e) B, C and D should have at least the same local preference at AS A.
If, otherwise, local preference of D is lower, path A $\rightarrow$ D $\rightarrow$ E would be discarded in the first place.

Question 5. Dispute Graphs

a) The dispute graph for the system is shown in fig. 1.

Figure 1: Dispute Graph for Original System



b) The dispute graph for the new system is shown in fig. 2.

Figure 2: Dispute Graph for New System



c) i. After adding path $[3, 1, 0]$ to the system, AS0, AS1 and AS3 became a DISAGREE. Since the rest of the system contains no dispute cycle, based on the DISAGREE we know that the system have two stable state as shown in fig. 3.

Figure 3: Two Stable State of the System



ii. The system would always converge.
We know that a DISAGREE would converge even though it contains a dispute cycle(wheel). And the system now has no dispute cycle(wheel) other than the DISAGREE. Thus, we can conclude that the system would always converge.

Question 6. Hierarchical BGP

Question 7. Ethernet Multicast

Question 8. Reverse Path Flooding

Question 9. DVMRP