Nou-Informative Priors:

A mon-informative Prior (an objective Prior) is a prior which is somehow automatic, reflecting the lack of any initial knowledge about the parameter it may have no probabilistic interpretation and so does not have to be a valid probability distribution. Non-informative priors can be used when littleno reliable in formation is available.

(1) Uniform Priors:

Definition: The uniform prior or flat prior is the prior P(D) a 1.

This is the obvious choise of lack of information; every value being equally likely. Under this prior

$$P(\Omega|x) = \frac{L(0,x)}{\int_{\Theta} L(0,x) d\theta}$$

which is well defined as long as

 $\int_{\mathcal{D}} L(\mathcal{O}, x) d\mathcal{O} < \infty.$

Example: Let XNEXP(0) and P(0)=1
The marginal likelihood is Se odo

which is finite for all x>0, so the posterior is well-defined.

Properties: Let $n = \log \vartheta$. Then the prior for η is

 $P(n) = P(w(n)) \frac{d\theta}{dn} = \frac{d\theta}{dn} = 1.e^{n} \neq 1$

After reparametrisation the prioris not

flat anywore. In fact, as a prior in n, P is very informative Clarge values are more likely than small ones) (2) Jeffrey's Prior. De need a prior which does not depend on the panemetrisation. Definition. In one-divensional case Jeffrey's prior is given by: P(0) α (I(0))

Where I(0)=E₀(- $\frac{2}{30^2}$ l(0,x1) is the Fisher Inf.

Remark: If $\theta = g(\psi)$ for some one-to
one differentiable function g then the reparametrised prior is P(4) ~ P(g(4)).19'(4)1

Recall + hat $T(\psi) = (g'(\psi))^2 T_0$ So $\sqrt{I_{\psi}} = \sqrt{I_{\vartheta}} |g'(\psi)|$. Hence, P(4) ~ VI A Jeffrey's prior is invariant under reparanetrisation. Definition: The K-divensional Jeffrey's prior 15 given by P(0) ~ [Io] where |Io|= det To, Io is the Fisher Information matrix so under the Standard regularity assumptions $\left(\text{To} \right)_{ij} = - \text{To} \left(\frac{3^2}{39;39} \right) \left(\text{OT} \right)$

Example: Suppose $X \sim Poil9$ so that $f(x, \theta) = \frac{e^{-\theta}}{x!} f(x = 0, 1, 2, ...$ $\begin{cases} \log \varphi + (x; \theta) = \\ = x \log \theta - \theta - \log x! \end{cases}$ The jeffrey's prior is $P(0) \propto (I_{\chi}(0))^{1/2}$ $= \left(\left[\frac{X}{\Phi} - 1 \right]^2 \right)^{1/2}$ $= \left(\frac{2}{2} + (x, 9) \left(\frac{x-9}{9}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}$ $=\left(e^{-\vartheta}\sum_{x=0}^{\infty}\frac{\vartheta^{x}}{x!}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\vartheta^{2}}-\frac{\varrho x}{\vartheta}+1\right)\right)$ $=\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta^2} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(X-\vartheta\right)^2\right)\right)^{1/2}$ $= 0^{-1/2}$

Note: This is an improper prior.

(3) Maximum Entropy Prior:

This prior is inspired by information theory.

Définition: The entropy of a Pdf P 1s défined as

H(P) = - J P(0) logp(0) do.

A maximum entropy probability

distribution has entropy that is at

least as great as that of all

other members of a specified

class of probability distributions.

This ensures the least biased or the

most non-informative choice, assuring

no other knowledge is available.

This approach minimites prior assumptions or in formation Example: Suppose we know the mean and variance of J. Then the maximum entropy distribution is the Gaussian distribution.

distribution. $P(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{206^2}} e^{-[\theta-\mu]^2/(26^2)}$

Note: This is because gaussian distribution has the highest entropy among all distributions on R with a given mean for and variance 6?

Constraints . [D(0) d 0 = 1

· S JP(0) dD = 4

 $\int \left(\vartheta - \mu \right)^2 p(\vartheta) d\vartheta = G^2$

We want to maximile entropy:

$$H(P) = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P(\theta) \log P(\theta) d\theta$$

Subject to constraints.

(LSC lagragian multipliers

L(P) = - Sp(0) logp(0) d0 + d1 Sp(0) d0 - 1

+ 22(Spp(0) d0 - 4)

 $+ 49 \left(\int (\theta - h_{1})_{5} b(\theta) d\theta - e_{5} \right)$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial P(\theta)} = -\log P(\theta) - 1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \theta$$

$$+ \lambda_5 \left(\theta - \mu\right)^2 = 0$$

She fr 7(9)

=> P(9) = exp (220+23(9-412+21-1)

=) $P(8) = C exp(320+b3(0-t)^2)$

then the exponentis if 23 <0 P(19) integrales 401 Concave $\lambda_2 = 0 \qquad \lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{262}$ c wosi na $P(0) = C \cdot exp\left(-\frac{[9-\mu]^2}{26^2}\right)$

be nomalite

 $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C \exp\left(-\frac{(\vartheta-t)^2}{2c^2}\right) d\vartheta = 1$ we solve for Cthis gives $C = 1/\sqrt{2nc^2}$

Theorem: Let $P(9) = exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi_i T_i(9) - B(1)\right) \neq 0.0.$

be a probability density function

and suppose that &r i ≈, ..., k (≠) $\int T_i(x) p(\theta) dx = +i$ maximiles H(P) Then P uniquely Satisfying the a mong all densities Constraint. Proof: Let 17 be the class of distributions soutisfying (*). Recall that for 2 distributions 7,2472 the Kullhack-Leiblar divergence KL (MII N2) is defined through:

 $KL(n_1 | n_2) = \int n_1(dx) log \left(\frac{dn_1}{dn_2}\right)$

where offi is the valon birodyin derivative. If D₁ is not absolute Continuous w.r. + N2 we set KL(TIII)=+ 00. His asimple application of Jensen's inequality to check that KL(T1 1172)>0 Let P'bean element on T H(p')=- [p'(x) log p'(x) dx $= \int P'(x) l p y \left(\frac{P'(x)}{P(x)} \right) dx$ - Jp'(x) logp(x) dx = - KL(P'IIP) - Jp'(x) lugp (x) dx

under the given Comstrolling (#)

Note: Theorem Confirms that the Mote: Theorem Confirms that the maximum entropy distribution under moment Constraint is the exponential fimily distribution.

Example: In the gaussian example: $\mathbb{E}\left(\mathsf{T}_{1}(\theta)\right)=\left\{\mathsf{L}_{1}\left(\mathsf{T}_{2}(\theta)\right)\in\mathsf{G}^{2}\right\}$ T2(も)=(もーレ)2 Where T, (8): \$ By the previous theorem the maximum entropy Prior is of the form:

 $P(\theta) \propto \exp(\lambda_1 \theta + \lambda_2 (\theta - t)^2)$ The 2 constraints then imply that $\lambda_1 = 0$ $\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{262}$