

Virtual Memory: Examples

CSE 231

Instructor: Arani Bhattacharya

Example 1(a)

Suppose we have a page table scheme as follows:



- First level takes 10 bits
- Second level takes 8 bits
- Third level takes 6 bits
- Offset takes 8 bits

What is the size of a page, assuming that the memory is byte-addressable?

Solution: Because the offset is of size 8 bits, a single page has size $2^8 = 256$ bytes

Example 1

Suppose we have a page table scheme as follows:



- What is the size of a page table for a process that has 256K of memory starting at address 0, assuming a single entry has a size of 2 bytes?
- 256 KB of memory = $256 \text{ KB} / 256 \text{ bytes} = 1024 \text{ pages}$
- Number of 2nd level page table entries needed = $1024 / 2^6 = 2^4 = 16$
- Thus, we need 1 first level + 16 second level + 1024 third level = 1041 page table entries
- Thus, size of page table = $1041 * 2 = 2042 \text{ bytes}$

Example 2(a)

A computer system has a 36-bit virtual address space with a page size of 8K, and 4 bytes per page table entry.

How many pages are in the virtual address space?

Total memory in virtual address space = 2^{36}

Total number of pages = $2^{36} / 8K = 2^{36} / 2^{13} = 2^{23}$

Example 2(b)

A computer system has a 36-bit virtual address space with a page size of 8K, and 4 bytes per page table entry.

What is the maximum size of addressable physical memory in this system?

Because there are 4 bytes per page table entry, assuming no extra flags, the physical address is of size 32 bits. So, size of physical address = $2^{32} = 4\text{GB}$

Example 2(c)

A computer system has a 36-bit virtual address space with a page size of 8K, and 4 bytes per page table entry.

If the average process size is 8GB, would you use a one-level, two-level, or three-level page table? Why?

If we take single-level page table, then size of page table will be $2^{23} * 4 = 32$ MB, which is very high

If we take two-level page table, and assume that the division is 12 | 11 | 13, then the process accesses a total of 2^{20} pages. This can be accommodated by $2^{(20 - 11)} = 2^9$ entries in 2nd level page table. Size = $(2^{12} + 2^9 * 2^{11}) * 4 = 4$ MB

Similarly, three-level page table would also take 4 MB, which is similar in size. So two-level paging is sufficient

Example 2(d)

A computer system has a 36-bit virtual address space with a page size of 8K, and 4 bytes per page table entry.

If the average process size is 8GB, what would be the size of inverted page table?

Since physical address is 4GB = 2^{32} , number of frames in inverted page table = $2^{32} / 2^{13} = 2^{19}$

Therefore, a total of 2^{19} entries are needed in inverted page table. Assuming the same size of 4 bytes per entry, size of inverted page table = $4 * 2^{19} = 2\text{MB}$

Tradeoffs of multilevel page table

What are the advantages and disadvantages of multilevel page table?

Advantages: Reduces memory requirement

Disadvantages: Too many memory references

How to mitigate this disadvantage?

Use another cache -- Translation Lookaside Buffer (provided by hardware)

If physical address available in cache, then use it straight away. Otherwise, need to use the standard technique. X86-64 machines use this extensively

Tradeoffs of Inverted Page Table

What are the advantages and disadvantages of multilevel page table?

Advantages: Reduces memory requirement

Disadvantages: What if data is NOT found?

The address has to be translated in software, which can be very very slow