

# Exam-Focused Summary: Coupling

### **Definition**

**Coupling**  $\rightarrow$  The degree of **dependency** between two modules in a software system. Goal → Keep low coupling for better maintainability and reusability.

## Types of Coupling (From Best to Worst)

Туре	Definition	Example	Good/Bad
1 Data Coupling	Modules communicate via simple data (e.g., int, float).	<pre>computeSalary(int hours, float rate)</pre>	☑ Best (Low Coupling)
2Stamp Coupling	Modules share structured data (e.g., struct in C).	Passing a struct Student instead of student_id.	Acceptable
3Control Coupling	One module <b>controls</b> another via <b>flags/decision variables</b> .	<pre>performOperation(1); // 1 = Read, 2 = Write</pre>	➤ Bad (High Dependency)
4 Common Coupling	Global variables are shared across modules.	extern int globalCounter;	Very Bad (Unpredictable Changes)
5 Content Coupling	One module modifies/accesses another's internal code.	extern float accountBalance;	

## **★** Shortcut to Remember

"Dogs Sleep Calmly, Chasing Cats"

- **1** Dogs → Data Coupling  $\bigvee$  (Best)
- **2** Sleep → Stamp Coupling
- ③ Calmly → Control Coupling X
- 4 Chasing → Common Coupling
- **⑤** Cats → Content Coupling **⑥ ⑥** (Worst)

## 💡 Key Points for Exams

- Always prefer Data Coupling Use function parameters instead of global variables.
- X Avoid Content Coupling One module should not access another module's internals.
- ↑ Common Coupling is risky Global variables cause unintended side effects.
- **Low Coupling = Better Software** More maintainable, reusable, and testable code.

This should be **enough for exam prep!** Let me know if you need quick MCQs or diagrams!

# -Hierarchy of modules

### Shortcut to Remember

- **★** "H.L.A.F" → A Good Hierarchy has High-Level Abstraction & Low Fan-out
- ☐ H → Hierarchy should be clear & structured (tree-like).
- $2L \rightarrow Low Fan-out \rightarrow Each module should control fewer submodules.$
- $3A \rightarrow Abstraction \rightarrow Hide unnecessary details, expose only necessary functions.$
- 4 F  $\rightarrow$  Flexibility  $\rightarrow$  A good hierarchy makes the system easy to extend & modify.

## Key Exam Takeaways

- Control hierarchy = Defines module relationships & invocation
- ▼ Structure chart = Tree-like representation of control flow
- Low Fan-out = Each module should control limited submodules
- Abstraction = Hide internal details, expose only what's necessary
- ☑ Good hierarchy = Easier maintenance, debugging & reusability

## **Characteristics of module structure**

## Summary Table (For Exam Revision)

Concept	Definition	Good/Bad?	Shortcut to Remember
Layering	Modules are arranged in layers where higher layers depend on lower layers	<b>✓</b> Good	Top modules control lower ones, not vice versa!

Control Abstraction	Modules should only <b>invoke</b> functions in the layer immediately below	<b>✓</b> Good	Follow strict top-down design!
Fan-Out	Number of <b>submodules</b> controlled by a module	➤ Bad (If too high)	Too many dependencies = Spaghetti code!
Fan-In	Number of <b>modules that call</b> a given module	Good (If high)	More reuse = Better maintainability!

# Key Takeaways for Exams

Use layering to keep modules organized.

 $\bigvee$  Follow control abstraction  $\rightarrow$  Higher modules should control lower ones.

**Weep fan-out low**  $\rightarrow$  Avoid controlling too many submodules.

 $\bigvee$  Encourage high fan-in  $\rightarrow$  More modules should reuse common utilities.

# **©** Key Differences: FOD vs. OOD

Feature	Function-Oriented Design (FOD)	Object-Oriented Design (OOD)
Approach	Focuses on functions (procedures)	Focuses on objects (real-world entities)
Data Management	Data is <b>shared globally</b>	Data is <b>encapsulated within objects</b>
State	Centralized (Global Data)	<b>Decentralized</b> (Each object has its own state)
Communication	Functions call each other	Objects communicate via <b>message</b> passing
Reusability	<b>Low</b> (Harder to reuse individual functions)	<b>High</b> (Encapsulation and inheritance improve reusability)
Scalability	<b>Difficult</b> (New functions require modifying existing code)	<b>Easier</b> (New classes can be added without affecting others)

## DFD (Data Flow Diagram) - Exam Shortcuts

**DFD Helps Create** 

- **Prinction Model** → Breaks system into smaller functions.
- **Proof** Pata Model → Defines what data is exchanged and refined.

### **Key DFD Rules**

- ✓ External entities only appear in the Context Diagram, not in detailed DFDs.
- ✓ DFD does NOT show control information, conditions, or order of execution.
- ✓ Only data flow is represented, not decision-making logic.

### **Shortcomings of DFD**

- X Imprecise (May not handle missing/wrong input).
- X No control flow (Doesn't show when/how functions run).
- X No synchronization (Doesn't define parallel operations).
- X Subjective decomposition (Different ways to break down the system, no clear best approach).

### Quick Memory Trick 🧠

"DFD = What flows, not how it flows!" 🚀

### **Shortcuts to Remember SRS Characteristics & Types of Requirements**

- Shortcut for Characteristics of a Good SRS:
- - C Correctness
  - **C** Completeness
  - **C** Consistency
  - U Unambiguity
  - V Verifiability
  - **M** Modifiability
  - T Traceability
  - **F** Feasibility
- ✓ Shortcut for Types of Requirements:
- **├** "FND" (Found)
- 1 F Functional
- 2 N Non-functional
- 3 D Domain-specific

### **Extra Quick Tips for Exam**

- ✔ For Definitions: Always break into What? Why? Example.
- ✔ For Characteristics: Look for words ending in -ness (Correctness, Completeness, etc.)
- ✓ For Requirements: Think Function vs. Quality vs. Industry

Let me know if you need more! #

### **Shortcut to Remember: "H-CLIT"**

- **H**igh Cohesion
- Clear Interfaces
- **Low Coupling**
- Independent Testing
- ▼ Testability & Maintainability