

Dynamic Solow Model

1 Model Equations

1.1 Production

There are a large number of firms producing a single good in a perfectly competitive market. This implies that the price of the good can be used as a numeraire, and treated as 1 going forward. We can reduce the analysis to that of a representative firm producing output based on the Cobb-Douglas production function

$$Y_t = A_t K_t^\rho \quad \text{with} \quad A_t = A_0 e^{\varepsilon t} \quad (1)$$

where Y_t is the production per capita, K_t is the capital per capita, $\rho \in (0, 1)$ is the capital share of production, and A_t is the level of technology (total factor productivity). Importantly, it is assumed that the population N_t grows at an exogenously determined rate n , i.e. $N_t = N_0 e^{nt}$.

Equation (1) assumes an instantaneous adjustment of output to changes in capital. This is only valid on timescales longer than the construction of new production facilities. Denote this characteristic timescale by τ_Y ($1 \ll \tau_Y \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$), such that the dynamic extension of the production function is

$$\tau_Y \dot{Y} = -Y + AK^\rho \quad (2)$$

which implies that $\tau_Y \dot{Y}$ becomes negligibly small at timescales $t \gg \tau_Y$.

1.2 Households

The households are the owners of the firm. In each period, the households receive income

$$\Omega_t = Y_t + \psi_{t-1} \quad (3)$$

where ψ_{t-1} represents a per capita dividend (introduced in Section 1.3). The households will save a fixed proportion κ of their income, and consume the remainder. In the future, an interesting extension to the model would be for the household to make decisions about the amount of savings (optimising behaviour) such that the capital supply also becomes dynamic.

1.3 Capital Markets

The capital supply in each period is the amount of capital available to firm management. Each period, households save the constant proportion κ of their income and invest this. The available capital is then determined by the *law of motion* for capital in the Solow model,

$$K_{s,t+\Delta_t} = (1 - \Delta_t \delta - \Delta_t n) K_t + \kappa \Omega_t \Delta_t, \quad (4)$$

where δ is a fixed rate of depreciation for the existing capital, and I_t is the investment per capita in period t . This can also be expressed dynamically by taking $\Delta_t \rightarrow 0$, yielding

$$\dot{K}_s = \kappa \Omega_t - (\delta + n) K \quad (5)$$

Economically, without new investment the per capita capital is eroded in two manners. Firstly, depreciation directly reduces the capital stock. Secondly, population growth dilutes capital, reducing the amount available per person. Hence, per capita capital only grows when investment is in excess of depreciation and dilution.

The innovation of this work is to let the capital demand (the fraction of capital used in production), K_d , evolve dynamically by means of a generalised Ising model (see Section 1.4). Treating $K_{d,t}$ as given,

$$K_t = \min\{K_{s,t}, K_{d,t}\} = \begin{cases} K_{s,t} & \text{if } K_{d,t} \geq K_{s,t} \\ K_{d,t} & \text{if } K_{d,t} < K_{s,t} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Consequently, dividends are defined as

$$\psi_t = \max\{K_{s,t} - K_{d,t}, 0\}, \quad (7)$$

in order to avoid the vanishing of excess capital. Dividends are earned by the households in the following period. Conceptually, this is alike to the scenario where firms have excess cash reserves that are not invested but rather paid out to shareholders (in this case households) through dividends or stock buybacks.

The standard Solow growth model can easily be recovered by enforcing $K_{s,t} < K_{d,t} \forall t$. In this case $K_t = K_{s,t}$, which then brings about the standard inference on capital and output growth.

1.4 Dynamic Capital Demand

Capital demand follows the generalised Ising process derived in Gusev Et Al. (2015). Investment (capital demand) depends on expectations, which in turn depend on interactions amongst firm management (e.g. management observing other firms in the industry making large investments or divesting) and news about the economy delivered by analysts. When we are in the case where $K_t = K_{d,t}$, the dynamical system driving K is represented by the system of equations

$$\dot{k} = c_1 \dot{s} + c_2 s + c_3 \quad (8a)$$

$$\tau_s \dot{s} = -s + \tanh(\beta_1 s + \beta_2 h) \quad (8b)$$

$$\tau_h \dot{h} = -h + \tanh(\gamma \dot{y} + \xi_t) \quad (8c)$$

where $k = \ln K$, $y = \ln Y$, s is the average firm sentiment level, h is average analysts expectation (information), ξ_t is an exogenous news noise. Parameters c_1 , c_2 , c_3 , β_1 , β_2 , and γ are non-negative constants. The characteristic timescales differ in at least one order of magnitude, $\tau_h \ll \tau_s \ll \tau_y \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$.

To arrive at \dot{y} , the dynamic production equation (Eq. 2) is re-written in log variables as

$$\tau_y \dot{y} = A_0 e^{\varepsilon t + \rho k - y} - 1 \quad (9)$$

because $\ln A = \ln A_0 \varepsilon t$.

In order to make the system bounded and self-contained the variable z is defined as

$$z = \varepsilon t + \rho k - y, \quad (10)$$

which allows us to define the self-contained dynamical system in the (s, h, z) -space

$$\dot{z} = \rho(c_1 \dot{s} + c_2 s + c_3) - \omega_Y (A_0 e^z - 1) + \varepsilon \quad (11a)$$

$$\tau_s \dot{s} = -s + \tanh(\beta_1 s + \beta_2 h) \quad (11b)$$

$$\tau_h \dot{h} = -h + \tanh(\gamma \omega_Y (A_0 e^z - 1) + \xi_t) \quad (11c)$$

with $\omega_Y = \frac{1}{\tau_Y}$.

The dynamic system in Eqs. (11) has three equilibria. Two equilibrium points are stable foci, with one in a low capital demand ($s < 0$) region, and one in a high capital demand ($s > 0$) region. These should correspond to a receding and expanding economy respectively. The third equilibrium is an unstable saddle between the two equilibria. The non-zero ξ_t does not allow the economy to settle near either of the stable equilibria, making it evolve dynamically.

2 Endogenous variables

2.1 Factor prices

Firms are profit maximising and operate in a perfectly competitive market for one good. The firm's profit per capita can be stated as

$$\pi_t = Y_t - w_t - r_t K_t \quad (12)$$

Since firms are profit maximising, the interest rate can be determined from the first order condition for K_t , $\frac{\partial \pi_t}{\partial K_t} = 0$, as

$$r_t = \rho A_t K_t^{\rho-1} \quad (13)$$

Given r_t , the wage w_t is determined using the zero profit condition of the perfectly competitive market and Equation (12) as

$$w_t = (1 - \rho) A_t K_t^\rho \quad (14)$$

2.2 Equilibria

The dynamic system that determines the change in capital demand has three equilibria when $\xi_t = 0 \forall t$, two of which are stable. These are denoted by s^+ in the positive sentiment well, and s^- in the negative sentiment well. Based on the definition of these equilibria, the capital demand of equation (11a) is either $\dot{k}^+ = c_2 s^+ + c_3$ or $\dot{k}^- = c_2 s^- + c_3$.

Need to determine the speed of \dot{K}_s to determine speed of convergence - requires \dot{y} and $\dot{\psi}$

2.2.1 Growth Equilibrium s^+

In the case of s^+ , $\dot{k}^+ > 0$ as $c_2 > 0$ and $c_3 \geq 0$. This implies that capital demand is increasing perpetually, which leads to the situation where $K_s < K_d$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, we converge to the balanced growth path that is the solution to the classical Solow model where all of the available capital is invested into production.

In this case, the capital per capita will converge to the steady state level K^* such that $\dot{K}_s = 0$, which implies

$$K^* = \left(\frac{\kappa A_t}{\delta + n} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}, \quad (15)$$

as $\psi_t = 0$ due to $K_s < K_d$, such that income reduces to $\Omega_t = Y_t = A_t K_t^\rho$.

2.2.2 Recession Equilibrium s^-

In the negative well s^- , capital changes at a rate of $\dot{k}^- = c_2 s^- + c_3$. This leaves several sub-scenarios around the critical value $-\frac{c_3}{c_2}$:

1. $s^- < -\frac{c_3}{c_2}$ implies $\dot{k}^- < 0$, such that eventually the amount of capital supply will decrease to zero, such that $Y \rightarrow 0$

2. $s^- > -\frac{c_3}{c_2}$ implies $\dot{k}^- > 0$, in which case eventually we arrive in the same scenario as for the positive well s^+
3. $s^- = -\frac{c_3}{c_2}$ implies $\dot{k}^- = 0$

3 Summary of General Assumptions

1. Households
 - (a) A large number of identical households, admitting a *representative household*
 - (b) Saving, κ , is a constant fraction of income
2. Production
 - (a) All firms have access to the same production function, admitting a *representative firm* with an aggregate production function
 - (b) Technology is free and available (non-excludable, non-rivalrous)
 - (c) Continuity, Differentiability, Positive and Diminishing Marginal Products, and Constant Returns to Scale
 - (d) the market for the aggregate good is perfectly competitive

4 Analysis and open Decisions

- Interesting characteristics of recessions: (1) duration, (2) depth of recession (maximum draw-down), (3) speed of recession (time to maximum drawdown), (4) recovery duration (time from maximum drawdown to prior output)
- Relate these variables to the variance in the exogenous news noise
- Describe the phase boundaries of the system
- Determine economically reasonable values for each of the variables e.g. pick the U.S. and do some rough research
- Robustness: how does the choice in distribution of ξ_t affect the results?

5 Future Work

5.1 Current model

1. Make technology growth A_t stochastic as well (e.g. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck)
2. Consider policy interventions such as tax/subsidy that would change the savings rate κ during recessions

5.2 Bigger Extensions

1. Allow households to dynamically choose how much they save in each period, such as through optimising a utility function. This generates dynamic capital supply.
2. Incorporate a stock market / financial system that generates a friction in the credit market, which is another way of making capital supply dynamic. (Gersbach, Rochet & Sheffel introduced banks into a Solow model). Need to think about introducing a new agent (the banker) or whether to remain in the current setup (households own the firms/stocks so their income depends on it).
3. Allow for a labour market (unemployment) to investigate unemployment effects of recessions and policy options in this regard