

CSE201: Monsoon 2020, Section-A
Advanced Programming

Lecture 09: The Object Class

Vivek Kumar

Computer Science and Engineering

IIT Delhi

vivekk@iiitd.ac.in

This Lecture

- Class Object
 - equals method
 - Comparable and Comparator
 - Clonning

Can You Spot Any Similarities?



- Do you see any similarities between a Cat, Universe, and Furniture?
- If you just look at their photographs then its hard to guess..

OK, Can You Spot Any Similarities NOW ?

```
public class Cat {  
  
    private String name;  
    private String breed;  
  
    public Cat() { ... }  
    .....  
}
```

```
public class Universe {  
  
    private List<Star> star;  
  
    public Universe(){ ... }  
    .....  
}
```

```
public class Furniture {  
  
    private String name;  
  
    public Furniture(){ ... }  
    .....  
}
```


© Vivek Kumar

- Now we have a class representation of Cat, Universe and Furniture
 - Do you see any similarities now?

They Inherit from Someone!

- What if I tell you that although they look totally unrelated to each other, still they all inherit from a common class, i.e., they have a common parent!


The Class Object in Java



- Every Java class has `Object` as its superclass and thus inherits the `Object` methods
 - Due to this, although `Cat`, `Universe` and `Furniture` are totally unrelated, they still inherit from class `Object`

The Class Object

- The class Object forms the root of the overall inheritance tree of all Java classes.
 - Every class is implicitly a subclass of Object
 - **No need** to explicitly say “extends Object”
- The Object class defines several methods that become part of every class you write. For example:
 - `public String toString()`
Returns a text representation of the object, usually so that it can be printed.



Object Methods

method	description
<code>protected Object clone()</code>	creates a copy of the object
<code>public boolean equals(Object o)</code>	returns whether two objects have the same state
<code>protected void finalize()</code>	called during garbage collection
<code>public Class<?> getClass()</code>	info about the object's type
<code>public int hashCode()</code>	a code suitable for putting this object into a hash collection
<code>public String toString()</code>	text representation of the object
<code>public void notify()</code> <code>public void notifyAll()</code> <code>public void wait()</code> <code>public void wait(...)</code>	methods related to concurrency and locking (seen later)

Using the Object Class

- You can store any object in a variable of type `Object`.

```
Object o1 = new Cat("Meau", "Indian Cat");  
Object o2 = "hello there";
```

Question: `speak()` is a method in `Cat` class, is this correct?

- 1) `o1.speak()`
- 2) `o1.toString()`

- You can write methods that accept an `Object` parameter.

```
public void example(Object o) {  
    if (o != null) {  
        System.out.println("o is " + o.toString());  
    }  
}
```

- You can make arrays or collections of `Objects`.


```
Object[] a = new Object[5];  
a[0] = "hello";  
a[1] = new Cat();  
List<Object> list = new ArrayList<Object>();
```

Equality Test on Objects

```
Point p1 = new Point(5,3);  
Point p2 = new Point(5,3);  
Point p3 = p2;
```

```
// p1 == p2 is false;  
// p1 == p3 is false;  
// p2 == p3 is true
```

```
// p1.equals(p2)?  
// p2.equals(p3)?
```



- The `==` operator does not work well with objects.

`==` tests for **referential equality**, not state-based equality.

It produces true only when you compare an object to itself

Default equals Method

- The Object class's equals implementation is very simple:

```
public class Object {  
    ...  
    public boolean equals(Object o) {  
        return this == o;  
    }  
}
```

- The Object class is designed for inheritance.
 - Subclasses can *override* equals to test for equality in their own way

Is this Correctly Implemented

```
1. public class Point {  
2.     private int x, y;  
3.     public Point(int _x, int _y) { ... }  
4.     @Override  
5.     public boolean equals(Point o) {  
6.         return (x==o.x && y==o.y);  
7.     }  
8. }  
9.
```

- Wrong Implementation !
 - **Flaw-1**
 - Signature of equals method doesn't matches with that in class Object
 - **Compilation error** as we are not overriding!
 - The parameter to equals method is not of type Object but is of type Point
 - **This is method overloading and not overriding**

Is this Correctly Implemented NOW ?

```
1. public class Point {  
2.     private int x, y;  
3.     public Point(int _x, int _y) { ... }  
4.     @Override  
5.     public boolean equals(Object o) {  
6.         return (x==o.x && y==o.y);  
7.     }  
8. }  
9.
```

● Still incorrect !

○ Flaw-2

- Compilation error as the parameter to equals is of Object type but then x and y is not defined in class Object

○ Can we can do the following:

```
Object o1 = new Point(1, 2);  
// Type casting below  
Point p = (Point) o1;
```

Is this Correctly Implemented NOW ?

```
1. public class Point {  
2.     private int x, y;  
3.     public Point(int _x, int _y) { ... }  
4.     @Override  
5.     public boolean equals(Object o1) {  
6.         Point o = (Point) o1; //type casting  
7.         return (x==o.x && y==o.y);  
8.     }  
9. }  
10.
```

- Still incorrect !

- **Flaw-3**

- It compiles and works fine if Point type objects are passed but fail to compile if non-Point type objects are passed

- The typecasting will be an issue for following statement

```
Object o1=new Point(1,2);  
Object o2="hello";  
boolean cond=o1.equals(o2);
```

- The flaw is in line 6 as not every Object will be of Point type:

```
Point o = (Point) o1;
```

ClassCastException!!

The instanceof Keyword

```
if (variable instanceof type) {  
    statement;  
}
```

- Tests whether **variable** refers to an **object of class type** (or any subclass of **type**)

```
String s = "hello";  
Point p = new Point();
```

expression	result
s instanceof Point	false
s instanceof String	true
p instanceof Point	true
p instanceof String	false
p instanceof Object	true
s instanceof Object	true
null instanceof String	false
null instanceof Object	false

(null is a reference and is not an object)

Is this Correctly Implemented NOW ?

```
1. public class Point {
2.     private int x, y;
3.     public Point(int _x, int _y) { ... }
4.     @Override
5.     public boolean equals(Object o1) {
6.         if(o1 instanceof Point) {
7.             Point o = (Point) o1; //type casting
8.             return (x==o.x && y==o.y);
9.         }
10.        else {
11.            return false;
12.        }
13.    }
14. }
15. // subclass of Point
16. class Point3D extends Point {
17.     private int z;
18.     public Point3D(int _x,int _y,int _z) {...}
19.     .....
20. }
```

● Still incorrect !

○ Flaw-4

- The method equals will not behave correctly if Point class is extended

```
Point3D p1 = new Point3D(1,2,0);
Point3D p2 = new Point3D(1,2,3);
Point p3 = new Point(1,2);
p1.equals(p2); // true
p2.equals(p3); // true
p3.equals(p1); // true
```



Is this Correctly Implemented NOW ?

```
1. public class Point {
2.     private int x, y;
3.     public Point(int _x, int _y) { ... }
4.     @Override
5.     public boolean equals(Object o1) {
6.         if(o1 instanceof Point) {
7.             Point o = (Point) o1; //type casting
8.             return (x==o.x && y==o.y);
9.         }
10.        else {
11.            return false;
12.        }
13.    }
14. }
15. // subclass of Point
16. class Point3D extends Point {
17.     private int z;
18.     public Point3D(int _x, int _y, int _z) { ... }
19.     @Override
20.     public boolean equals(Object o1) {
21.         if(o1 instanceof Point3D) {
22.             Point3D o = (Point3D) o1; //type casting
23.             return (super.equals(o1) && z==o.z);
24.         }
25.        else {
26.            return false;
27.        }
28.    }
29. }
```

© Vivok Kumar

● Still incorrect !

○ Flaw-5

- It produces *asymmetric* results when Point and Point3D are mixed

```
Point p1 = new Point(1,2);
Point3D p2 = new Point3D(1,2,3);
p1.equals(p2); // true
p2.equals(p1); // false
```



Equality should be symmetric !!

Rules of Equality for Any Two Objects

- Equality is reflexive:
 - `a.equals(a)` is true for every object `a`
- Equality is symmetric:
 - `a.equals(b) ↔ b.equals(a)`
- Equality is transitive:
 - `(a.equals(b) && b.equals(c)) ↔ a.equals(c)`
- No non-null object is equal to null:
 - `a.equals(null)` is false for every object `a`

Finally, the Correct Implementation


```
1. public class Point {
2.     private int x, y;
3.     public Point(int _x, int _y) { ... }
4.     @Override
5.     public boolean equals(Object o1) {
6.         if(o1 != null && getClass() == o1.getClass()) {
7.             Point o = (Point) o1; //type casting
8.             return (x==o.x && y==o.y);
9.         }
10.        else {
11.            return false;
12.        }
13.    }
14. }
15. // subclass of Point
16. class Point3D extends Point {
17.     private int z;
18.     public Point3D(int _x, int _y, int _z) { ... }
19.     @Override
20.     public boolean equals(Object o1) {
21.         if(o1 != null && getClass() == o1.getClass()) {
22.             Point3D o = (Point3D) o1; //type casting
23.             return (super.equals(o1) && z==o.z);
24.         }
25.        else {
26.            return false;
27.        }
28.    }
29. }
```

© Vivek Kumar

- getClass returns information about the type of an object
 - Stricter than instanceof; subclasses return different results
- getClass should be used when implementing equals
 - Instead of instanceof to check for same type, use getClass
 - This will eliminate subclasses from being considered for equality
 - Caution: Must check for null before calling getClass

Comparing Objects

Comparing Objects in Java

 .equals() = true

 .equals() = false






Can we use equals to get the
above arrangement?

© Vivek Kumar

- We have seen how to check equality between two objects:
 - `Obj1 == Obj2`
 - `Obj1.equals(Obj2)`
- But how to check the following:
 - `Obj1 < Obj2`
 - `Obj1 > Obj2`
- Operators like `<` and `>` do not work with objects in Java

Comparing Objects in Java

.compareTo() < 0

.compareTo() > 0

.compareTo() = 0

A call of **A.compareTo(B)** should return:

// if **A** comes "before" **B** in

// the ordering, a value < 0

// if **A** comes "after" **B** in

// the ordering, a value > 0

// or exactly 0 if **A** and **B**

// are "equal" in the ordering

The Comparable Interface

- The standard way for a Java class to define a comparison function for its objects is to implement the Comparable interface.

```
public interface Comparable<T> {  
    public int compareTo(T other);  
}
```

compareTo Example

```
public class Rectangle implements Comparable<Rectangle> {  
    private int sideA, sideB, area;  
    public Rectangle (int _a, int _b) { ... }  
  
    @Override  
    public int compareTo(Rectangle o) {  
        if(area == o.area) return 0;  
        else if(area < o.area) return -1;  
        else return 1;  
    }  
}
```

- In this Rectangle class, the compareTo method compares the Rectangle objects as per their area
- You can choose your own comparison algorithm!

compareTo v/s equals

```
public class Rectangle implements Comparable<Rectangle> {
    private int sideA, sideB, area;
    public Rectangle (int _a, int _b) { ... }

    @Override
    public int compareTo(Rectangle o) {
        if(area == o.area) return 0;
        else if(area < o.area) return -1;
        else return 1;
    }

    @Override
    public boolean equals(Object o1) {
        if(o1 != null && getClass() == o1.getClass()) {
            Rectangle o = (Rectangle) o1; //type casting
            return (sideA==o.sideA && sideB==o.sideB);
        }
        else {
            return false;
        }
    }
}
```

```
// Area1 = 2 x 32 = 64
Rectangle r1=Rectangle(2, 32);
// Area2 = 4 x 16 = 64
Rectangle r2=Rectangle(4, 16);
if(r1.compareTo(r2)==0) {
    // is this true??
}
if(r1.equals(r2)) {
    // is this true??
}
```

Recall, that two Rectangles with same area could still have different values for sideA and sideB

How to Compare Two Objects in Different Styles ?

- Our Rectangle class can only implement one compareTo method and hence only one comparison algorithm (style)
- We may want to compare two Rectangles differently
 - Based on sides
 - Based on area
 -

Comparator Interface

```
public interface Comparator<T> {  
    public int compare(T first, T second);  
}
```

- Interface Comparator is an external object that specifies a comparison function over some other type of objects.
 - Allows you to define multiple orderings for the same type.
 - Allows you to define a specific ordering for a type even if there is no obvious "natural" ordering for that type

Comparator Example

```
public class RectangleAreaComparator  
  
    implements Comparator<Rectangle> {  
  
    @Override  
    public int compare(Rectangle r1, Rectangle r2) {  
        return r1.getArea() - r2.getArea();  
    }  
}
```

```
public class RectangleSidesComparator  
  
    implements Comparator<Rectangle> {  
  
    @Override  
    public int compare(Rectangle r1, Rectangle r2) {  
        if (r1.getSideA() != r2.getSideA()) {  
            return r1.getSideA() - r2.getSideA();  
        } else {  
            return r1.getSideB() - r2.getSideB();  
        }  
    }  
}
```

© Vivek Kumar

- Using Comparators, two objects could be compared in different possible ways
- For creating different comparison, implement different objects of Comparator type

```
Class Main {  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        Rectangle r1=Rectangle(2, 32);  
        Rectangle r2=Rectangle(4, 16);  
        RectangleAreaComparator rac = new RectangleAreaComparator();  
        RectangleSidesComparator rsc = new RectangleSidesComparator();  
        int area_result = rac.compare(r1, r2);  
        int sides_result = rsc.compare(r1, r2);  
    }  
}
```

Benefits of Comparator

- Java Collections class (*covered later*) provide method for sorting elements of collections

public static <T> void sort(List<T> list, Comparator(? super T> c)

- You can sort list of Rectangles based on different criteria using the Comparator interface

Collections.sort(list, new RectangleAreaComparator());

Collections.sort(list, new RectangleSidesComparator());

Copying Objects

Copying objects

- In other languages (common in C++), to enable clients to easily make copies of an object, you can supply a *copy constructor* :

```
// in client code
Point p1 = new Point(-3, 5);
Point p2 = new Point(p1);           // make p2 a copy of p1
```

```
// in Point.java
public Point(Point blueprint) {    // copy constructor
    this.x = blueprint.x;
    this.y = blueprint.y;
}
```

- Java has some copy constructors but also has a different way...

Object clone method

```
protected Object clone()  
    throws CloneNotSupportedException
```

- Creates and returns a copy of this object. General intent:
 - `x.clone() != x`
 - `x.clone().equals(x)`
 - `x.clone().getClass() == x.getClass()`
 - (though none of the above are absolute requirements)

clone() must be Implemented

- If we want to clone **Point** type objects, **Point** class must implement **clone()** method

```
Point.java:11: error: incompatible types: Object cannot be converted to Point
    Point p2 = p.clone();
                  ^
1 error
```

- You must also make your class implement the **Cloneable** interface to signify that it is allowed to be cloned

The Cloneable interface

```
public interface Cloneable {}
```

- Why would there ever be an interface with no methods?
 - Another example: `Set` interface, a sub-interface of `Collection`
- **Tagging/marker interface**: One that does not contain/add any methods, but is meant to mark a class as having a certain quality or ability.
 - Generally a wart in the Java language; a misuse of interfaces.
 - Now largely unnecessary thanks to *annotations* (seen later).
 - But we still must interact with a few tagging interfaces, like this one.
- Let's implement clone for a `Point` class...

What's Wrong with the Below Method?

```
public class Point implements Cloneable {  
    private int x, y;  
    ...  
    public Point clone() {  
        Point copy = new Point(this.x, this.y);  
        return copy;  
    }  
}
```

The flaw

```
// also implements Cloneable and inherits clone()  
public class Point3D extends Point {  
    private int z;  
    ...  
}
```

- The above **Point3D class's clone method produces a Point!**
 - This is undesirable and unexpected behavior.
 - The only way to ensure that the clone will have exactly the same type as the original object (even in the presence of inheritance) is to call the `clone` method from class `Object` with `super.clone()`.

Proper clone method (1/2)

```
public class Point implements Cloneable {  
    private int x, y;  
    ...  
    public Point clone() {  
        try {  
            Point copy = (Point) super.clone();  
            return copy;  
        } catch (CloneNotSupportedException e) {  
            // this will never happen  
            return null;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

o

To call Object's clone method, you must use try/catch.

- But if you implement Cloneable, the exception will not be thrown.

Proper clone method (2/2)

```
public class Point implements Cloneable {  
    private int x, y;  
    ...  
    public Point clone() {  
        try {  
            Point copy = (Point) super.clone();  
            return copy;  
        } catch (CloneNotSupportedException e) {  
            // this will never happen  
            return null;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```
public class Point3D extends Point {  
    int z;  
    ...  
    public Point3D clone() {  
        Point3D p = (Point3D) super.clone();  
        return p;  
    }  
}
```


- Every subclass should re-implement clone and must call super.clone() internally
- Only the topmost class in parent-child hierarchy should call super.clone() inside try/catch exception handling block

What's Still Wrong with the Below Method?


```
public class BankAccount implements Cloneable {  
    private String name;  
    private List<String> transactions;  
    ...  
    public BankAccount clone() {  
        try {  
            BankAccount copy = (BankAccount) super.clone();  
            return copy;  
        } catch (CloneNotSupportedException e) {  
            return null;    // won't ever happen  
        }  
    }  
}
```

Shallow vs. deep copy

- **shallow copy**: Duplicates an object without duplicating any other objects to which it refers.



- **deep copy**: Duplicates an object's entire *reference graph*: copies itself and deep copies any other objects to which it refers.



Proper clone method 2

```
public class BankAccount implements Cloneable {
    private String name;
    private List<String> transactions;
    ...
    public BankAccount clone() {
        try {
            // deep copy
            BankAccount copy = (BankAccount) super.clone();
            copy.transactions = new ArrayList<String>(transactions);
            return copy;
        } catch (CloneNotSupportedException e) {
            return null;    // won't ever happen
        }
    }
}
```

- Copying the list of transactions (and any other modifiable reference fields) produces a deep copy that is independent of the original

Next Lecture

- Generic programming