## Responsible Al Inspector Blog: "Code Red Flags

Hello, fellow investigators of artificial intelligence!

I'm delving deeply into the enigmatic realm of artificial intelligence today. Beneath the shiny buzzwords and "smart tech," some systems are subtly creating serious issues. Let's examine two AI cases that appear intelligent but may be acting suspiciously.

## **Case 1: The Resume Reaper**

The Setup:

An Al system is used by a big business to automatically screen job candidates. It is trained using historical hiring data. Doesn't that sound efficient?

What's Really Happening:

Using trends from past hires, the AI sifts through resumes in search of "ideal" candidates. But you know what? The majority of previous hires had consistent work histories and were men. Because it believes they are "less fit," the AI begins to reject applications from women who have gaps in their careers, such as maternity leave.

What's the Problem?

Bias Alert!

In addition to penalizing applicants for personal circumstances that have no bearing on their ability to perform the job, the AI is perpetuating gender bias. The model is trained on biased data, so it picks up those same bad habits. It's a classic case of garbage in, garbage out.

Fix It Like a Pro:

Retrain the model using balanced, diverse hiring data and incorporate fairness constraints in the assessment process. Additionally, include human oversight to verify rejections twice.

TL; DR: Avoid using your AI for hiring as a gatekeeping bro-bot.

**Case 2: The Robo-Proctor Panic** 

The setup:

All is used by a remote exam system to keep an eye on students while they take online tests. It marks "suspicious behavior" as potentially cheating, such as a prolonged period of time spent away from the screen.

What's Really Happening:

Just for moving differently, students with anxiety, autism, or ADHD are being flagged. Some turn their heads to reflect. Some people have tics. When someone walks behind a student, even those who live in the same home are flagged. The AI simply interprets "eye movement = bad" without understanding context.

What's the Problem?

Unfair Surveillance!

Neurodivergent learners are not accommodated by this AI, which lacks inclusivity.

Concerns about privacy also arise: are these camera feeds saved? Who is observing?

Instead of being punished for cheating, students are being punished for being authentic.

Fix It Like a Pro:

Multimodal evidence (keyboard patterns, screen activity logs) should be used in place of sweeping monitoring, and accusations should be subject to human review first. Provide a way for people with different needs to request accommodations.

TL; DR: Your Al proctor needs a timeout if it believes that every blink is an attempt at cheating.

**Final Thoughts:** 

All has the potential to be extremely intelligent, but it requires responsible humans to operate in the background. We must ask before allowing it to make important decisions (jobs, grades, justice, etc.):

- Is it just?
- Is it clear?
- Does it benefit a select few or everyone?

Simply put: Create AI that is sympathetic to people.