## Assignment:4

732A97 Multivariate Statistical Methods, Linkoping University

## Group 18

Dimitra Muni - dimmu472 Karthikeyan Devarajan - karde799 Gowtham KM - gowku593 Biswas Kumar - bisku859

## Canonical Correlation Analysis

```
## The Self correlation for U coefficients:
               [,1]
                           [,2]
## [1,] 0.4528636 -1.7003784
## [2,] -0.8001929 0.3663024
## The Self correlation for V coefficients:
               [,1]
##
                          [,2]
## [1,] -0.3422720 4.116022
## [2,] -0.1350379 -1.891683
## The Normalised Coefficients of U and V are:
##
               [,1]
                            [,2]
                                       [,3]
## [1,] 1.0609027 -3.18636672 2.4208189
## [2,] -0.8937762 0.11592279 0.9252005
## [3,] 0.6448512 0.05101873 0.1817802
##
               [,1]
                          [,2]
## [1,] -1.2298402 4.366035
## [2,] 0.2602773 -1.944594
The U and V equations are
U_1 = 1.061 * Z_1 - 0.894 * Z_2 + 0.644 * Z_3
U_2 = -3.186 * Z_1 + 0.1160 * Z_2 + 0.051 * Z_3
U_3 = 2.421 * Z_1 - 0.925 * Z_2 + 0.181 * Z_3
V_1 = -1.230 * Z_1 + 4.366 * Z_2
V_2 = 0.260 * Z_1 - 1.944 * Z_2
These equation helps to define the correlation between U_i and V_i.
## The loglihood constant value for all values: 67.29031
## The chisquare test value for dof of 6 is: 12.59159
```

```
H_0=\Sigma_{12}=0 H_{\alpha}=\Sigma_{12} notequal0 The chi-square value at 5% is less than loglihood function so the null H_0 is rejected. ## The loglihood constant value for first conical value is: 62.72927 ## The chisquare test value for dof of 2 is: 5.991465 ## The loglihood constant value for second conical value is: 4.561035 ## The chisquare test value for dof of 2 is: 5.991465 ## The chisquare test value for dof of 2 is: 5.991465
```

In above both the cases both the cases the null hypothesis is assumed to be the each conical variable is significant. The first conical variable is not significant in explaining the relation between primary and secondary variable. Since the loglihood constant is more than chisquare value,  $H_0$  is rejected for the first variable. The loglihood constant for the second conical value is less than the chisquare value,  $H_0$  is accepted. This define that the second conical value have some significant in expressing the relationship between them.

```
## The Squared value for canonical relation is: 0.0106
```

This helps to undertand that there is some relationship between primary and secondary variables set in terms of variance.

## Code Appendix

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)
sf1 \leftarrow matrix(c(1106.000,396.700,108.400,0.787,26.230,396.700,2382.000,1143.000,-0.214,-23.960,108.400,0.787,26.230,396.700,2382.000,1143.000,-0.214,-23.960,108.400,0.787,26.230,396.700,2382.000,1143.000,-0.214,-23.960,108.400,0.787,26.230,396.700,2382.000,1143.000,-0.214,-23.960,108.400,0.787,26.230,396.700,2382.000,1143.000,-0.214,-23.960,108.400,0.787,26.230,396.700,2382.000,1143.000,-0.214,-23.960,108.400,0.787,26.230,396.700,2382.000,1143.000,-0.214,-23.960,108.400,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,26.230,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.200,0.787,20.2
s11 <- sf1[1:3,1:3]
s12 <- sf1[1:3,4:5]
s21 \leftarrow sf1[4:5,1:3]
s22 \leftarrow sf1[4:5,4:5]
U_matrix <- solve(sqrt(s11))%*% s12 %*% solve(s22) %*% s21 %*% solve(sqrt(s11))
rho_matrix <- eigen(U_matrix)</pre>
V_matrix <- solve(sqrt(s22))%*% s21 %*% solve(s11) %*% s12 %*% solve(sqrt(s22))
rho1_matrix <- eigen(V_matrix)</pre>
U_coeffiecients <- (rho_matrix$vectors) %*% (solve(sqrt(s11)))</pre>
V_coefficients <- (rho1_matrix$vectors) %*% (solve(sqrt(s22)))
sqrt_matrix <- diag(sqrt(sf1))</pre>
diagonal_matrix <- diag(x=c(sqrt_matrix))</pre>
selfcorrelation <- U_coefficients %*% s11 %*% solve(diagonal_matrix[1:3,1:3])
selfcorrelation1 <- V_coefficients *** s22 *** solve(diagonal_matrix[4:5,4:5])
cat("The Self correlation for U coefficients:\n")
selfcorrelation[1:2,1:2]
cat("The Self correlation for V coefficients:\n")
selfcorrelation1
### Normalised Coefficient
normalised_U_coeffiecient <- U_coeffiecients %*% diagonal_matrix[1:3,1:3]
```

```
normalised_V_coeffiecient <- V_coeffiecients %*% diagonal_matrix[4:5,4:5]
cat("The Normalised Coefficients of U and V are:\n")
normalised_U_coeffiecient
normalised_V_coeffiecient
p <- 3
q <- 2
n <- 46
loglihood_function = log((abs(1-(sqrt(rho1_matrix$values[1]))))*(1-(sqrt(rho1_matrix$values[2]))))
loglihood\_const \leftarrow -(n -1 - (0.5 * (p + q + 1)))*loglihood\_function
cat("The loglihood constant value for all values:",loglihood_const,"\n")
test_value <- qchisq(0.95,6)</pre>
cat("The chisquare test value for dof of 6 is:",test_value,"\n")
const1 <- -(n-1-(0.5 * (p+q+1)))*log(1-(sqrt(rho1_matrix$values[1])))
cat("The loglihood constant value for first conical value is:",const1,"\n")
test_value <- qchisq(0.95,2)</pre>
cat("The chisquare test value for dof of 2 is:",test_value,"\n")
const2 <- -(n-1-(0.5 * (p+q+1)))*log(1-(sqrt(rho1_matrix$values[2])))
cat("The loglihood constant value for second conical value is:",const2,"\n")
test_value1 <- qchisq(0.95,2)</pre>
cat("The chisquare test value for dof of 2 is:",test_value1,"\n")
cat("The Squared value for canonical relation is:",signif(rho1_matrix$values[2],3),"\n")
```