

# SOLVING PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NEURAL NETWORKS

FYS-STK4155: PROJECT 3

Morten Ledum, Håkon Kristiansen & Kari Eriksen

 [github.com/mortele/FYS-STK4155](https://github.com/mortele/FYS-STK4155)

November 21, 2018

## Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II</b>	<b>Theory</b>	<b>3</b>
A	The heat equation . . . . .	3
B	Closed form solution . . . . .	3
C	Solving differential equations with neural networks . . . . .	4
<b>III</b>	<b>Results and discussion</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>IV</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>4</b>

## I. INTRODUCTION

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

## II. THEORY

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultrices et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

### A. The heat equation

The heat equation is a partial differential equation (in space  $x$  and time  $t$ ) which describes the evolution of temperature differences in a region of space over a time interval. It is based on *Fourier's law*; the rate of heat flow through a surface is proportional to the temperature gradient across the surface, i.e.

$$\mathbf{q} = -k\nabla T = -k\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{q}$  denotes the heat flux density,  $k$  is the thermal conductivity of the surface material, and  $T$  represents the temperature. Changes in temperature are proportional to changes in internal energy, with the proportionality constant

being the specific heat capacity  $c_p$ . With the arbitrary energy zero point placed at absolute zero, this can be written as

$$Q = c_p \rho T, \quad (2)$$

with  $Q$  being the internal energy and  $\rho$  denoting the mass density. This is essentially just a restatement of (a shifted) *first law of thermodynamics*, in the absence of applied work. The total heat energy contained in a region  $[a, b]$  is given by the integral

$$\int_a^b dx c_p \rho T(x, t). \quad (3)$$

Integrating over a small region of space and considering the change in internal energy over a short time interval (assuming  $c_p$  and  $\rho$  are both time-independent and spatially homogeneous) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q &= c_p \rho \int_x^{x+\Delta x} d\chi [T(\chi, t + \Delta t) - T(\chi, t)] \\ &= c_p \rho \int_x^{x+\Delta x} d\chi \int_t^{t+\Delta t} d\tau \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Over a short time period  $\Delta t$ , the change in internal energy of a short segment of length  $\Delta x$  must be entirely due to the heat flux in/out of the boundaries,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q &= k \int_t^{t+\Delta t} d\tau \left[ \frac{\partial T(x + \Delta x, \tau)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial T(x, \tau)}{\partial x} \right] \\ &= k \int_t^{t+\Delta t} d\tau \int_x^{x+\Delta x} d\chi \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \chi^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By conservation of energy, the difference between Eq. (4) and Eq. (5) must obviously vanish. Since we are integrating over the same spatial and temporal regions in both equations, this means that the integrand must vanish identically:

$$\frac{k}{c_p \rho} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}. \quad (6)$$

This is known as the *heat equation* and is a special case of the more general diffusion equation.

### B. Closed form solution

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst.

Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

### **C. Solving differential equations with neural networks**

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consetetuer.

## **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue

purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.