

EDAP05: Concepts of Programming Languages - Reference Sheet

Karl Hallsby

Last Edited: November 9, 2019

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Language vs. Language Implementation | 1 |
| 1.1 | Influences on Language Design | 1 |
| 1.1.1 | Computer Architecture | 1 |
| 1.1.2 | Programming Design Methodologies | 2 |
| 1.2 | Language Categories | 2 |
| 2 | Programming Language Implementations | 3 |
| 2.1 | Interpretation | 3 |
| 2.2 | Compilation | 4 |
| 2.3 | Hybrid Implementation | 4 |
| 2.3.1 | Dynamic Compilation | 5 |
| 3 | Language Critique | 5 |
| 3.1 | Readability | 6 |
| 3.1.1 | Simplicity | 6 |
| 3.1.2 | Orthogonality | 6 |
| 3.1.3 | Data Types | 7 |
| 3.1.4 | Syntax Design | 7 |
| 3.1.4.1 | Reserved/Special Words | 7 |
| 3.1.4.2 | Form and Meaning | 7 |
| 3.2 | Writability | 8 |
| 3.2.1 | Simplicity and Orthogonality | 8 |
| 3.2.2 | Support for Abstraction | 8 |
| 3.2.2.1 | Process Abstraction | 8 |
| 3.2.2.2 | Data Abstraction | 8 |
| 3.2.3 | Expressivity | 8 |
| 3.3 | Reliability | 8 |
| 3.3.1 | Type Checking | 8 |
| 3.3.2 | Exception Handling | 8 |
| 3.3.3 | Aliasing | 9 |
| 3.3.4 | Readability and Writability | 9 |
| 3.4 | Cost | 9 |
| A | Trigonometry | 10 |
| A.1 | Trigonometric Formulas | 10 |
| A.2 | Euler Equivalents of Trigonometric Functions | 10 |
| A.3 | Angle Sum and Difference Identities | 10 |
| A.4 | Double-Angle Formulae | 10 |
| A.5 | Half-Angle Formulae | 10 |
| A.6 | Exponent Reduction Formulae | 10 |
| A.7 | Product-to-Sum Identities | 10 |
| A.8 | Sum-to-Product Identities | 11 |
| A.9 | Pythagorean Theorem for Trig | 11 |
| A.10 | Rectangular to Polar | 11 |
| A.11 | Polar to Rectangular | 11 |

B Calculus **12**

 B.1 Fundamental Theorems of Calculus 12

 B.2 Rules of Calculus 12

 B.2.1 Chain Rule 12

C Complex Numbers **13**

 C.1 Complex Conjugates 13

 C.1.1 Complex Conjugates of Exponentials 13

 C.1.2 Complex Conjugates of Sinusoids 13

1 Language vs. Language Implementation

It is important that we make the distinction between a programming language and the programming language's implementation.

- A programming language and its implementation are completely separate things
 - Technically, they are related, in that a programming language implementation is one way to fulfill the specifications that the programming language introduces
 - You can implement a programming language in different ways. For example, C has these well-known implementations:
 - * gcc
 - * LLVM/clang
 - * MSVC

1.1 Influences on Language Design

The 2 other major influences on the design of programming languages have been:

1. Computer Architecture
2. Programming Design Methodologies

1.1.1 Computer Architecture

The prevalent computer architecture used is the von Neumann Architecture. This is in contrast to the Harvard Architecture, and its descendant Modified Harvard Architecture.

Defn 1 (von Neumann Architecture). In the *von Neumann architecture*, named after John von Neumann, instructions and data are stored in a shared memory location. The central processing unit, CPU, is separate from the memory, meaning it must fetch the instructions and data from memory before doing something. When the CPU computes something, it needs to store the result *back* in memory. The constant fetching of instructions/data and storage of results in memory means there is a bottleneck, the *von Neumann Bottleneck*.

Remark 1.1 (von Neumann Bottleneck). The shared bus between the program memory and data memory leads to the *von Neumann bottleneck*, the limited throughput (data transfer rate) between the CPU and memory compared to the amount of memory. Because the single bus can only access one of the two classes of memory at a time, throughput is lower than the rate at which the CPU can work. This seriously limits the effective processing speed when the CPU is required to perform minimal processing on large amounts of data. The CPU is continually forced to wait for needed data to move to or from memory.

Since CPU speed and memory size have increased much faster than the throughput between them, the bottleneck has become more of a problem.

The execution of a machine code program on a von Neumann Architecture computer occurs in a process called the *fetch-execute cycle*. To find where each instruction is in memory, the CPU needs to have a *program counter*.

Functional or applicative programming languages, where applying functions to parameters does not lend itself to the von Neumann Architecture.

Remark 1.2 (Cache in the von Neumann Architecture). In the original von Neumann Architecture, there was no such thing as *cache* on the CPU. In modern computers, cache is located on the CPU directly, and acts similarly to memory. However, it copies a block of memory into the cache and feeds the CPU from that, refreshing the cache less periodically, and allowing for faster instruction/data access rates. This is an example of the Harvard Architecture in the traditional von Neumann Architecture making a Modified Harvard Architecture.

Remark 1.3 (Alternative Names). The von Neumann Architecture can also be called:

- von Neumann Model
- Princeton Architecture
- Dataflow Model

Remark 1.4 (Alternative Architectures). The von Neumann Architecture is one way to implement a computational model. There are alternatives, namely the Harvard Architecture and its descendant Modified Harvard Architecture.

Defn 2 (Harvard Architecture). The *Harvard architecture* is a computer architecture with separate storage and signal pathways for instructions and data. It contrasts with the von Neumann Architecture, where program instructions and data share the same memory and pathways.

This partition of instructions and data means the CPU can simultaneously read an instruction and perform data memory access. Additionally, the address space for the instructions and data are separate, meaning instruction address zero is not the same as data address zero.

Defn 3 (Modified Harvard Architecture). Most modern computers act as *both* von Neumann Architecture machines and Harvard Architecture machines. These have been called *modified Harvard architectures*. The *modified Harvard architecture* is also a variation of the Harvard Architecture that allows the contents of the instruction memory to be accessed as data. The different types of modified Harvard architectures are discussed in Remark 3.2.

Remark 3.1 (Modern CPU Architecture). In modern CPUs, with both their system memory and on-chip cache, they act as both von Neumann Architecture machines and Harvard Architecture machines. The CPU acts as:

- A Harvard Architecture machine when the CPU is accessing its on-chip cache.
- A von Neumann Architecture machine when the CPU is accessing the system memory.

Remark 3.2 (Types of Modified Harvard Architectures). There are many different types of Modified Harvard Architectures. Some of the major ones are discussed here:

- Split-cache (or almost-von Neumann Architecture architecture)
 - The most common modification builds a memory hierarchy with a CPU cache separating instructions and data.
 - This unifies all except small portions of the data and instruction address spaces, providing the von Neumann model.
- Instruction-Memory-as-Data Architecture
 - Another change preserves the “separate address space” nature of a Harvard Architecture machine, but provides special machine operations to access the contents of the instruction memory as data.
 - Because data is not directly executable as instructions, there are 2 different operations possible:
 1. Read access: initial data values can be copied from the instruction memory into the data memory when the program starts. Or, if the data is not to be modified (it might be a constant value, such as pi, or a text string), it can be accessed by the running program directly from instruction memory without taking up space in data memory (which is often at a premium).
 2. Write access: a capability for reprogramming is generally required; few computers are purely ROM-based. For example, a microcontroller usually has operations to write to the flash memory used to hold its instructions. This capability may be used for purposes including software updates. EEPROM/PROM replacement is an alternative method.
- Data-Memory-as-Instruction Architecture
 - A few Harvard Architecture processors can execute instructions fetched from any memory segment
 - Unlike the original Harvard processor, which can only execute instructions fetched from the program memory segment.
 - Such processors, like other Harvard Architecture processors, and unlike pure von Neumann Architecture, can read an instruction and read a data value simultaneously, **if they’re in separate memory segments**, since the processor has (at least) two separate memory segments with independent data buses.
 - The most obvious programmer-visible difference between this kind of modified Harvard architecture and a pure von Neumann architecture is that – when executing an instruction from one memory segment – the same memory segment cannot be simultaneously accessed as data.

The von Neumann Architecture models variables incredibly well, as memory cells, assignment statements as the writing of data back to memory, and iteration. In fact, the von Neumann Architecture models iteration so well, that it encourages iteration over recursion (when possible), sometimes at the detriment of the overall program.

1.1.2 Programming Design Methodologies

Starting in the 1960s, bigger and more complicated programs were being written for more complicated things (controlling whole facilities, worldwide airline reservation systems, etc.). New software development methodologies appeared, and a shift from procedure-oriented to data-oriented design methodologies emerged.

Data-oriented models emphasize:

- Data design
- Abstract data types to solve problems

This data-oriented design led to the development of object-oriented design.

1.2 Language Categories

There are 3 main categories that languages fall into (that we are considering in this course):

1. Imperative Programming Language

2. Functional Programming Language
3. Logical Programming Language

If you want to view all possible language categories, visit Wikipedia's Programming Paradigms.

Defn 4 (Imperative Programming Language). *Imperative programming languages* have a programming paradigm that uses statements that change a program's state. An imperative program consists of commands for the computer to perform. Imperative programming focuses on describing how a program operates.

Defn 5 (Functional Programming Language). *Functional programming languages* treat computation as the evaluation of mathematical functions and avoids changing-state and mutable data. It is a declarative programming paradigm in that programming is done with expressions or declarations instead of statements. In functional code, the output value of a function depends only on its arguments, so calling a function with the same value for an argument always produces the same result.

This is in contrast to Imperative Programming Languages where, in addition to a function's arguments, global program state can affect a function's resulting value. Eliminating side effects, that is, changes in state that do not depend on the function inputs, can make understanding a program easier, which is one of the key motivations for the development of functional programming.

Defn 6 (Logical Programming Language). *Logic programming languages* are a type of programming language which is largely based on formal logic. Any program written in a logic programming language is a set of sentences in logical form, expressing facts and rules about some problem domain.

2 Programming Language Implementations

There are 3 main ways for a programming language to be implemented:

1. Interpretation
2. Compilation
3. Hybrid Implementation

There are benefits and drawbacks for each of these implementations:

| Property | Interpretation | Compilation | Hybrid Implementation |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Execution Performance | Slow | Fast | Fast |
| Turnaround | Fast | Slow (Compile and Link) | Fast (Compile when needed) |
| Language Flexibility | High | Limited | High |

Table 2.1: Pros and Cons for Programming Language Implementations

There is a trade-off to be made between:

- Language flexibility
- CPU time / RAM time

2.1 Interpretation

Defn 7 (Interpretation). If a programming language is implemented with *interpretation*, is *interpreted*, then there is an intermediate program that runs between the source code and what the CPU can run on. This *interpreter* reads the high-level source code, then alternates between:

- Figure out next command
 - This means that the current instruction is parsed in
 - Equivalent commands are generated in the CPU-specific or VM-specific instruction sets from the high-level source code
- Execute Command

Some examples of languages with a Interpretation implementation are:

- Python
- Perl
- Ruby
- Bash
- AWK
- ...

2.2 Compilation

Defn 8 (Compilation). If a programming language is implemented with *compilation*, is *compiled*, then there are several programs that must be run before the high-level source code can be run.

1. The Compiler
2. The Assembler
3. The Linker
4. The Loader

Defn 9 (Compiler). The *compiler* is the main program needed in a compiled language implementation. It is responsible for taking the high-level source code written in some language, and converting it to assembly code, which can then be run through an Assembler.

The steps involved in a compiler are:

1. Lexical Analysis/Tokenizing: Convert the input file into a set of tokens
2. Syntactic Analysis/Parsing: Convert the tokens into a tree representing all the tokens in the program
3. Semantic Analysis: Interpret the program and ensure that everything expressed in the program is correct.
 - This is where compile-time errors are **usually** caught. Though, this is just a generalization.
 - Type analysis is handled here for instance
4. Optimize the Code: The output assembly code could be optimized before actually making the output. Take care of that here.
5. Output Assembly: With the potentially optimized machine-equivalent code from our program, write out the equivalent assembly, and finish the compilation process.

Remark 9.1. The specifics of a Compiler's implementation are **not** discussed in this course, but it is useful to know the basics of the compilation process. For both the implementation details, please refer to EDAN65:Compilers-Reference Material.

Defn 10 (Assembler). The *assembler* is an intermediate program used after the Compiler has been run. The assembler takes the assembly code that the Compiler outputs and applies a one-to-one mapping. Since all assembly code is just an abstraction and humanization of machine code in a one-to-one mapping fashion, the assembler takes the assembly code and converts it to its equivalent machine code.

Remark 10.1. This particular program is not discussed heavily in this course.

Defn 11 (Linker). The *linker* is an intermediate program, that may be provided by the operating system or may be provided by that language implementation's tooling. It is run after the Compiler and/or the Assembler have been run.

- Provided by operating system
 - If the programming language implementation relies on the operating system and critical portions of the system.
- Provided by the language implementation's tooling
 - If the implementation provides certain libraries, it will likely have their own linker too.

Remark 11.1. This particular program is not discussed in this course.

Defn 12 (Loader). The *loader* is the program provided by the operating system that loads the specified program into main memory and begins execution.

Remark 12.1. This particular program is not discussed in this course.

Some examples of languages with a Compilation implementation are:

- C
- C++
- SML
- Haskell
- FORTRAN
- ...

2.3 Hybrid Implementation

Defn 13 (Hybrid Implementation). A programming language can be implemented with a *hybrid implementation*. This means that it takes some aspects of a language implemented by Interpretation and some aspects of the language implemented with Compilation.

For example, Java does this with their Just-In-Time (JIT) compilation scheme.

One way to do this is with Dynamic Compilation.

2.3.1 Dynamic Compilation

- Idea: behind dynamic compilation is that code is compiled *while executing*.
- Theory: The best of Interpretation and Compilation worlds.
- Practice:
 - Difficult to build
 - Memory usage can increase (sometimes dramatically)
 - Performance can be higher than pre-compiled code, because only the code needed is compiled.

Some examples of these are:

- Java
- Scala
- C#
- JavaScript
- ...

3 Language Critique

There are several very open-ended questions that need to be asked when categorizing and critiquing languages:

1. What programming language is best for *what task*?
2. *What criteria* do we measure?
 - Most criteria do not have good measurement tools.
3. *How* do we obtain measurements for these criteria?

These are all qualities of:

- The language
- The language implementation(s)
- The available tooling for the language and that particular implementation
- The available libraries for the language and that particular implementation
- Other infrastructure
 - User groups
 - Books
 - etc.

| Characteristic | Criteria | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Readability | Writability | Reliability |
| Simplicity | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Orthogonality | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Data Types | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Syntax Design | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Support for Abstraction | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Expressivity | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Type Checking | | | ✓ |
| Exception Handling | | | ✓ |
| Restricted Aliasing | | | ✓ |

Table 3.1: Language Evaluation Criterian and the Characteristics that Affect Them

Some additional criteria that could be used to evaluate programming languages are:

- Portability: Ease with which programs can be moved from one implementation to another
- Generality: The applicability to a wide range of applications
- Well-Definedness: The completeness and precision of the language's official defining document

Some of criteria are given different weightings/importance by different people, thus making each slightly subjective. Additionally, many of these criteria are not precisely defined, nor are they exactly measurable.

3.1 Readability

Defn 14 (Readability). *Readability* is how easily a program can be read and understood *by a human*. Some languages do not support certain functions, but programmers try to make the language do what it is not designed to do. This will lead to complicated and difficult-to-read programs.

The idea of program readability was first presented as the software life-cycle concept (Booch 1987). The initial coding was downplayed compared to earlier, and the maintenance and improvement of the code was brought to the forefront.

3.1.1 Simplicity

There are 2 main factors and 1 minor factor for a language's simplicity:

1. The number of features present in the language.
2. The Feature Multiplicity of a language.
3. The ability to Overload Operators.

Assembly language is on the most-simple end of the simplicity spectrum. In assembly, the form and meaning of most statements are incredibly simple, but without more complex control statements, the program's structure is less obvious.

Defn 15 (Feature Multiplicity). *Feature multiplicity* is when there is more than one way to accomplish a particular operation with language built-in features. For example, in Java these are all equivalent when evaluated as standalone expressions:

1. `count = count + 1`
2. `count += 1`
3. `count++`
4. `++count`

```
1 def d(x):  
2     r = x[::-1]  
3     return x == r
```

Defn 16 (Overload Operator). An *overloaded operator* is one where a single symbol has more than one meaning. For example the + operator can be overloaded to add 2 integers, 2 floating-point numbers, or an integer and a floating-point number. This overloading helps *improve* the Simplicity of a language.

```
1 x = 3 + 4    # Evaluates to 7  
2 y = 3.0 + 4.0 # Evaluates to 7.0  
3 z = 3 + 4.0  # Evaluates to 7.0
```

3.1.2 Orthogonality

Defn 17 (Orthogonality). *Orthogonality* in a programming language means that a relatively small set of primitive constructs can be combined in a relatively small number of ways to build the control and data structures of a language. Additionally, every possible combination of primitives is legal and meaningful.

The more orthogonal a language, the fewer exceptions to the language rules there can be. These fewer exceptions means there is a higher degree of regularity in the language design, making it easier to learn, read, and understand.

Remark 17.1 (Over-Orthogonality). Too much orthogonality can cause problems. Having too much combinational freedom with primitive constructs and their combinations can make for an extremely complex compound construct. This leads to unnecessary complexity.

```
1 // global variable section  
2  
3 float f1 = 2.0f * 2.0f;  
4 float f2 = sqrt(2.0f); // error
```

3.1.3 Data Types

The use of data types conveys intent when reading and writing the program. For example, a boolean data type conveys a true/false value better than an integer that is 1/0 for true/false respectively.

- `timeOut = 1`
- `timeOut = true`

```
1 enum Color {
2     Red, Green, Blue
3 };
4
5 Color c = readColorFromUser();
```

3.1.4 Syntax Design

There are 2 main syntactic design choices that affect Readability:

1. Reserved/Special Words
2. Form and Meaning

3.1.4.1 Reserved/Special Words

Defn 18 (Reserved Word). *Reserved words* are words that are reserved by the language constructors because those particular words have a meaning in the language. For example:

- `while`
- `class`
- `for`

There are also special words and matching characters that can denote groups of instructions.

- C and its descendants
 - Matching braces
 - `{` and `}`
- Ada/Fortran 95 and their descendants:
 - Distinct closing syntax for each statement group
 - `end if` to end an if statement

Also, can these Reserved Words be used as names for program variables? If so, this will increase overall complexity of a program. The code block below, from Fortran 95, illustrates this point.

```
1 program hello
2     implicit none
3     integer end, do
4     do = 0
5     end = 10
6     do do=do, end
7         print *,do
8     end do
9 end program hello
```

3.1.4.2 Form and Meaning Statements should be designed such that their appearance partially indicates what their purpose is. For example, the UNIX command `grep` gives no hint at what it is supposed to do, unless you know the text editor `ed`.

Semantics, or meaning, should follow directly from syntax or form. In some cases, this principle is violated by 2 language constructs that are identical or similar in appearance, but different in meaning, depending on the context. For example, C's `static` Reserved Words.

3.2 Writability

Writability is a measure of how easy it is to write a program in a language for a given problem domain. This is closely related to the language characteristics presented in Section 3.1, Readability. The definition of the problem domain is incredibly important, because C would not be used to make a GUI, and Visual BASIC would not be used to make an operating system.

3.2.1 Simplicity and Orthogonality

Programmers might not know all the features for a language. Or, they might know about them, but use them incorrectly. This means there should be a smaller number of primitive constructs and a consistent set of rules for combining them. This reduces the number of primitive constructs in a language, and allows a programmer to design a complex solution by only using a simple set of primitive constructs. By reducing the orthogonality of a program, the total possible combinations of constructs is reduced, simplifying the process of reading and writing the program.

3.2.2 Support for Abstraction

Defn 19 (Abstraction). *Abstraction* is the ability to define and then use complicated structures or operations in ways that allow many of the details to be ignored. This is a key concept in modern programming language design.

There are 2 categories of abstraction:

1. Process Abstraction
2. Data Abstraction

3.2.2.1 Process Abstraction Process abstraction is the use of a subprogram to implement some block of code used multiple times. For example, a sorting algorithm. If the code for the algorithm could not be factored out into a separate piece of code, the algorithm would need to be copied everywhere it was used. This would lead to additional complexity and reduce the Readability of the code.

3.2.2.2 Data Abstraction For example, representing a binary tree in C++/Java is done by making a tree node class that has 2 pointers and an integer. This abstraction is more natural to think about than what would need to be done in Fortran 77. In Fortran 77, there would need to be 3 parallel integer arrays, where 2 of the integers in each array would be used as subscripts to find their children.

3.2.3 Expressivity

Expressivity has several characteristics.

1. Verbosity of the language
 - The amount of code needed to describe some computation to the computer.
2. Powerful/Convenient way to specify computations.
 - `count++` vs. `count = count + 1` to increment a value in Java

3.3 Reliability

Reliability is a measure of the program performing to its specifications reliably under all conditions.

3.3.1 Type Checking

Defn 20 (Type Checking). *Type checking* is a process for testing for type errors in a given program, by the compiler or the interpreter, depending on its implementation (Interpretation vs. Compilation). Runtime type checking is expensive, so compile-time checking is preferred.

The earlier that type checking can occur reduces the potential errors, and corrective actions can be taken.

3.3.2 Exception Handling

The programming language should have the ability to intercept runtime errors, along with other unusual conditions, take corrective actions, the continue normally is traditionally called *exception handling*.

3.3.3 Aliasing

Defn 21 (Aliasing). *Aliasing* is having 2 or more distinct names that can be used to access the same memory location. Most languages allow for 2 pointers to point to the same thing in memory, but others prevent this completely.

Sometimes, Aliasing is used to overcome deficiencies in the language's Support for Abstraction. Others greatly restrict possible Aliasing to increase their Reliability.

3.3.4 Readability and Writability

The Readability and Writability greatly influence a program's Reliability. A program written in a language that exceeds the languages original problem domain will use unnatural approaches to solve the problem. These unnatural approaches are less likely to be correct for all possible situations. Thus, the easier a program is to write, the more likely it is to be correct for all possible situations.

Programs that are difficult to read will affect the writing and maintenance phases of the software's life cycle.

3.4 Cost

There are several parts that increase the cost of a programming language.

1. Training programmers in a new language
 - Function of Simplicity and Orthogonality
 - Function of programmer experience
2. Writing software
 - Function of Writability of the language
3. Compilation time
 - Time to compile a program
 - Resources required to compile a program in a language
4. Run time
 - Performance during runtime
 - Dependent on the effort made to optimize the input source code
5. Financial cost of special software
 - The cost of using the Compiler for a language for instance.
 - Languages with free Compilers or interpreters tend to be accepted more quickly than languages with a financial cost
6. Cost of limited reliability
 - Maintenance time
 - Corrections made to errors in the program
 - Modifications to add new functionality
 - Insurance cost, in special cases
 - Airplanes
 - Nuclear power plants
 - X-Ray machines

A Trigonometry

A.1 Trigonometric Formulas

$$\sin(\alpha) + \sin(\beta) = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}\right) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

A.2 Euler Equivalents of Trigonometric Functions

$$e^{\pm j\alpha} = \cos(\alpha) \pm j \sin(\alpha) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\cos(x) = \frac{e^{jx} + e^{-jx}}{2} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\sin(x) = \frac{e^{jx} - e^{-jx}}{2j} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\sinh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\cosh(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

A.3 Angle Sum and Difference Identities

$$\sin(\alpha \pm \beta) = \sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta) \pm \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\cos(\alpha \pm \beta) = \cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) \mp \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

A.4 Double-Angle Formulae

$$\sin(2\alpha) = 2 \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\cos(2\alpha) = \cos^2(\alpha) - \sin^2(\alpha) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

A.5 Half-Angle Formulae

$$\sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos(\alpha)}{2}} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\cos\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \cos(\alpha)}{2}} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

A.6 Exponent Reduction Formulae

$$\sin^2(\alpha) = \frac{1 - \cos(2\alpha)}{2} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$\cos^2(\alpha) = \frac{1 + \cos(2\alpha)}{2} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

A.7 Product-to-Sum Identities

$$2 \cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) = \cos(\alpha - \beta) + \cos(\alpha + \beta) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$2 \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta) = \cos(\alpha - \beta) - \cos(\alpha + \beta) \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$2 \sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta) = \sin(\alpha + \beta) + \sin(\alpha - \beta) \quad (\text{A.18})$$

$$2 \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta) = \sin(\alpha + \beta) - \sin(\alpha - \beta) \quad (\text{A.19})$$

A.8 Sum-to-Product Identities

$$\sin(\alpha) \pm \sin(\beta) = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\alpha \pm \beta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\alpha \mp \beta}{2}\right) \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$\cos(\alpha) + \cos(\beta) = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}\right) \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$\cos(\alpha) - \cos(\beta) = -2 \sin\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}\right) \quad (\text{A.22})$$

A.9 Pythagorean Theorem for Trig

$$\cos^2(\alpha) + \sin^2(\alpha) = 1^2 \quad (\text{A.23})$$

A.10 Rectangular to Polar

$$a + jb = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} e^{j\theta} = r e^{j\theta} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \arctan\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) & a > 0 \\ \pi - \arctan\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) & a < 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

A.11 Polar to Rectangular

$$r e^{j\theta} = r \cos(\theta) + j r \sin(\theta) \quad (\text{A.26})$$

B Calculus

B.1 Fundamental Theorems of Calculus

Defn B.1.1 (First Fundamental Theorem of Calculus). The *first fundamental theorem of calculus* states that, if f is continuous on the closed interval $[a, b]$ and F is the indefinite integral of f on $[a, b]$, then

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Defn B.1.2 (Second Fundamental Theorem of Calculus). The *second fundamental theorem of calculus* holds for f a continuous function on an open interval I and a any point in I , and states that if F is defined by

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \int_a^x f(t) dt &= f(x) \\ F'(x) &= f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Defn B.1.3 (argmax). The arguments to the *argmax* function are to be maximized by using their derivatives. You must take the derivative of the function, find critical points, then determine if that critical point is a global maxima. This is denoted as

$$\operatorname{argmax}_x$$

B.2 Rules of Calculus

B.2.1 Chain Rule

Defn B.2.1 (Chain Rule). The *chain rule* is a way to differentiate a function that has 2 functions multiplied together.

If

$$f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= g'(x) \cdot h(x) + g(x) \cdot h'(x) \\ \frac{df(x)}{dx} &= \frac{dg(x)}{dx} \cdot h(x) + g(x) \cdot \frac{dh(x)}{dx} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

C Complex Numbers

Complex numbers are numbers that have both a real part and an imaginary part.

$$z = a \pm bi \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where

$$i = \sqrt{-1} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Remark (i vs. j for Imaginary Numbers). Complex numbers are generally denoted with either i or j . Since this is an appendix section, I will denote complex numbers with i , to make it more general. However, electrical engineering regularly makes use of j as the imaginary value. This is because alternating current i is already taken, so j is used as the imaginary value instead.

$$Ae^{-ix} = A [\cos(x) + i \sin(x)] \quad (\text{C.3})$$

C.1 Complex Conjugates

If we have a complex number as shown below,

$$z = a \pm bi$$

then, the conjugate is denoted and calculated as shown below.

$$\bar{z} = a \mp bi \quad (\text{C.4})$$

Defn C.1.1 (Complex Conjugate). The conjugate of a complex number is called its *complex conjugate*. The complex conjugate of a complex number is the number with an equal real part and an imaginary part equal in magnitude but opposite in sign.

The complex conjugate can also be denoted with an asterisk (*). This is generally done for complex functions, rather than single variables.

$$z^* = \bar{z} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

C.1.1 Complex Conjugates of Exponentials

$$\overline{e^z} = e^{\bar{z}} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$\overline{\log(z)} = \log(\bar{z}) \quad (\text{C.7})$$

C.1.2 Complex Conjugates of Sinusoids

Since sinusoids can be represented by complex exponentials, as shown in Appendix A.2, we could calculate their complex conjugate.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\cos(x)} &= \cos(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{ix} + e^{-ix}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\sin(x)} &= \sin(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} (e^{ix} - e^{-ix}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

References

- [Boo87] Grady Booch. *Software Engineering with Ada*. 2nd ed. Redwood City, CA: Benjamin/Cummings, 1987.
- [Seb12] Robert W. Sebesta. *Concepts of Programming Languages*. 10th ed. Pearson Education Inc., 2012.