# **Stochastic Gradient Descent**

## Optimization for Machine Learning — Exercise #4

Monday 22<sup>nd</sup> May, 2023

## Part I: Theory

A nice reference on Stochastic Gradient Descent is [1]. We will see some highlights from its §4.

## I.1. Useful inequalities

**Exercise I.1** (Inequality of L-smooth functions). Recall that, given L > 0, a function  $E \colon \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is called L-smooth if

$$\forall (x,y) \in \Omega^2, \quad \|\nabla E(x) - \nabla E(y)\| \leqslant L\|x - y\|,\tag{1}$$

i.e., if  $\nabla E \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$  is L-Lipschitz.

Show that if E is L-smooth and  $\Omega$  is convex (i.e.  $\forall (x,y) \in \Omega^2, \ \forall t \in [0,1], \ x+t(y-x) \in \Omega$ ), then

$$\forall (x,y) \in \Omega^2, \quad E(y) \leqslant E(x) + \langle \nabla E(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||y - x||_2^2$$
 (2)

Hint: Express E(y) with the integral formula  $E(y) = E(x) + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(x + t(y - x)) dt$ .

### I.2. Convergence of SGD

This section follows the beginning of [1, Section 4]. The goal is to prove the convergence rate of stochastic gradient descent under the assumptions of L-smoothness and c-strong convexity for the functional, and with fixed step size. This is [1, Theorem 4.6]. First, we give the setup.

**Problem setup** Let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$ . We are concerned with learning a supervised task on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ . The parameter space is  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $h \colon \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{Y}$  be the *prediction function*, and  $\ell \colon \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$  the *loss function*. Denote by  $f \colon \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  the composition of  $\ell$  and h.

With  $\xi$  a random variable selecting samples from  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ , the **expected risk** R can be written as  $R(w) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[f(w;\xi)]$ . The **empirical risk**  $R_n$  can be obtained when  $\xi$  takes n realizations  $\{\xi(i)\}_{i\in[n]}$  corresponding to n training samples  $\{(x_i,y_i)\}_{i\in[n]}$ . Denoting  $f_i(w) := f(w,\xi(i))$ , one has  $R_n(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(w)$ .

The objective function  $F \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is either

$$F(w) = \begin{cases} R(w) \\ \text{or} \\ R_n(w) \end{cases}$$
 (1)

We assume to be able to compute the realization of a random variable  $\xi_k$ . Given an iteration  $w_k$  and the realization of  $\xi_k$ , we assume to be able to compute a stochastic vector  $g(w_k, \xi_k) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (the stochastic gradient).

#### Algorithm 1 Stochastic Gradient Descent algorithm [1, Algorithm 4.1].

- 1: Choose an initial iterate  $w_1$
- 2: **for**  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  **do**
- 3: Generate a realization of the random variable  $\xi_k$
- 4: Compute a stochastic vector  $g(w_k, \xi_k)$
- 5: Choose a step size  $\alpha_k > 0$
- 6: Set the new iterate as  $w_{k+1} \leftarrow w_k \alpha_k g(w_k, \xi_k)$ .
- 7: end for

Because of the stochastic nature of  $g(w_k, \xi_k)$ , we are not assured to decrease the objective function at every step. But, we can carry an expectation analysis and show that, in expectation (over  $\xi_k$ ), we do make progress in the minimization problem.

We first require the objective F to be L-smooth.

**Assumption 1** (F is L-smooth). There exists a constant L > 0 such that F is L-smooth.

**Exercise I.2** (Descent update with L-smooth function, [1, Lemma 4.2]). Under Assumption 1, show that the iterates of SGD (Algorithm 1) satisfy the following inequality for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[F(w_{k+1})] - F(w_k) \leqslant -\alpha_k \langle \nabla F(w_k), \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[g(w_k, \xi_k)] \rangle + \frac{\alpha_k^2 L}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[\|g(w_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2], \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[X]$  denotes the expectation of a random variable X with respect to  $\xi_k$  given  $w_k$ . In this formalism, we assume to have run SGD k times, and we would like to analyse our gain *in expectation* for the next step. Therefore,  $F(w_{k+1})$  depends on  $\xi_k$  (it is a random variable). Assume that all the  $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  are jointly independent.

We need some more assumptions on the stochastic estimation  $g(w_k, \xi_k)$  in order to control  $\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[\|g(w_k, \xi_k)\|^2]$ . More specifically, we require bounding the first and second moment of  $g(w_k, \xi_k)$  like so:

**Assumption 2.** 1. There exist scalars  $\mu_G \geqslant \mu > 0$  such that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\langle \nabla F(w_k), \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[g(w_k, \xi_k)] \rangle \geqslant \mu \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2^2 \tag{3}$$

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[g(w_k, \xi_k)]\| \leqslant \mu_G \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2 \tag{4}$$

2. The second moment of g is bounded: there exist  $M, M_G \ge 0$ , such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[\|g(w_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2] \leqslant M + M_G \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2^2 \tag{5}$$

**Exercise I.3** ([1, Lemma 4.4]). Show that, under Assumptions 1 and 2, the iterates of SGD in Algorithm 1 satisfy the following inequalities for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[F(w_{k+1})] - F(w_k) \leqslant -\mu \alpha_k \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 L \mathbb{E}_{\xi_k}[\|g(w_k, \xi_k)\|_2^2]$$
 (6a)

$$\leq -(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k L M_G)\alpha_k \|\nabla F(w_k)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k^2 L M$$
 (6b)

A last assumption is helpful to make: strong convexity of the objective function F. This will allow to obtain a linear rate of convergence to a neighbourhood of a solution, if the step size is not too big.

**Assumption 3** (F is c-strongly convex). There exists a constant c>0 such that F is c-strongly convex.

**Exercise I.4** ([1, Theorem 4.6]). Under Assumptions 1 to 3, suppose that Algorithm 1 is run with a fixed step size  $\alpha_k =: \bar{\alpha}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying

$$0 < \bar{\alpha} \leqslant \frac{\mu}{LM_G}.\tag{7}$$

Denote  $F_* := \min_w F(w)$  (exists and is unique by Assumption 3). Show that the expected optimality gap satisfies the following inequality for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[F(w_k) - F_*] \leqslant \frac{\bar{\alpha}LM}{2cu} + (1 - \bar{\alpha}c\mu)^{k-1} \left(F(w_1) - F_* - \frac{\bar{\alpha}LM}{2cu}\right) \tag{8}$$

$$\xrightarrow[k\to\infty]{} \frac{\bar{\alpha}LM}{2c\mu}.$$
 (9)

#### References

[1] Léon Bottou, Frank E. Curtis and Jorge Nocedal. "Optimization Methods for Large-Scale Machine Learning". 2016. DOI: 10.1137/16M1080173. arXiv: 1606.04838.