

Earn a Verified Certificate for this course!

[Get Started](#)

x

[Forums / General Discussion](#)[Help](#)

does anyone know where this surveillance topic falls in the Constitution?

✉ You are subscribed. [Unsubscribe](#)🔍 [surveillanceInConstitution](#) x +Sort replies by: [Oldest first](#) [Newest first](#) [Most popular](#)[Add Tag](#)

Karen West · 36 minutes ago 🔒



<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/feb/03/microsoft-facebook-google-yahoo-fisa-surveillance-reque...>

⬆ 0 ⬇ · flag

Anonymous · 30 minutes ago 🔒

The opponents to the massive collection of that data by the NSA, like yours truly, claim this to be a pure 4th amendment issue in which there is no argument: the NSA (with the agreement of the US President) has been in violation of the 4th amendment for a long time.

Those who defend the NSA make the improbable argument that based on an obscure 1979 decision, the data that the NSA is collecting is data that you "give away" so that there is no reasonable expectation of privacy once you make a phone call or send an email.

As always, it all will come down to where the supremes stand on the issues. The traditional "living constitution" vs "originalist" division is not even apt here. Who knows what they will decide if they take the case (the supreme court has discretion to take the cases it wants).

⬆ 0 ⬇ · flag

[+ Comment](#)



Sonia B. · 26 minutes ago 🔗

I am no expert in this matter but it points toward the right to be free from illegal searches and seizures, self-incrimination, and due process with prosecutorial issues- which falls under the scope of the fourth, fifth, and sixth amendments, among many others.

↑ 0 ↓ · flag

Joel Kovarsky · 7 minutes ago 🔗

I think you are right, regarding the multiple constitutional issues involved with use of the Patriot Act. Given the conflicted judicial opinions to date, it looks like we are in for a long and wild ride.

<http://www.theatlantic.com/national/archive/2013/12/is-the-nsas-spying-constitutional-it-depends-why...>

<http://www.scn.org/ccapa/pa-vs-const.html> (shows multiple amendments and issues considered)

<http://www.scotusblog.com/2013/12/judge-nsa-phone-sweep-likely-invalid/>

↑ 0 ↓ · flag

[+ Comment](#)

Paarth Arora · 26 minutes ago 🔗

Good question, I hope this is covered in this course.

↑ 0 ↓ · flag

[+ Comment](#)

New post

To ensure a positive and productive discussion, please read our [forum posting policies](#) before posting.

B	<i>I</i>			Link	<code>	Pic	Math		Edit: Rich ▼	Preview
<div></div>										

does anyone know where this surveillance top...

<https://class.coursera.org/conlaw-001/forum/...>

☐ Make this post anonymous to other students

☒ Subscribe to this thread at the same time

Add post

does anyone know where this surveillance top...

<https://class.coursera.org/conlaw-001/forum/...>