

Earn a Verified Certificate for this course!

[Get Started](#)

x

[Forums / General Discussion](#)[Help](#)

## Term limits was another big miss in the Constitution

✉ You are subscribed. [Unsubscribe](#)termimits x + [Add Tag](#)Sort replies by: [Oldest first](#) [Newest first](#) [Most popular](#)

Jay Oza · 2 hours ago

I am not sure why term limits was not addressed when the framers knew that once someone gets power he is not going to voluntarily give it up.

Granted GW and others did that, but that is not happening any more and is unlikely to change. What the framers did not want was the emergence of career politicians and that is what we are now stuck with.

Incumbency is almost a 90%+ certainty to getting re-elected.

↑ 0 ↓ · flag

Anonymous · 12 minutes ago

Also the life tenure for federal judges. I whole agree with that is said here on the matter <http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=128168260> .





Maybe at the time of the founding, when the framers could not have anticipated the degree of partisanship that exists today -even though they already warned against factions- judges with life appointments made sense. Now that you can predict the result of most if not all controversial votes based on the politics of the president that nominated the justices, not so much. Time to make federal judges as accountable to the voters as the remaining branches of government are!

↑ 0 ↓ · flag

[+ Comment](#)

New post

To ensure a positive and productive discussion, please read our [forum posting policies](#) before posting.

<b>B</b>	<i>I</i>			 Link	<code>&lt;code&gt;</code>	 Pic	Math		Edit: Rich ▼	Preview

☐ Make this post anonymous to other students

☒ Subscribe to this thread at the same time

Add post

Term limits was another big miss in the Const...

<https://class.coursera.org/conlaw-001/forum/...>