## Self-reflexive Capital Supply in the Dynamic Solow Model

## 1 The Dynamic Solow Model

1. **Production** (competitive markets, one good, and full technology access  $\rightarrow$  Rep. firm)

$$Y_t = A_t K^{\rho} \tag{1}$$

$$\tau_Y \dot{Y} = -Y + AK^{\rho} \tag{2}$$

with stochastic technology  $A_t$  and population  $N_t = N_0 e^{nt}$ .

- 2. **Households** representative household investing a fraction  $\kappa$  of income  $\Omega_t = Y_t + \max(K_{s,t-\Delta t} K_{d,t-\Delta t}, 0)$  (excess capital returned to households), thus investment is  $I_t = \kappa \Omega_t$ .
- 3. Capital Supply ( $\delta$  = depreciation)

$$K_{s,t+1} = (1 - \delta - n)K_t + I_t \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{K}_s = \kappa \Omega - (\delta + n)K \tag{4}$$

4. Capital Demand via the generalised Ising model (lowercase are log variables)

$$\dot{k}_d = c_1 \dot{s} + c_2 s + c_3 \tag{5a}$$

$$\tau_s \dot{s} = -s + \tanh(\beta_1 s + \beta_2 h) \tag{5b}$$

$$\tau_h \dot{h} = -h + \tanh(\gamma \dot{y} + \xi_t) \tag{5c}$$

where s is sentiment, h is information, and  $\xi_t$  is exogenous news.

5. Capital Markets clearing via  $K_t = \min\{K_s, K_d\}$ 

## 2 Dynamic Capital Supply

It is natural to expect that households vary their investment depending on the interactions they have. We can allow households to adjust their consumption needs as a fraction of income,  $1 - \kappa$ , on the basis of prior incomes.

Each household  $i \in \{1, ..., M\}$  makes their savings rate decision as

$$\kappa_t^i \to F\left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^M J_{ij}\Omega_j\right)$$
(6)

where  $F(\cdot)$  is monotonic and increasing. Setting  $J_i j = \frac{J}{M}$  and taking the  $M \to \infty$  limit, we get a mean-field approximation.

We can model this directly with a shifted logistic function as

$$G(\Omega) = \kappa_{min} + \frac{\kappa_{max} - \kappa_{min}}{1 + e^{2\theta(\Omega^* - \Omega)}}$$
 (7)

where  $\Omega$  is chosen as an input on the basis that it is the easiest for households to observe directly<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the Solow system, consumption, investment and income are directly proportional, so the choice is primarily economic interpretation

## 2.1 Candidates for $\kappa_{max}$ & $\kappa_{min}$

 $\kappa_{max}$  In the case  $K_d > K_s$ , we are in the standard Solow case where  $\dot{K} = \kappa Y - (\delta + n)K$  (supply-driven capital growth), which reaches a steady state at

$$K^{\star} = \frac{\kappa Y}{\delta + n} = \left(\frac{\kappa A_t}{\delta + n}\right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho}} \tag{8}$$

$$Y^* = A_t(K^*)^{\rho} \tag{9}$$

which allows us to derive the consumption maximising level of  $\kappa$  as

$$C^* = (1 - \kappa)Y^* = Y^* - (\delta + n)K^*$$
(10)

$$\frac{\partial C^{\star}}{\partial \kappa} = \left(Y^{\star\prime} - (\delta + n)\right) \frac{\partial K^{\star}}{\partial \kappa} \tag{11}$$

$$0 = Y^{*'} - (\delta + n) = \rho A (K^*)^{\rho - 1} - (\delta + n)$$
(12)

$$\kappa^* = \rho \tag{13}$$

This is a natural target for  $\kappa$  in the case where the economy is perceived to be doing well. This result is specific to the Cobb-Douglas function, but the approach could be used more generally.

 $\kappa_{min}$  Should be a small non-zero value corresponding almost to non-saving. In this case, it is easy for any news to push  $K_d > K_s$ , where in the balanced growth environment the level of output and capital will increase to *catch up* with the steady state level.