

Stochastic Gradient Descent

Optimization for Machine Learning — Exercise #4

Monday 22nd May, 2023

Part I: Theory

A nice reference on Stochastic Gradient Descent is [1]. We will see some highlights from its §4.

I.1. Useful inequalities

Exercise I.1 (Inequality of L -smooth functions). Recall that, given $L > 0$, a function $E: \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called L -smooth if

$$\forall (x, y) \in \Omega^2, \quad \|\nabla E(x) - \nabla E(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|,$$

i.e. if ∇E is L -Lipschitz.

Show that if E is L -smooth, then

$$\forall (x, y) \in \Omega^2, \quad E(y) \leq E(x) + \langle \nabla E(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2}\|y - x\|_2^2$$

Hint: Express $E(y)$ with the integral formula $E(y) = E(x) + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(x + t(y - x)) dt$.

Exercise I.2 (Inequality of c -strongly convex functions). Recall that, given $c > 0$, a function $E: \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called c -strongly convex if Ω is convex and

$$\forall (x, y) \in \Omega^2, \quad E(y) \geq E(x) + \langle \nabla E(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{c}{2}\|y - x\|_2^2$$

A strongly convex function has a unique minimizer $x_* = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \Omega} E(x)$.

Show that if E is c -strongly convex, it has a unique minimizer x_* so that, with $E_* := E(x_*)$,

$$\forall y \in \Omega, \quad 2c(E(y) - E_*) \leq \|\nabla E(y)\|_2^2$$

Hint: Study the function $q(y) = E(x) + \langle \nabla E(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{c}{2}\|y - x\|_2^2$.

I.2. Convergence of SGD

Notation We are concerned with learning a supervised task on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$. The parameter space is $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $h: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be the *prediction function*, and $\ell: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the *loss function*. Denote by $f: \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ the composition of ℓ and h .

With ξ a random variable selecting samples from $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, the **expected risk** R can be written as $R(w) = \mathbb{E}_\xi[f(w; \xi)]$. The **empirical risk** R_n can be obtained when ξ takes n realizations $\{\xi(i)\}_{i \in [n]}$ corresponding to n training samples $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in [n]}$. Denoting $f_i(w) := f(w, \xi(i))$, one has $R_n(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(w)$.

The objective function $F: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is either

$$F(w) = \begin{cases} R(w) \\ \text{or} \\ R_n(w) \end{cases}$$

We assume to be able to compute the realization of a random variable ξ_k . Given an iteration w_k and the realization of ξ_k , we assume to be able to compute a stochastic vector $g(w_k, \xi_k) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (the stochastic gradient).

Algorithm 1 Stochastic Gradient Descent algorithm [1, Algorithm 4.1].

- 1: Choose an initial iterate w_1
 - 2: **for** $k = 1, 2, \dots$ **do**
 - 3: Generate a realization of the random variable ξ_k
 - 4: Compute a stochastic vector $g(w_k, \xi_k)$
 - 5: Choose a step size $\alpha_k > 0$
 - 6: Set the new iterate as $w_{k+1} \leftarrow w_k - \alpha_k g(w_k, \xi_k)$.
-

Because of the stochastic nature of $g(w_k, \xi_k)$, we are not assured to decrease the objective function at every step. But, we can carry an expectation analysis and show that, in expectation (over ξ_k), we do make progress in the minimization problem.

We first require the objective F to be L -smooth.

Assumption 1 (F is L -smooth). There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that F is L -smooth.

Exercise I.3 (Descent update with L -smooth function). Under Assumption 1, show that the iterates of SGD (Algorithm 1) satisfy the following inequality for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[F(w_{k+1})] - F(w_k) \leq -\alpha_k \langle \nabla F(w_k), \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[g(w_k, \xi_k)] \rangle + \frac{\alpha_k^2 L}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[\|g(w_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2]$$

We need some more assumptions on the stochastic estimation $g(w_k, \xi_k)$ in order to control $\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[\|g(w_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2]$. More specifically, we require bounding the first and second moment of $g(w_k, \xi_k)$ like so:

Assumption 2. 1. There exist scalars $\mu_G \geq \mu > 0$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla F(w_k), \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[g(w_k, \xi_k)] \rangle &\geq \mu \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2^2 \\ \|\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[g(w_k, \xi_k)]\| &\leq \mu_G \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

2. The second moment of g is bounded: there exist $M, M_G \geq 0$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [\|g(w_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2] \leq M + M_G \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2^2$$

Exercise I.4. Show that, under Assumptions 1 and 2, the iterates of SGD in Algorithm 1 satisfy the following inequalities for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [F(w_{k+1})] - F(w_k) &\leq -\mu\alpha_k \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k^2 L \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k} [\|g(w_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2] \\ &\leq -(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k L M_G)\alpha_k \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k^2 L M \end{aligned}$$

A last assumption is helpful to make: strong convexity of the objective function F . This will allow to obtain a linear rate of convergence to a neighbourhood of a solution, if the step size is not too big.

Assumption 3 (F is c -strongly convex). There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that F is c -strongly convex.

Exercise I.5. Under Assumptions 1 to 3, suppose that Algorithm 1 is run with a fixed step size $\alpha_k = \bar{\alpha}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying

$$0 < \bar{\alpha} \leq \frac{\mu}{L M_G}.$$

Denote $F_* = \min_w F(w)$ (exists and is unique by Assumption 3). Show that the expected optimality gap satisfies the following inequality for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[F(w_k) - F_*] &\leq \frac{\bar{\alpha} L M}{2c\mu} + (1 - \bar{\alpha} c \mu)^{k-1} \left(F(w_1) - F_* - \frac{\bar{\alpha} L M}{2c\mu} \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\alpha} L M}{2c\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Léon Bottou, Frank E. Curtis and Jorge Nocedal. “Optimization Methods for Large-Scale Machine Learning”. 2016. DOI: 10.1137/16M1080173. arXiv: 1606.04838.