# **Lead Scoring Case Study**

Summary

#### **Team Members**

Karishma Singh, Ashish Pawar, Shrikant Angre

#### **Problem Statement**

X Education sells online courses to industry professionals. X Education gets a lot of leads; however, its lead conversion rate is very poor.

X Education has appointed us to build a model such that:

Lead Score	Higher lead score	Lower lead score
Conversion chance	Higher <b>1</b>	Lower

The CEO's expectation is  $\rightarrow$  lead conversion rate to be around 80%

# Step1: Reading and understanding the data:

1. Imported the csv file with the help of the library and got the number of rows and columns in the dataframe. (9204 rows and 37 columns)

## Step2: Data Cleaning:

- 1. We dropped features which had
  - a. more than 40% of missing values,
  - b. around 98% with single value,
  - c. 70+% 'Select' or 'Nan' values
- 2. **'Select'** and **'Nan**' were converted to **'Not Selected**' for few variables.
- 3. Dropped 'Prospect ID' and converted the 'Lead Number' as an index column.

#### Step3: EDA:

- 1. Done **univariate**, **bivariate** and **multivariate** analysis with the help of boxplot, countplot and heatmap against target variable '**Converted**'.
- 2. Correlation between numerical and target variables was done with the help of heatmap.

## Step4: Dummy Variables & Feature scaling:

- 1. Dummy variables were created for the categorical features
- 2. Scaled numeric values using MinMaxScaler
- 3. Checked correlation amongst variables using heatmap, as the number was high RFE was used later to select features

**Step5: Train-Test Split:** The next step was to divide the data set into test and train sections with a proportion of 70-30% values.

#### Step6: Model Building:

- 1. RFE method was used to select top 15 features. Later removed features using VIF value (>= 5) and p-value (>= 0.051).
- 2. After 3 model iterations we came to **12** most significant variables

## Step7: Model Evaluation & Prediction:

- 1. Confusion matrix was made. Optimum cut off value (**0.36**) was used to find accuracy, sensitivity and specificity i.e., **81%**, **79% and 82%** respectively.
- 2. Plotted the ROC curve for features, with an area coverage of 89%.
- 3. Then, check if **80%** cases are correctly predicted based on the converted column.
- 4. Then we implemented the learnings to the test model and calculated the conversion probability based on the Sensitivity and Specificity metrics and found out the accuracy value to be **80%**, Sensitivity= **80%**, Specificity= **81%**.

Step8: Precision & Recall: This method was also used to recheck and a cut off of 0.42 was found with Precision  $\rightarrow$  72% and recall  $\rightarrow$  79% on the train data set & Precision  $\rightarrow$  72% and recall  $\rightarrow$  80% on the test data set

#### Step9: Conclusion:

The top Five variables in our model which are contributing most towards the probability of lead getting converted are

- I. TotalVisits
- II. Total Time Spent on Website
- III. Lead Origin\_Lead Add Form
- IV. What is your current occupation\_Working Professional
- V. Lead Source\_Welingak Website

These are selected basis their coefficient's value in our Logistic Regression model, which are 9.12, 4.51, 3.66, 2.52 and 1.84 respectively