

# observations in q8\_b

## Observations on AM Demodulation for Different Modulation Indices ( $\mu$ )

After implementing an **envelope detector** to demodulate the AM wave for modulation indices  $\mu = 0.5, 1$ , and  $1.2$ , the following effects were observed:

### 1. For Modulation Index ( $\mu = 0.5$ ) [Under-Modulation]

- The amplitude of the modulated wave is too low compared to the carrier.
- The envelope detector does recover the message signal, but with reduced amplitude.
- Some distortion occurs because the carrier component is still dominant.
- The signal is weaker, and some parts of the waveform are clipped.

### 2. For Modulation Index ( $\mu = 1$ ) [Critical Modulation]

- This is the ideal case where the carrier signal just reaches zero at its lowest points.
- The demodulated signal matches the original message signal quite well.
- Minimal distortion is observed, making this the best case for recovery.
- The amplitude and shape of the recovered signal are very close to the original message.

### 3. For Modulation Index ( $\mu = 1.2$ ) [Over-Modulation]

- The carrier signal goes negative at some points, leading to envelope distortion.
- The recovered message signal contains non-linearity and clipping effects.
- Some peaks are missing or distorted because of envelope folding.
- The shape of the recovered message deviates significantly from the original.

- **Best performance occurs at  $\mu = 1$  (critical modulation).**
- **Under-modulation ( $\mu < 1$ ) leads to weak recovery.**
- **Over-modulation ( $\mu > 1$ ) introduces severe distortion and envelope crossing, making it difficult to recover the original signal properly.**

Thus, for efficient amplitude modulation and demodulation, a modulation index **close to 1 is preferred** to achieve **optimal signal recovery** with minimal distortion.