Competence Development

Time Series Forecasting Review

Jonas Petersen Ander Runge Walther

Revision History

${f Revision}$	Date	${f Author(s)}$	Description
0.0.1	21-08-2024	JP	Created

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Data	2
3	Exploratory Analysis	2
4	Method	2
5	Results	5
6	Summary and Discussion	5
7	Future Work	5

1 Introduction

Take a benchmark dataset for forecasting and test out different forecasting algorithms and their strengths and weaknesses. The goal is to get light experience with a broad range of algorithms and/or Python packages and their syntax. The algorithms of interest include

- 1. Gaussian Processes (via PyMC),
- 2. Bayesian Two-layer perceptron (via PyMC and tensorflow or keras),
- 3. Kalman Filter (filterpy?)
- 4. Decision trees (XGBoost, LightGBM, PyMC)
- 5. Prohpet,
- 6. LSTM (tensorflow or keras?),
- 7. SARIMAX (via statsmodels),
- 8. Exponential smoothing (via statsmodels)

VS Code with Anaconda will be used. A Git project for each competence development project will be created.

2 Data

3 Exploratory Analysis

4 Method

Consider the problem of having a time series that yield the demand of some product with one entry per week and generating a representative forecast for this. The problem can be framed as a game between Nature and a Robot, where each make a decision. Nature's decision $(s_i \in \Omega_S)$ is to picks the true demand and the Robot's decision $(U_i(D) \in \Omega_U)$ is guided to minimize a cost function defined viz

$$C: \Omega_U \times \Omega_S \mapsto \mathbb{R} \tag{1}$$

where Ω_U denotes the action space of the Robot and Ω_S denotes the action space of Nature. The objective of the Robot is to minimize the expected cost across it's actions. Let

$$G \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} C(U_i(D), S_i), \tag{2}$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}[G|D,I] = \int ds_1 ds_2 \dots ds_n \sum_{i=1}^n C(U_i(D), s_i) p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n | D, I)$$
(3)

where $i \in$ "weeks in forecast horizon" cover the weeks in the forecast horizon and I denotes the background information. The minimum cost across the Robot's actions is defined viz

$$\frac{d}{dU_i(D)} \mathbb{E}[G|D, I] \Big|_{U_i(D) = U_i^*(D)} \stackrel{!}{=} 0. \tag{4}$$

where $U_i^*(D)$ is the optimal decision rule for the Robot. In this project, the cost function is taken to be

$$C(U_i(D), s_i) = (U_i(D) - s_i)^2$$
 (5)

Combining Equation 3, Equation 5 and Equation 4 yields

$$U_i^*(D) = \int ds_1 ds_2 \dots ds_n s_i p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n | D, I).$$
 (6)

Equation 6 informs that the optimal decisions for the Robot should be the expected decisions of Nature, which makes intuitive sense considering the cost function (equation (5)).

To apply equation Equation 6, the probability distribution $p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n | D, I)$ needs to be specified. To do this, a host of assumptions regarding the process generating the data must be made.

4.1 Simple Poisson Assumption

A fixed decision rule that does not require updating at a later point it preferred by the stakeholders.

Axiom 1 (Static and Independent). The demand (s_i) for a given week of the year belong to the same static, Poisson distribution with a distinct rate parameter for each week.

Example 1.

According to axiom 1, the sales from each week for a given brand and sub category belong to the same, static distribution. E.g. data from week 5 from 2021, 2022,... belong to a static distribution, and data from week 4 from 2021, 2022,... belong to another static distribution.

Using axiom 1

$$p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n | D, I) = p(s_1 | s_2, \dots s_n, D, I) p(s_2 | \dots s_n, D, I) \dots p(s_n | D, I)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n p(s_i | D, I),$$
(7)

meaning the optimal decision rule for the Robot can be written

$$U_i^*(D) = \mathbb{E}[S_i|D,I]$$

$$= \int ds_i s_i p(s_i|D,I)$$
(8)

According to axiom 1

$$p(s_i|D,I) = \int d\lambda_i p(s_i,\lambda_i|D,I)$$

$$= \int d\lambda_i p(s_i|\lambda_i,D,I) p(\lambda_i|D,I)$$

$$= \int d\lambda_i p(s_i|\lambda_i,D,I) \frac{p(D|\lambda_i,I)p(\lambda_i|I)}{p(D|I)}$$
(9)

where

$$p(D|I) = \int d\lambda_i p(D|\lambda_i, I) p(\lambda_i|I)$$
(10)

and

$$p(s_i|\lambda_i, D, I) = p(s_i|\lambda_i, I)$$

= Poi(s_i|\lambda_i). (11)

Note that the rate parameter λ_i is associated to the demand s_i , whereas the data contains measurements of sales y_j for j representing past weeks. Thus, in order to formulate the likelihood function, a relationship between sales y and demand s must be assumed. In general

Definition 1 (Demand and Sales). Let $m_{b,c,i}$ denote the stock of a given brand b and sub category c for week i, then

$$y_{b,c,i} = \begin{cases} s_{b,c,i} & \text{if } s_{b,c,i} \le m_{b,c,i} \\ m_{b,c,i} & \text{else} \end{cases} . \tag{12}$$

Axiom 2 (Never Out of Stock). For simplicity, products are assumed to be never of of stock, meaning (referring to definition 1) $y_{b,c,i} = s_{b,c,i}$.

Using axioms 1 and 2, the likelihood function can be written

$$p(D|\lambda_i, I) = \prod_{j \in \text{week } i} \text{Poi}(y_j|\lambda_i),$$
(13)

where only data from the corresponding week is used in accordance with axiom 1. An obvious choice of prior would be the conjugate Gamma distribution (which is also the distribution with maximum entropy) with parameters α , β , meaning

$$p(\lambda_i|I) = Ga(\lambda_i|\alpha,\beta) \tag{14}$$

This means

$$\frac{p(D|\lambda_i, I)p(\lambda_i|I)}{p(D|I)} = Ga(\lambda_i|\alpha + N\bar{y}, \beta + n)$$
(15)

where

$$\bar{y} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \in \text{week } i} y_j. \tag{16}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[s_{i}|D,I] = \sum_{s_{i}} s_{i} \int d\lambda_{i} \operatorname{Poi}(s_{i}|\lambda_{i}|) \operatorname{Ga}(\lambda_{i}|\alpha + N\bar{y}, \beta + n_{i})$$

$$= \int d\lambda_{i} \lambda_{i} \operatorname{Ga}(\lambda_{i}|\alpha + N\bar{y}, \beta + n_{i})$$

$$= \frac{\alpha + n_{i}\bar{y}_{i}}{\beta + n_{i}}$$
(17)

In the limit of $\alpha, \beta \to 0$

$$U_i^*(D) = \lim_{\alpha, \beta \to 0} \mathbb{E}[S_i | D, I]$$

= \bar{y}_i , (18)

Thus, using axioms 1 and 2, a fixed decision rule yielding the forecasted demand for week i in the future, represented by Equation 18, is be obtained.

4.2 Advanced Poisson Assumption

Axiom 3 (Static with coupled rate parameter). The demand (s_i) for a given week of the year belong to the same static Poisson Distribution where the rate parameter is coupled between different weeks.

Using axiom 3

$$p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n | D, I) = \int d\theta p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n, \theta | D, I)$$

$$= \int d\theta p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n | \theta, D, I) p(\theta | D, I)$$
(19)

where

$$p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n | \theta, D, I) = p(s_1, s_2, \dots s_n | \theta, I)$$

$$= \prod_{j \in \text{forecast horizon}} \text{Poi}(s_j | \lambda(\theta, j))$$
(20)

and

$$p(\theta|D,I) = \frac{p(D|\theta,I)p(\theta|I)}{p(D|I)}$$
(21)

$$p(D|\theta, I) = \prod_{i \in \text{training data}} \text{Poi}(s_i|\lambda(\theta, i)).$$
 (22)

This means

$$U_{j}^{*}(D) = \int d\theta \int ds_{1}ds_{2} \dots ds_{n}s_{j} \operatorname{Poi}(s_{j}|\lambda(\theta, j))p(\theta|D, I)$$

$$= \int d\theta \int ds_{j}s_{j} \operatorname{Poi}(s_{j}|\lambda(\theta, j))p(\theta|D, I)$$

$$= \int d\theta \lambda(\theta, j)p(\theta|D, I)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\lambda|D, I]$$
(23)

where λ is some model, in this case a Fourier series

$$\lambda(\theta, j) = a_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{M} \left(a_l \cos \frac{2\pi}{N} j + b_l \sin \frac{2\pi}{N} j \right)$$
 (24)

and $\theta = \{a, b\}.$

- 5 Results
- 6 Summary and Discussion
- 7 Future Work