

Hi, I'm Professor Navarro here.
 In this first lecture I will try to illustrate just how important microeconomics can be in both your personal and professional lives.
 And also give you a sample of some of the more important concepts in economics like opportunity costs and market failure.
 Perhaps most importantly I will lay out a road map of the major areas of microeconomics that you will be studying.
 The goal here is to help you see the forest before we start looking closely at each of the trees.
 [MUSIC]
 >> At a business and professional level, microeconomics can help answer questions like, how can my firm minimize its costs and increase its profits?
 What prices should I charge for my products?
 Should I invest in new plant and equipment?
 And how should I respond to an aggressive strategic move by one of my competitors?
 At a personal level, microeconomics is equally practical.
 It can help answer questions like how can I maximize my grade point average given my time constraints?
 Will I really be better off financially if I quit my job now and go back for an MBA degree?
 What kind of career should I be preparing myself for?
 And what about that new refrigerator or automobile I want to buy?
 Should I get the new energy-efficient one with a higher price tag or settle for a cheaper model?
 Most broadly, microeconomics can also help you come to understand why the government is so involved in our economic lives.
 It can do so by answering questions like, why does the government regulate prices in some industries like electricity and gas but not in others?
 Why are there laws requiring seat belts and motorcycle helmets?
 Why do we have a federal environmental protection agency and thousands of rules about workplace safety?
 And why does the government provide some goods, like our national defense and lighthouses, and let the free market provide other goods, like hot dogs and computers?
 Microeconomics can help answer questions like these because it arms us with a very powerful set of conceptual and problem-solving tools.
 As you study this challenging subject many ideas and words that may be quite foreign to you now like, opportunity cost, the time value of money price elasticities And oligopoly will become your friends for life.
 Let me show you how powerful and helpful these friends can be, by telling you several stories about some fictional people in very real situations.
 Let's start close to home with that refrigerator problem.
 Priscilla Sanchez wants to buy a new one and her choice's between a very energy-efficient model that cost \$750 or the identical refrigerator without the energy saving features for only \$500.
 Since Priscilla just finished her course of microeconomics, she knows about the time value of money.
 So, after calculating the net present value of the energy savings on an electricity bill over the life of the investment, she realizes that the seemingly more expensive refrigerator is actually much cheaper.
 In fact, Priscilla helped her husband Phil come to a similar conclusion on a completely different topic.
 Phil was thinking about entering an executive MBA program, but the tuition is very expensive, over \$100,000 for the two-year program.
 Phil's problem in thinking through his decision was that he didn't know how to compare his up front cost of going to school with the future benefits that would

come in
the form of a higher salary and better
promotional opportunities.
>> So Priscilla once again got out her
hand calculator and made
some assumptions about Phil's future
stream of income after he got his MBA.
And it became pretty clear to both of them
that
the family would be better off financially
over the long run
with Phil in school now.
And that's where Phil is.
He sits right next to Stuart Applegate in
his Microeconomics class.
Before losing his job and going back to
school, Stuart
was the chief executive officer of a
high-flying computer software company.
However, when his company started to lose
money, Stuart's solution was
to raise prices in the hopes of boosting
revenues and profits.
Unfortunately,
Stuart came from an engineering
background, and
as he hadn't studied microeconomics,
Stuart didn't
understand that the demand for his
company's
product was what economists call highly
elastic.
In such a case, raising prices actually
reduces both total revenue and profits.
The result was that Stuart's pricing
strategy bankrupted the company.
Fortunately, Jean Twilley was a lot smarter
when confronted with a similar situation
in her job as a financial
analyst in the operations department for
the transit authority in Paradise
California.
Facing a revenue shortfall, Jean ordered
an analysis
of the elasticity of demand for bus
services.
When she found that bus demand was also
highly elastic, she
recommended to her supervisor that the
transit authority lower bus fares.
This pricing strategy did indeed increase
ridership and boost total revenues.
It also earned Jean a nice promotion.
It was a totally different set of
microeconomic tools that helped Jong
Chan get a different kind of promotion -
one into medical school.
Jong's problem was that at the end of his
freshman
year in college, his grade point average
was only 2.9.
However after taking
a course in microeconomics Jong used the
concept of opportunity costs and the tool
of the possibilities frontier to more
efficiently
allocate his studying time across various
subjects.
The result?
By the end of his senior year Jong had
raised his grade point average to 3.8.
It's too bad that Jong's father, Nai-fu,
didn't
receive the same training in
microeconomics as his son.
Which isn't to say that Nai-fu Chan isn't
a success himself.
After all, he's a newly elected member of
Congress from the Los Angeles area.
However, in his first term last year,
Congressman Chan tries to pass some
legislation
that would have forever eliminated any
kind
of price regulation in the entire
transportation industry.
While such price deregulation makes a lot
of sense in an industry like trucking
which is highly competitive, it makes very
little
sense for the railroad industry, which is
in
essence a natural monopoly that will gouge
consumers
with high prices in the absence of
government regulations.
Fortunately, Congressman Chan's bill
didn't pass.
Of course I can go on and on with these
kind of stories.
But I think you already get the point.
When you learn microeconomics, you will
learn a skill that will
help you enormously in both your personal
and professional life.

[SOUND]

So what is microeconomics?

The word micro means small and microeconomics focuses on the behavior of individual markets and the smaller individual

units that make up the broader economy.

Businesses, consumers, investors, and workers.

Microeconomics is distinguished from macroeconomics which focuses on problems in the broader economy like inflation and unemployment and the rate of economic growth.

Adam Smith is usually considered the intellectual forefather of microeconomics.

In his classic book, The Wealth of

Nations, published in 1776.

Smith considered how individual prices are set,

study the determination of prices for land,

labor, and capital and examined the strengths

and weaknesses of the free market mechanism.

Most importantly he identified the remarkable

efficiency properties of perfectly competitive markets.

Using his now famous invisible hand analogy,

Smith argued that the self interested actions

of individuals actually guide market outcomes to

yield great economic benefits for the broader society.

While Adam Smith's keen insights will provide an important foundation for many discussions

in our study of microeconomics.

It is also true that in America, as well as

virtually all other nations of the world very few sectors of

the economy fulfill Adam Smith's vision of a perfectly competitive

marketplace delivering goods and services at lowest price and highest quality.

In fact, the US as well as most other modern industrialized nations, has what is called a mixed economy.

At one end of this mixed economy, we have industries like farming and mining.

These industries are characterized by many buyers and sellers and

come closest to approximating Adam Smith's model of perfect competition.

At the other end of this mixed economy, we have pure monopolies

like the Post Office, characterized by one seller and run by the government.

In between these two poles of perfect competition and monopoly, there are

numerous oligopolies.

From the tobacco and chewing gum industries to automobiles and oil.

Oligopolies are industries which typically have a small number of large firms.

And many of America's largest industries are oligopolies

much more likely to engage in collusive practices such

as price fixing than the type of fierce competition envisioned by Adam Smith and his invisible hand.

In contrast to Adam Smith's free market economy and America's mixed economy, a command economy

is one in which the government makes all the important decisions about

production and distribution.

In a command economy such as the one which operated in the Soviet

Union, the government owns most of the means of production, land and capital.

It also owns and directs the operations of enterprises in most industries.

It is the employer of most workers and tells them how to do their jobs.

And it decides how the output of society is to be divided among different goods and services.

Regardless of whether a country has a command or mixed economy it still must answer three basic questions.

What shall be produced, how shall it be produced, and for whom shall it be produced for?

In answering these three basic questions, a country must address three basic

facets of economic and political life, scarcity, efficiency, and equity.

Take scarcity first.

If infinite quantities of every good could

be produced, there would not be economic goods, that is goods that are scarce or limited in supply. All goods would be free like sand in the desert or seawater at the beach. In such an Eden of affluence, people wouldn't have to worry about stretching out their limited incomes to fulfill their wants. Businesses wouldn't worry about costs and profits when they produce their products. Governments wouldn't have to tax their citizens to build things like roads and bridges. And there would be no distinction or political and economic conflict between rich and poor. Because everyone would have everything they needed and wanted. Prices in markets would be irrelevant and economics would not be a useful subject. Clearly no society has reached such a utopia of limitless possibilities. Instead, while goods are limited, wants are seemingly limitless. Indeed after two centuries of rapid economic growth, production in the United States is still not high enough to meet everyone's desires. And outside the United States, particularly in Africa and Asia, hundreds of millions of people suffer from hunger and material deprivation every day. Faced with the undeniable fact that goods are scarce relative to wants, an economy must decide how to cope with limited resources. It must choose among different potential bundles of goods, the what. Select from differing techniques of production, the how. And decide in the end who will consume the goods, the for whom. In a very real sense then the essence of economics is to acknowledge the reality of scarcity and then figure out how to organize society in a way that produces the most efficient use of resources. Efficiency denotes the most effective use of a society's resources in satisfying people's wants and needs. As we shall see, allocating resources efficiently is all the more complicated because in pursuing efficiency there is almost always a very thorny trade-off between what is efficient from an economic point of view and what may be viewed as fair or equitable from a social and political point of view. In fact grappling with the trade-off between efficiency and equity is one of the most difficult tasks of economist and the political and business leaders they serve. Consider the case of electricity prices. When we study monopoly, we'll learn that from a microeconomic view, the most efficient way to regulate electricity prices would be to charge individual consumers much more than businesses for the same unit of electricity. However, such a pricing creates enormous political problems because it is people rather than businesses that vote in our democratic system. Moreover many would argue that it simply wouldn't be fair to charge people especially the poor and elderly more than big corporations for the same product. In a similar vein, we will also see that almost any time the government tries to raise taxes to redistribute income from the rich to the poor through mechanisms like food stamps or Medicare, those taxes tend to interfere with the efficiency of the free market.

[MUSIC].

To further illustrate these concepts of scarcity, efficiency and equity, let's introduce one of the most important tools in microeconomics.

The Production Possibilities Frontier.

The Production Possibilities Frontier or PPF shows the maximum amounts of production that can be obtained by an economy.

Given its technological knowledge and quantity of inputs or resources available.

It represents the menu of goods and services available to society.

And if a country is operating on its PPF, it is allocating resources efficiently.

The important idea behind the PPF is that societies cannot have everything they want.

They are limited, not just by their resources,

but also by the technology available to them.

Therefore, societies must choose between goods, and one of the broadest choices that virtually every country is forced to make is between producing guns vs butter.

In particular how much of a country's resources should go to the military, the guns.

And how much should go to civilian uses like education and health care and the production of consumer goods like computers and automobiles, that's the butter.

To illustrate this choice

take a look at this PPF diagram for the mythical country of Tough Choice.

In the figure, butter production is represented on the horizontal axis and guns production is represented on the vertical axis.

If the leaders of tough choice were to use all the

country's resources to produce butter, it would produce five million pounds.

And be at point F in the figure given its current technology.

Alternatively, if Tough Choice were to produce only guns,

it would be at point A, or 15,000 guns.

Now, between these two extremes of only butter and only guns,

Tough Choice also can produce many combinations of guns and butter.

As illustrated by points B, C, D, and E in the figure.

For example by foregoing one million pounds of butter

production, Tough Choice can increase its guns from

9,000 at point D to 12,000 at point C.

In fact, such a movement along the PPF illustrates one of the most important concepts in microeconomics.

A concept known as opportunity costs.

On the most fundamental level, the opportunity cost of moving from D to C is the butter given up to produce the extra guns.

In this example, the opportunity cost of the 3,000 extra guns is one million pounds of butter forgone.

In a world of scarcity choosing one thing means giving up something else.

The opportunity cost of a decision is the value of the good or service forgone.

Indeed because resources are scarce, we must always consider how to spend our limited incomes or time.

Now let's put the PPF to work to illustrate some other important ideas.

Take a look at this figure.

Voters can choose between private goods like autos and houses, bought at a price, and public goods like roads and bridges, paid for by taxes.

For a frontier society, like that which is depicted in the figure, the country is likely to be at point A.

Because the society lives from hand to mouth with little left over for public goods like super highways or public health.

However, with economic growth and technological change, a modern urbanized and more prosperous

economy will have a PPF shifted significantly outward relevant to the frontier society.

In this case, this society may choose to be at point B, spending more

of its higher income on public goods and services, like education, environmental protection, and roads. It's not just what a society chooses to consume that matters, it's also when it chooses to consume it.

This figure portrays an economy's choice between consuming goods like autos and watches and tennis rackets now, or investing in machinery and factories. In the figure three countries start out even with the same PPF.

However, the Kingdom of Jiminy Cricket, as indicated by A1, does no investment for the future, while the Aristotle Republic invests modestly at A2. Thriftyland sacrifices a great deal of current consumption to invest heavily at A3.

This figure shows what happens over time. The PPF of Thriftyland has shifted significantly outwards, allowing the sons and daughters of Thriftyland's investors to live a more prosperous life. Meanwhile the Kingdom of Jiminy Cricket remains mired at the same standard of living on its original PPF.

The important point here, of course, is that by sacrificing current consumption and producing more capital goods, a nation's economy can grow more rapidly. Now let's use the PPF to shed further light on the concept of economic efficiency.

Take a look at this figure, which once again illustrates a country's trade off between guns and butter.

Note that point D is unattainable given the society's available technology, resources, and labor force.

Note also, that point A is an inefficient point, because there is a way to produce more of guns or butter without having to give up any of the other.

For a society, the idea that is to stay on the PPF at points like C and B, and that's where microeconomics can be so helpful.

[MUSIC].

Let's conclude this lesson now with an overview of the four major parts of the microeconomics puzzle that you'll be studying over the next few months. In lectures two through four we'll take a very good look at how the forces of supply and demand reach an equilibrium in the product market. The market for consumer goods like autos and shoes and computers.

This figure shows just such an equilibrium. Note that the price of the good in this case, Corn Flakes, is on the vertical axis.

And the quantity of the Corn Flakes is on the horizontal axis.

Note also that the demand curve slopes downward.

This reflects the idea that the lower the price, the more Corn Flakes the consumers will want to buy.

Similarly, the supply curve slopes upward indicating

that as prices rise, businesses will be willing to provide more Corn Flakes.

The powerful idea behind this figure, is

that the price in the market will tend to be set where the supply and demand curves cross.

In order to understand exactly why this equilibrium

happens, we're going to spend a lot of time in the first part of our studies, understanding each of the components of these curves.

To understand

the downward slope and shape of the demand curve, and indeed why that curve may also shift.

We'll spend a whole lesson on the theory of consumer or household behavior.

By the same token, to figure out why the supply

curve slopes upward, we'll look at so called production theory.

Which examines why firms price and produce products the way they do.

Once we come to

understand these demand and supply curves, we will become very apparent

why prices tend towards an equilibrium where the two curves cross.

In lectures five, six and seven, we will turn to

the broader issue of how markets are organized and structured.

In this stage we'll see that when a market meets

Adam Smith's test of being perfectly competitive, it's invisible hand truly is a wondrous mechanism.

It allocates resources in the most

efficient way possible without any help or interference of the government.

However, in this part of our studies we'll also come to understand that markets are prone

to various kinds of market failures, that may

require the government to intervene to correct these failures.

The three most important market failures involve

imperfect competition, such as monopolies.

Externalities, such as pollution, and public goods, such as national defense.

In each case, the market failure leads to inefficient production or consumption, and government can play a useful role in solving or reducing the problem.

For example, when there is only one seller in the marketplace, a monopoly.

That seller tends to set prices too high and consumption is too low relative to the most efficient outcome that would occur in a market with numerous buyers and sellers.

In such a case, government intervention into the market may be appropriate.

And such intervention may involve regulating prices and profits or prohibiting actions such as price fixing.

A second type

of market failure involves negative externalities and positive externalities.

In the case of a negative externality, a company

may produce steel and sell it for the market price.

In manufacturing the steel, the company will incur

costs for its machinery and raw materials and labor.

And those direct costs to the firm, will be subtracted from its revenues to calculate its profits.

However, in a free market, what the company does not take into consideration are, the broader external costs it may impose on society, in the process of making the steel.

Such costs arise, for example, when a company pollutes the river adjacent to its plant or the air basin over the nearby town.

We'll learn that in the presence of negative externalities, like pollution and congestion.

The free market produces too much of the good, steel in this example, at too low a price.

In such a case the government may want to regulate or tax the polluters.

While in the presence of positive externalities associated with goods like education and vaccinations, government subsidies may be appropriate to internalize the externality.

Still a third market failure involves so-called public goods like national defense and lighthouses.

The problem with public goods, is that they are non-rival in consumption. Meaning that my use of, say, a lighthouse doesn't interfere with your use of that same lighthouse.

This is very different from goods that are rival in consumption, like hamburgers and shoes.

If I eat the burger, or wear the shoes, you can't.

As we shall see, the typical solution to the public goods problem is for the government to step in and provide it.

that is why it is the government that is in charge in providing many public goods.

From national defense in the criminal justice system, to parks, roads and bridges.

The crucial importance of the concepts of the market failure, is that it helps us better understand the many reasons, good and bad, while the government may get involved in our businesses and our lives.

And it is especially important for people in business to understand the economic role of government.

Because government rules and regulations have as much or more to do with the bottom line profits of most companies than any competitor's actions.

[MUSIC].

But even if there are no failures in the market, there may be a political or ethical problem with how wealth and income are

distributed, that triggers government intervention into the free market.

To see this, assume for the moment that the economy is functioning with complete efficiency.

Always on the production-possibility frontier and never inside it.

Always choosing the right amount of public versus private goods and so forth.

Even if the market system works perfectly, it might still lead to a flawed outcome.

This may happen, because incomes are determined by a wide

variety of factors including effort, education, inheritance, factor prices, and luck.

The resulting income distribution may not correspond to a fair outcome.

A rich man's cat may drink the milk that a poor boy needs

to remain healthy.

Is this happening because the market is failing?

Not at all, for the market mechanism is doing its job.

Putting goods in the hands of those who have the dollar votes.

Put another way, If a country spends more fertilizing its lawns than feeding poor children, that is a defect of income distribution, not of the market.

Indeed, even the most efficient market system may generate great inequality.

In this regard if a democratic society does

not like the distribution of dollar votes under

a free market or Laissez-faire market system, it

can take steps to change the distribution of income.

For example, it can engage in progressive taxation, taxing

large incomes at a higher rate than small incomes.

Or, impose heavy taxes on large inheritances

to break the chain of privileged by the same token.

Because low tax rates cannot help those who have no income at

all, governments can make transfer payments

which are money payments to people.

Such transfers today include aid for the elderly,

blind and disabled and for those with dependent children.

As well as unemployment insurance for the jobless and food stamps and low cost

housing subsidies for the poor.

This system of transfer payments provides a

safety net to protect the unfortunate from privation.

And they are paid for from taxes levied on the more privileged.

It is usual to ask, at this point,

what can economics contribute to debates about equality?

Economics, as a science, cannot answer such normative or prescriptive questions about how

much of our market incomes, if any, should be transferred to poor families.

This is a political question that can be answered only at the

ballot box, or in some countries, at the point of a gun.

[SOUND].

This table summarizes the various reasons for, and ways that the government

can intervene in the private marketplace.

Take a few minutes to study this table.

Note that the bottom half of the table deals with issues in macroeconomics.

While it is the top half dealing with inefficiency

and inequality that shall be our focus in microeconomics.

[MUSIC]

In lectures eight, nine, and ten of our studies, we'll delve further into the business side of the supply and demand equation.

By studying how firms use the three so called factors of production, land, labor and capital.

These factors are the three major inputs into the production process, where the final goods and services that are produced are called the outputs.

A study of the labor market will help us come to understand how wages are determined.

While an analysis of the capital markets will help us come to better understand how to evaluate the profitability of investments,

such as those in new planned equipment. Studying land economics we'll also get a better grasp

of how rents are set in real estate markets.

Along the way, we'll acquire a number of very important analytical tools.

Concepts like net present value and rate of return, that will

be of enormous use to us in our business and personal lives.

Lecture 11 looks much more closely at two particularly market failures.

Externalities and public goods both of which provide important

rationales for large scale government intervention into the private marketplace.

You can see then, from this overview, that we have a lot of work to

do but it will be very good work because it will serve you very well.

In economic terms, I can guarantee you that the time you put into the study of this subject, will yield a very high return in both your personal and professional life.

[MUSIC].