## **Lab 9 Solution**

Karan Pratap Lohiya

## Problem 1

Minimize

$$f(x,y) = -xy$$

subject to

$$x + y^2 \le 2$$
 and  $x, y \ge 0$ 

```
# Load the nloptr library
library(nloptr)

# Objective function
func <- function(x) {
   c(-x[1] * x[2])
}</pre>
```

The above code defines the objective function that needs to be minimized.

```
# Nonlinear inequality constraints:

eval_g_ineq <- function(x) {
   c(x[1] + x[2]^2 - 2, -x[1], -x[2])
}</pre>
```

The above code defines the inequality constraints subject to which the objective function is to be minimized.

```
# Run optimization using NLOPT_GN_ISRES
res <- nloptr(
  x0 = c(0.5, 0.5),  # Feasible start point
  eval_f = func,
  eval_g_ineq = eval_g_ineq,</pre>
```

The variable **res** stores the required output obtained after minimization of the given function.

```
res
```

From the above, we can see that the minimum value of the function for relative tolerance  $10^{-8}$  and maximum number of function evaluations as  $10^4$  is quite close to the actual minimum value of the function i.e. -1.088662. The function attains its minimum value at  $(\frac{4}{3}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}})$ .

## Problem 2

Minimize f(x,y) = 2x + y subject to  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < 2, \, x > 0 \text{ and } y > 0.5x - 1$ 

```
# Objective function
func <- function(x) {
  2 * x[1] + x[2]
}</pre>
```

The above code defines the objective function that needs to be minimized.

The above code defines the inequality constraints subject to which the objective function is to be minimized.

```
res <- nloptr(
  x0 = c(1, 1),  # start point satisfying constraints approximately
  eval_f = func,
  eval_g_ineq = eval_g_ineq,
  lb = c(0, -3),
  ub = c(10, 10),
  opts = list(
    "algorithm" = "NLOPT_GN_ISRES",
    "xtol_rel" = 1e-8,  "maxeval" = 10000
  )
)</pre>
```

The variable **res** stores the required output obtained after minimization of the given function.

```
res
```

Minimization using NLopt version 2.8.0

NLopt solver status: 5 ( NLOPT\_MAXEVAL\_REACHED: Optimization stopped because maxeval (above) was reached.)

Number of Iterations...: 10000

Termination conditions: xtol\_rel: 1e-08 maxeval: 10000

Number of inequality constraints: 3 Number of equality constraints: 0

Current value of objective function: -0.999962271400933

Current value of controls: 1.364148e-05 -0.9999896

From the above, we can see that the minimum value of the function for relative tolerance  $10^{-8}$  and maximum number of function evaluations as  $10^4$  is quite close to the actual minimum value of the function i.e. -1. The function attains its minimum value at (0, -1).

## **Problem 3**

Minimize

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_4) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$$

subject to

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1$$
 and  $x_4 \ge A$ .

Consider three cases:

- 1. A < 1/4
- 2. A = 1/4
- 3. A > 1/4

```
# Objective Function
func <- function(x){
  sum(x^2)
}</pre>
```

The above code defines the objective function that needs to be minimized.

```
# Equality Constraints:
eval_g_eq <- function(x){
   sum(x) - 1
}</pre>
```

The above code defines the equality constraints subject to which the objective function is to be minimized.

```
solve_p3 <- function(A) {</pre>
 eval_g_ineq <- function(x) {</pre>
   A - x[4]
 }
 res <- nloptr(
   x0 = c(rep(A / 3, 3), 1 - A), \# reasonable start
   eval_f = func,
   eval_g_ineq = eval_g_ineq,
   1b = rep(-2, 4),
   ub = rep(10, 4),
   eval_g_eq = eval_g_eq,
    opts = list(
      "algorithm" = "NLOPT_GN_ISRES",
      "xtol_rel" = 1e-8, "maxeval" = 1e8
 )
 return(res)
```

The above code minimizes the objective function subject to different values of the variable A.

```
# Case (i) A < 1/4
res_p3_case1 <- solve_p3(A = 0.2)
print(res_p3_case1)</pre>
```

```
Call:
nloptr(x0 = c(rep(A/3, 3), 1 - A), eval_f = func, lb = rep(-2,
4), ub = rep(10, 4), eval_g_ineq = eval_g_ineq, eval_g_eq = eval_g_eq,
    opts = list(algorithm = "NLOPT_GN_ISRES", xtol_rel = 1e-08,
```

```
Minimization using NLopt version 2.8.0
NLopt solver status: 4 ( NLOPT_XTOL_REACHED: Optimization stopped because
xtol_rel or xtol_abs (above) was reached. )
Number of Iterations...: 88193
Termination conditions: xtol_rel: 1e-08 maxeval: 1e+08
Number of inequality constraints: 1
Number of equality constraints:
Optimal value of objective function: 0.25000023517728
Optimal value of controls: 0.250289 0.2498277 0.2496966 0.2501867
# Case (ii) A = 1/4
res_p3_case2 \leftarrow solve_p3(A = 0.25)
print(res_p3_case2)
Call:
nloptr(x0 = c(rep(A/3, 3), 1 - A), eval_f = func, lb = rep(-2,
    4), ub = rep(10, 4), eval_g_ineq = eval_g_ineq, eval_g_eq = eval_g_eq,
    opts = list(algorithm = "NLOPT_GN_ISRES", xtol_rel = 1e-08,
        maxeval = 1e+08)
Minimization using NLopt version 2.8.0
NLopt solver status: 4 ( NLOPT_XTOL_REACHED: Optimization stopped because
xtol_rel or xtol_abs (above) was reached. )
Number of Iterations....: 90939
Termination conditions: xtol_rel: 1e-08 maxeval: 1e+08
Number of inequality constraints: 1
Number of equality constraints:
Optimal value of objective function: 0.250000344384973
Optimal value of controls: 0.2496812 0.2504248 0.2497717 0.2501223
# Case (iii) A > 1/4
res_p3_case3 \leftarrow solve_p3(A = 0.3)
print(res_p3_case3)
```

maxeval = 1e+08)

From the above results we can see that the objective function has a minimum value of  $\frac{1}{4}$  irrespective of the value of the variable A, subject to the given constraints.