

Sequential Decision-Making for Inventory Control using Bayesian Decision Theory

Jonas Petersen
Ander Runge Walther

October 31, 2024

Abstract

Inventory control is a core aspect of supply chain management, balancing customer demand fulfillment against the costs of holding and ordering inventory. This paper addresses inventory management through the lens of Bayesian decision theory, enabling probabilistic decision-making under uncertainty. By establishing a framework for sequential decision-making over an infinite time horizon, an optimal solution tailored to a specific asymmetric cost function is derived, offering insights for practical implementations in inventory management.

1 Introduction

Inventory management is a critical component of supply chain management that involves overseeing the ordering, storage, and use of a company's inventory, including raw materials, components, and finished goods. Effective inventory control ensures that a company has adequate stock to meet demand while minimizing costs associated with holding inventory. In practice, this often requires a balance between minimizing stockouts, which disrupt service levels, and limiting overstocking, which incurs unnecessary holding costs.

The coordination of inventory and transportation decisions has gained significant attention over recent decades, driven by the need for specific practices like vendor-managed inventory (VMI), third-party logistics (3PL), and time-definite delivery (TDD) (see, e.g., (Çetinkaya and Lee, 2000; Alumur, 2012; Gürler, 2014)). These programs aim to optimize the balance between

inventory holding costs and transportation costs. Traditional inventory models often assume immediate delivery to meet demand, yet this can be inefficient due to the fixed costs of transportation, prompting companies to adopt shipment consolidation policies that merge smaller demands into larger, less frequent shipments (Çetinkaya and Bookbinder, 2003; Higginson and Bookbinder, 1994).

Three commonly implemented shipment consolidation policies—quantity-based, time-based, and hybrid—are particularly useful in improving cost efficiency by regulating shipment size or timing. Each policy type has distinct impacts on performance metrics, such as delay penalties, average inventory, and annual costs, guiding companies toward designing more efficient inventory-transportation systems (Çetinkaya, 2005; Wei, 2020; Çetinkaya and Lee, 2006).

While these approaches provide valuable frameworks, they do not explicitly account for the uncertainties inherent in demand fluctuations or the associated asymmetric costs of overstocking and understocking. In settings where inventory decisions must be made sequentially, uncertainty can be more effectively managed through Bayesian decision theory, which offers a probabilistic approach to decision-making under uncertainty. This framework allows for more refined, sequential adjustments to inventory based on demand forecasting, which dynamically incorporates new data over time.

In this study, Bayesian decision theory is utilized to address a sequential inventory control problem. Specifically, an optimal decision-making framework is derived based on a discounted asymmetric cost function, considering the impact of decisions made infinitely far into the future. Our model involves a decision-maker (henceforth referred to as the Robot) who manages stock levels over time in an interactive environment shaped by a random demand process. Through this setup, a mathematically rigorous and computationally feasible solution for optimal inventory decisions that account for uncertainty and asymmetric costs is derived.

2 Sequential Decision-Making Framework

To formalize the sequential decision-making problem, consider a stock management scenario in which the Robot makes decisions at each time step, interacting with an entity termed Nature, which represents environmental randomness (Lavalle, 2006). At each iteration t , the Robot decides on an action from its action space Ω_U , while Nature removes a certain number of units from stock, denoted $s_t \in \Omega_S$. The goal is to manage the stock optimally, balancing the costs of overstocking ($N > 0$) and understocking ($N < 0$), given

that each action taken has a probabilistic effect on the Robot's objectives.

The Robot's decisions are based on historical data D , which contains past stock removals and other relevant demand features. Given an initial stock N_0 , the stock level at time t evolves according to

$$N_t \equiv N_0 + \sum_{t'=1}^t (U_{t'-L} - s_{t'}), \quad (1)$$

where $U_{t'-L}$ represents the decision made at an earlier time due to a potential lag L . To support probabilistic decision-making, the Robot uses a forecast based on D in the form

$$p(s_1, s_2, \dots | D, I), \quad (2)$$

where I denotes any additional background information (Sivia and Skilling, 2006). This probabilistic forecast, combined with the Robot's asymmetric cost function, guides the sequence of decisions over time.

3 Formulation of the Cost Function and Optimal Decision Rule

An asymmetric cost function reflecting the penalties associated with understocking and overstocking is constructed using the ReLU (rectified linear unit) function,

$$\text{relu}(\pm N_t) = \max(\pm N_t, 0), \quad (3)$$

to define the positive and negative inventory levels, $N_t^{(+)}$ and $N_t^{(-)}$, respectively. The Robot's objective is to formulate a sequence of decision rules $\xi = \{U_0(D), U_1(D), \dots\}$, where each $U_j(D) = u_j$ minimizes the expected cost

$$\xi^* = \arg \min_{\xi} \mathbb{E}[C | D, I], \quad (4)$$

where the cost C is assumed to be discounted viz

$$C = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t \left(h_t N_t^{(+)} + c_t N_t^{(-)} \right), \quad (5)$$

where $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ is a discount factor, and h and c represent storage (holding) and understocking costs, respectively. The optimal decisions satisfy the first-order condition

$$\frac{d}{dU_m} \mathbb{E}[C | D, I] \Big|_{U_m=U_m^*} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \forall m, \quad (6)$$

which leads to the optimal decision criterion (see Appendix A for a detailed derivation).

Theorem 1 (Optimal Decision Rule for Inventory Control). Given the sequential decision-making framework and the asymmetric cost function in equation (5), the optimal decision rule for the Robot at each time step t is defined by

$$p(N_t^* \geq 0 | D, I) = \frac{c_t}{c_t + h_t}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$N_t^* = N_0 + \sum_{t'=1}^t (U_{t'-L}^* - s_{t'}). \quad (8)$$

This criterion implies that the optimal decisions correspond to the quantile threshold

$$\sum_{t'=1}^t U_{t'-L}^* = Q_{\frac{c_t}{c_t+h_t}} \left(\sum_{t'=1}^t s_{t'} - N_0 \right), \quad (9)$$

where $Q_q(X)$ denotes the q -quantile of the random variable X . This result provides an actionable rule for the Robot's decision-making based on the balance of costs associated with stock levels.

4 Numerical Example

1. S. Axsäter. Inventory control Gasthaus paper
2. inspiration for introduction
3. probabilistic trucks
4. Amazon paper (inspiration)

5 Conclusion

The derived decision rule offers a structured approach to managing inventory in a stochastic environment using Bayesian decision theory. The probabilistic framework accounts for demand uncertainty, guiding optimal actions based on expected costs. This work contributes to the field of inventory management by presenting an analytically derived solution, supporting practical applications in real-world inventory systems.

A Minimization of Expected Cost: First Cost Function

Define the cost function viz

$$C = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t (h_t N_t^{(+)} + c_t N_t^{(-)}), \quad (10)$$

where k^{sc} and k^{uv} represent the "storage cost" and the "unit value". To determine the decision rules, ξ^* , that minimize the expected cost $\mathbb{E}[C|D, I]$, the derivative of the cost function with respect to ξ is needed

$$\frac{dC}{dU_m} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t \left(h_t \frac{dN_t^{(+)}}{dU_m} + c_t \frac{dN_t^{(-)}}{dU_m} \right) \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_t^{(+)}}{dU_m} &= \sum_q \frac{dN_t^{(+)}}{dN_q} \frac{dN_q}{dU_m} \\ &= \sum_q 1_{N_t \geq 0} \delta_{t,q} \frac{dN_q}{dU_m} \\ &= 1_{N_t \geq 0} \sum_{t'=1}^t \frac{dU_{t'-L}}{dU_m} \\ &= 1_{N_t \geq 0} \sum_{t'=1}^t \delta_{t'-L,m}, \\ \frac{dN_t^{(-)}}{dU_m} &= (1_{N_t \geq 0} - 1) \sum_{t'=1}^t \delta_{t'-L,m}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Using equation (12) in equation (11)

$$\frac{dC}{dU_m} \simeq \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t \left(h_t 1_{N_t \geq 0} + c_t (1_{N_t \geq 0} - 1) \right) \sum_{t'=1}^t \delta_{t'-L,m}. \quad (13)$$

For some generic function g_t

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} g_t \sum_{t'=1}^t \delta_{t'-L,m} &= g_1 \delta_{1-L,m} + g_2 (\delta_{1-L,m} + \delta_{2-L,m}) + \dots \\ &= \sum_{t=L+m}^{\infty} g_t \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

meaning

$$\frac{dC}{dU_m} \simeq \sum_{t=L+m}^{\infty} \gamma^t (h_t 1_{N_t \geq 0} + c_t (1_{N_t \geq 0} - 1)). \quad (15)$$

Combining equations (6) and (15)

$$\sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots} \sum_{t=L+m}^{\infty} \gamma^t (h_t 1_{N_t \geq 0} + c_t (1_{N_t \geq 0} - 1)) p(s_1, s_2, \dots | D, I) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \forall m \quad (16)$$

The sums can be evaluated viz

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots} 1_{N_t \geq 0} p(s_1, s_2, \dots | D, I) &= p\left(\sum_{t'=1}^t s_{t'} \leq N_0 + \sum_{t'=1}^t U_{t'-L} | D, I\right) \\ &= p(N_t \geq 0 | D, I), \\ \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots} p(s_1, s_2, \dots | D, I) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let

$$\psi_t \equiv (h_t + c_t) p(N_t \geq 0 | D, I) - c_t, \quad (18)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dU_m} \mathbb{E}[C | D, I] &= \sum_{t=L+m}^{\infty} \gamma^t \psi_t \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \forall m \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

A recursion relation can be derived viz

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dU_0} \mathbb{E}[C | D, I] &= \sum_{t=L}^{\infty} \gamma^t \psi_t \\ &= \gamma^L \psi_L + \sum_{t=L+1}^{\infty} \gamma^t \psi_t \\ &= \gamma^L \psi_L + \frac{d}{dU_1} \mathbb{E}[C | D, I] \\ &= \gamma^L \psi_L + \gamma^{L+1} \psi_{L+1} + \frac{d}{dU_2} \mathbb{E}[C | D, I] \\ &= \dots \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} 0 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since all derivatives are required to be simultaneously zero,

$$\gamma^j \psi_j \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \forall j \Rightarrow \psi_j = 0 \quad (21)$$

meaning

$$p(N_t^* \geq 0 | D, I) = \frac{c_t}{c_t + h_t}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$N_t^* \equiv N_0 + \sum_{t'=1}^t (U_{t'-L}^* - s_{t'}) \quad (23)$$

denote the units on stock given optimal decisions. From equation (22) the optimal decisions can be determined viz

$$\sum_{t'=1}^t U_{t'-L}^* = \max \left(\text{round} \left(Q_{\frac{c_t}{c_t+h_t}} \left(\sum_{t'=1}^t s_{t'} - N_0 \right) \right), 0 \right), \quad (24)$$

where $Q_q(X)$ is the q -quantile for the random variable X .

References

- et al. Alumur, S. A. Optimizing inventory and transportation decisions in supply chain management. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 216 (3):705–716, 2012.
- et al. Gürler, Ü. Inventory and transportation optimization in supply chains. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 50(1):34–42, 2014.
- J. Higginson and J. H. Bookbinder. The transport-inventory problem. *Journal of Operational Research Society*, 45:969–978, 1994.
- I. Lavalle. *Fundamentals of Decision Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edition, 2006.
- D. S. Sivia and J. Skilling. *Data Analysis - A Bayesian Tutorial*. Oxford Science Publications. Oxford University Press, 2nd edition, 2006.
- et al. Wei, Y. Hybrid shipment policies for inventory management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 56:123–134, 2020.
- S. Çetinkaya. Consolidation policies in inventory management. *Production and Operations Management*, 14(2):233–243, 2005.

- S. Çetinkaya and J. H. Bookbinder. Optimal integrated policies for managing inventory and outbound shipments. *Transportation Science*, 37:39–55, 2003.
- S. Çetinkaya and C.-Y. Lee. Stock replenishment and shipment scheduling for vendor-managed inventory systems. *Management Science*, 46(2):217–232, 2000. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.46.2.217.11925.
- S. Çetinkaya and C.-Y. Lee. Inventory and transportation cost coordination in vmi systems. *Operations Research*, 54:123–137, 2006.