question Like 57 views

## question on lab 10 when input = 0x7 (2 cars and a pedestrian present)

Below is a snippet from my lab 10 - I'm wondering where I went wrong when the input = 0x7, cars on west and south roads, and a pedestrian present. I put a for-loop in when I detected the input = 0x7, and cycled through each of my 11 states (not 9 - added 2 extra states - is that fine - or do you have to implement it in 9 states to make it work with the grader?) So it output to PB5-0 and PF3, PF1 for each state, before reading the sensor input again. However, the grader never gets passed this check of the output sequence for Input = 0x7 before failing (first test). I chose delay = 50 since 50 times .01 sec (10ms) = .5sec, or the less than 1 second I thought was recommended for the time to wait in each state.

At first I had implemented it in 9 states, and then I thought it would be easier with 11 states, since each of the goWest or goSouth states could then have 2 waitToGoTo--the next states. Perhaps that is incorrect? The reason I changed it was because if you are in goWest for example, and then move to waitWest, you could be waiting because either a pedestrian had arrived and you moved to the wait state, or a car on the south road had arrived and you moved to the wait state, so I added those 2 extra wait states dependent on whether you were moving because of a sensor detect a pedestrian or a car.

So I'm not sure if that is a problem, but I did implement it that way. If that is fine, then my question is in regard to when I cycle through the outputs of these 11 states, the grader chokes. I observed in the simulator that all the LEDs were as I had expected them to be (but not as the grader expected them to be)

I thought the lab had also stated that you should not put a for loop within your while loop, but I did, once I detected Input on sensors = 0x7, so that I would not change the Input again until I cycled through the 11 states and output to the LEDs. Then I allowed the Input to read the sensors again.

Here is my code snippet. Any help appreciated! And I know I'm behind most people in this class for one reason or another, in that I'm working on lab 10 today, April 10th! I hope to catch up though before the course ends. Thanks.

```
// west facing red light connected to PB5
// west facing yellow light connected to PB4
// west facing green light connected to PB3
// south facing red light connected to PB2
// south facing yellow light connected to PB1
// south facing green light connected to PB0
// pedestrian / walker - WALK green light connected to PF3
// pedestrian / walker - DONT WALK - SOLID red light connected to PF1
// pedestrian / walker - HURRY WALK - FLASH red light ON and OFF TWICE connected to PF1
// pedestrian / walker present on either west or south road sensor detector connected to PE2 (1=walker present)
// west facing car detector connected to PE1 (1=car present)
// south facing car detector connected to PE0 (1=car present)
  while(1){
     LIGHT = FSM[S].Out >> 2; // set west and south road traffic LED lights (PB5-0) - output based on current state
          GPIO\_PORTF\_DATA\_R = ((FSM[S].Out \& 0x2) << 2) \mid ((FSM[S].Out \& 0x1) << 1); // set walk/don't walk leds (PF3 and PF1) \mid (FSM[S].Out \& 0x1) << 1); // set walk/don't walk leds (PF3 and PF1) \mid (FSM[S].Out \& 0x1) << 1); // set walk/don't walk leds (PF3 and PF1) \text{ of the property of t
     SysTick_Wait10ms(FSM[S].Time);
     Input = SENSOR;
                                             // read sensors
          if (Input == 0x7) {
               for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
                    S = FSM[S].Next[Input];
                    LIGHT = FSM[S].Out >> 2; // set west and south road traffic LED lights (PB5-0) - output based on current state
                    GPIO_PORTF_DATA_R = ((FSM[S].Out & 0x2) << 2) | ((FSM[S].Out & 0x1) << 1); // set walk/don't walk leds (PF3 and PF1)
                    SysTick Wait10ms(FSM[S].Time);
               Input = SENSOR; // read sensors
               = FSM[S].Next[Input];
struct State {
  unsigned long Out;
  unsigned long Time;
  unsigned long Next[11];};
typedef const struct State STyp;
#define goWest 0
#define waitWestToGoSouth 1
#define waitWestToGoWalk 2
#define goSouth 3
#define waitSouthToGoWest 4
#define waitSouthToGoWalk 5
#define goWalk 6
#define hurryWalk 7
#define noWalkNoCars1 8
#define dontWalkNoCars 9
#define noWalkNoCars2 10
STyp FSM[11]={
```

1 of 3 04/13/2014 07:32 AM

{0x31,50,{goWest, waitWestToGoSouth, goWest, waitWestToGoSouth, waitWestToGoWalk, waitWestToGoSouth, waitWestToGoSouth, waitWestToGoSouth, waitWestToGoSouth, waitWestToGoSouth, waitWestToGoSouth, goSouth, waitSouthToGoWest, waitSouthToGoWest, waitSouthToGoWest, waitSouthToGoWest}},

{0x29,50,{goWest, goWest, goWest, goWest, goWest, goWest, goWest, waitSouthToGoWalk}},

{0x29,50,{goWalk, goWalk, goWalk, goWalk, goWalk, goWalk, goWalk}},

{0x91,50,{horryWalk, hurryWalk, hurryWalk, hurryWalk, goWalk, goWalk, goWalk, hurryWalk}},

{0x91,50,{fooWalkNoCars1, noWalkNoCars1, noWalkNoCars1, noWalkNoCars1, noWalkNoCars1, noWalkNoCars2, dontWalkNoCars, dontWalkNoCars, dontWalkNoCars, dontWalkNoCars, dontWalkNoCars, dontWalkNoCars, dontWalkNoCars2, noWalkNoCars2, noWalkNoCars

Click to start off the wiki answer

the instructors' answer, where instructors collectively construct a single answer

the students' answer, where students collectively construct a single answer

## From Chapter & Lab 10:

- 1) Because we think being in a state is defined by the output pattern, we think you should implement a Moore and not a Mealy machine. However, your scheme should use a linked data structure stored in ROM.
- 2) There should be a 1-1 mapping between FSM graph and data structure. For a Moore machine, this means each state in the graph has a name, an output, a time to wait, and 8 next state links (one for each input). The data structure has exactly these components: a name, an output, a time to wait, and 8 next state pointers (one for each input). There is no more or no less information in the data structure then the information in the state graph.
- 3) There can be no conditional branches in program, other than the **while** in **SysTick\_Wait** and the **for**in **SysTick\_Wait10ms**. This will simplify debugging make the FSM engine trivial.
- 4) The state graph defines exactly what the system does in a clear and unambiguous fashion. In other words, do not embed functionality (e.g., flash 3 times) into the software that is not explicitly defined in the state graph.
- 5) Each state has the same format of each state. This means every state has exact one name, one 8-bit output (could be stored as one or two fields in the struct), one time to wait, and 8 next indices.

If you see 3), it says no conditionals or loops in the program. By keeping a loop, you are violating the concept of FSM where each state has one set of inputs, one set of outputs and a delay time. Your FSM outputs of the current state are defined by FSM inputs from the same state. This is a Mealy FSM. You need to implement a Moore FSM. A Moore FSM is characterized by output depending only on the current state and not the current input.

Only the next state depends on the current input.

2 days ago by Chinmaya Dattathri

2 days ago by Karen West

## followup discussions for lingering questions and comments





Karen West 2 days ago

Thank you for your response. I will look into it.



Anonymous 1 day ago Hi Karen,

Chinmaya's answer is true but a bit formal in nature. For our problem it amounts too --- you shouldn't need to do any testing in the main loop (that's what makes it a Mealy State machine) to decide what the output should be, it all just comes from the state table and looks like:

while(1){
TRAFFICLIGHT = // from fsm[State].Out or a subset
PEDESTRIANLIGHT = // from fsm[State].Out or a subset or as a seperate Struct item
// wait some period of time
Input = SENSOR\_PORTA >> 2; // read sensors shift down from bits 4-2
State = fsm[State].Next[Input];
}

2 of 3 04/13/2014 07:32 AM

You need 9/10/11 states depending on whether or not you have an all Red state and how many times you flash Red for Pedestrian "hurry up".

Hope this helps.



**FrankB** 11 hours ago But do not feel limited to 9/10/11 states. Take as many as you need to implement your design. A perfectly well designed solution to this exercise may have twice as many (as noted in the intructions).

For example, you use "#define hurryWalk 7" to define the pedestrian "hurry up" period as one state. You can equally define it as a whole string of states in which the flashing light is on or off, alternating at each state transition.

The key to getting this lab done right is to have a really clear diagram showing how you transition from one state to the next.

3 of 3 04/13/2014 07:32 AM