

Earn a Verified Certificate for this course!

[Get Started](#)

x

[Forums / General Discussion](#)[Help](#)

Active component 2 (secession and legitimacy)

✉ You are subscribed. [Unsubscribe](#)🔍 [secession](#) × [legitimacy](#) × + [Add](#)Sort replies by: [Oldest first](#) [Newest first](#) [Most popular](#)[Tag](#)[Jose Benegas](#) · 11 hours ago 🔒

On the interesting explanation from Daniel on Active component 2 I would like to share my doubts:

1. If the constitution involves the creation of a new country and therefore limitations on the amendment of the Articles of Confederation become invalid, where comes from the legitimacy of the members of the Assembly to speak on behalf of the people, if they were elected under the articles of Confederation.
2. How compatible the phrase "we the people" is with the assertion that there is no right of secession? Why people can join but not unjoin the union? The irrevocability of union, logically involves the creation of a power **over** the people.
3. It is true that if the amendments now require 2/3 of acceptances, that's an argument that supports the irrevocability of the Union according to that text, without disproving what I said previously.
4. It seems a bit flimsy the thesis that international treaties should not be met, it does not support the idea of legitimacy at least.

↑ 0 ↓ · flag

[Jose Benegas](#) · 11 hours ago 🔒

The best explanation I can find for the irrevocability of the union is not binding and irrevocable value in itself, but the creation of a great power. A purely political issue.

↑ 0 ↓ · flag

[+ Comment](#)

New post

To ensure a positive and productive discussion, please read our [forum posting policies](#) before posting.

B	<i>I</i>	☰	☷	🔗 Link	<code>	🖼 Pic	Math	Edit: Rich ▼	Preview
----------	----------	---	---	--------	--------	-------	------	--------------	---------

☐ Make this post anonymous to other students

☒ Subscribe to this thread at the same time

Add post

