#### For orders on 14th June

## For Objective 1: Minimize the number of vehicles used

xijv variables **3798**siv variables **513**Iv variables **19**Optimization solver GUROBI called Status: Optimal objective= 4.0
Time taken < 1min

## **Validation**

Post processing to get routes of each vehicle used

```
T3_1 ['INV_source_00', 'INV_14062024_25', 'INV_14062024_21', 'INV_14062024_10', 'INV_14062024_02', 'INV_14062024_06', 'INV_14062024_14', 'INV_14062024_17', 'INV_14062024_07', 'INV_sink_00']

Truck T3_1
```

Capacity 2800 total weights served 2753.88 total distance covered 444.86

```
T3_3 ['INV_source_00', 'INV_14062024_24', 'INV_14062024_20', 'INV_14062024_04', 'INV_14062024_13', 'INV_14062024_15', 'INV_14062024_22', 'INV_14062024_11', 'INV_14062024_23', 'INV_14062024_12', 'INV_sink_00'] Truck T3_3
```

Capacity 2800 total weights served 2769.6 total distance covered 332.07

```
T3_5 ['INV_source_00', 'INV_14062024_16', 'INV_14062024_03', 'INV_sink_00']  
Truck T3_5
```

Capacity 2800 total weights served 2484.0 total distance covered 193.37

```
T7_1 ['INV_source_00', 'INV_14062024_09', 'INV_14062024_01', 'INV_14062024_18', 'INV_14062024_19', 'INV_14062024_05', 'INV_14062024_08', 'INV_sink_00']

Truck T7_1
```

Capacity 7000 total weights served 6969.0 total distance covered 147.1700000000002

Total Travel Distance of all trucks 1117.47

#### **Time Window validation**

T3\_1 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_25', 557.0), ('INV\_14062024\_21', 631.0), ('INV\_14062024\_10', 846.0), ('INV\_14062024\_02', 1006.0), ('INV\_14062024\_06', 1027.0), ('INV\_14062024\_14', 1101.0), ('INV\_14062024\_17', 1178.0), ('INV\_14062024\_07', 1224.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1321.0)]

T3\_3 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_24', 525.0), ('INV\_14062024\_20', 588.0), ('INV\_14062024\_04', 644.0), ('INV\_14062024\_13', 747.0), ('INV\_14062024\_15', 814.0), ('INV\_14062024\_22', 855.0), ('INV\_14062024\_11', 919.99999999999), ('INV\_14062024\_23', 978.99999999999), ('INV\_14062024\_12', 1044.99999999999), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1117.0)]

T3\_5 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_16', 595.0), ('INV\_14062024\_03', 1320.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1427.0)]

T7\_1 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_09', 530.0), ('INV\_14062024\_01', 581.0), ('INV\_14062024\_18', 633.0), ('INV\_14062024\_19', 680.0), ('INV\_14062024\_05', 700.0), ('INV\_14062024\_08', 725.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 802.0)]

# For Objective 2: Minimize total travel distance

xijv variables **3798**siv variables **513**Iv variables **19**Optimization solver GUROBI called
Set parameter MIPFocus to value 2
Set parameter Cuts to value 3
Set parameter MIPGap to value 0.07

Gurobi status= 2 Status: Optimal objective= 567.610000000001 Time taken ~2hrs

## **Validation**

Post processing to get routes of each vehicle

T3\_1 ['INV\_source\_00', 'INV\_14062024\_10', 'INV\_14062024\_20', 'INV\_14062024\_09', 'INV\_14062024\_15', 'INV\_sink\_00'

### Truck T3 1

Capacity 2800 total weights served 2718.0 total distance covered 211.9799999999999

T3\_2 ['INV\_source\_00', 'INV\_14062024\_16', 'INV\_14062024\_13', 'INV\_14062024\_14', 'INV sink 00']

Truck T3\_2

Capacity 2800 total weights served 2635.0 total distance covered 132.5199999999998

```
T3_3 ['INV_source_00', 'INV_14062024_17', 'INV_14062024_11', 'INV_14062024_21', 'INV_14062024_12', 'INV_14062024_06', 'INV_14062024_02', 'INV_14062024_04', 'INV_14062024_07', 'INV_14062024_25', 'INV_14062024_22', 'INV_14062024_23', 'INV_sink_00']
```

Truck T3 3

Capacity 2800 total weights served 2784.48 total distance covered 131.7399999999998

T7\_2 ['INV\_source\_00', 'INV\_14062024\_03', 'INV\_14062024\_08', 'INV\_14062024\_01', 'INV\_14062024\_05', 'INV\_14062024\_19', 'INV\_14062024\_24', 'INV\_14062024\_18', 'INV\_sink\_00']

Truck T7 2

Capacity 7000 total weights served 6839.0 total distance covered 91.37

#### **Time Window validation**

T3\_1 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_10', 639.0), ('INV\_14062024\_20', 722.0), ('INV\_14062024\_09', 1293.0), ('INV\_14062024\_15', 1320.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1372.0)]

T3\_2 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_16', 595.0), ('INV\_14062024\_13', 648.0), ('INV\_14062024\_14', 1320.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1388.0)]

T3\_3 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_17', 531.0), ('INV\_14062024\_11', 556.0), ('INV\_14062024\_21', 600.0), ('INV\_14062024\_12', 620.0), ('INV\_14062024\_06', 677.0), ('INV\_14062024\_02', 698.0), ('INV\_14062024\_04', 1206.0), ('INV\_14062024\_07', 1231.0), ('INV\_14062024\_25', 1257.0), ('INV\_14062024\_22', 1278.0), ('INV\_14062024\_23', 1320.0), ('INV\_14062024\_23', 1372.0)]

T7\_2 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_03', 557.0), ('INV\_14062024\_08', 580.0), ('INV\_14062024\_01', 602.0), ('INV\_14062024\_05', 628.0), ('INV\_14062024\_19', 1244.0), ('INV\_14062024\_24', 1291.0), ('INV\_14062024\_18', 1320.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1366.0)]

#### For Objective 3: Minimize total cost

xijv variables **3798** siv variables **513** lv variables **19** 

Optimization solver GUROBI called Set parameter MIPFocus to value 1 Set parameter Cuts to value 3 Set parameter MIPGap to value 0.02 Validation

Post processing to get routes of each vehicle

T3\_3 ['INV\_source\_00', 'INV\_14062024\_16', 'INV\_14062024\_14', 'INV\_14062024\_13', 'INV\_sink\_00']

Truck T3 3

Capacity **2800** total weights served **2635.0** total distance covered **132.51**99999999998

T3\_4 ['INV\_source\_00', 'INV\_14062024\_18', 'INV\_14062024\_10', 'INV\_14062024\_20', 'INV\_14062024\_19', 'INV\_14062024\_22', 'INV\_14062024\_15', 'INV\_14062024\_23', 'INV\_sink\_00']

Truck T3\_4

Capacity 2800 total weights served 2756.0 total distance covered 211.01

T3\_5 ['INV\_source\_00', 'INV\_14062024\_24', 'INV\_14062024\_25', 'INV\_14062024\_07', 'INV\_14062024\_06', 'INV\_14062024\_02', 'INV\_14062024\_12', 'INV\_14062024\_21', 'INV\_14062024\_11', 'INV\_14062024\_17', 'INV\_sink\_00']

Truck T3\_5

Capacity 2800 total weights served 2706.48 total distance covered 131.3

T7\_2 ['INV\_source\_00', 'INV\_14062024\_05', 'INV\_14062024\_01', 'INV\_14062024\_04', 'INV\_14062024\_03', 'INV\_14062024\_08', 'INV\_14062024\_09', 'INV\_sink\_00']

Truck T7 2

Capacity 7000 total weights served 6879.0 total distance covered 95.93

Total Travel Distance of all trucks 570.76

### **Time Window validation**

T3\_3 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_16', 595.0), ('INV\_14062024\_14', 648.0), ('INV\_14062024\_13', 1320.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1388.0)]

T3\_4 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_18', 520.0), ('INV\_14062024\_10', 624.0), ('INV\_14062024\_20', 1045.0), ('INV\_14062024\_19', 1233.0), ('INV\_14062024\_22', 1258.0), ('INV\_14062024\_15', 1300.0), ('INV\_14062024\_23', 1320.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1372.0)]

T3\_5 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_24', 525.0), ('INV\_14062024\_25', 560.0), ('INV\_14062024\_07', 614.0), ('INV\_14062024\_06', 640.0), ('INV\_14062024\_02', 661.0), ('INV\_14062024\_12', 735.0), ('INV\_14062024\_21', 755.0), ('INV\_14062024\_11', 808.0), ('INV\_14062024\_17', 833.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 885.0)]

T7\_2 [('INV\_source\_00', 480.0), ('INV\_14062024\_05', 540.0), ('INV\_14062024\_01', 564.0), ('INV\_14062024\_04', 591.0), ('INV\_14062024\_03', 616.0), ('INV\_14062024\_08', 1278.0), ('INV\_14062024\_09', 1320.0), ('INV\_sink\_00', 1375.0)]

## Comparison of 3 objectives

Objective	No. Of Vehicles Used	Total Distance(Km)	Total Cost (Rs)	Time to solve(min)
Min No. Of Vehicles	4	1117.47	49208.31	<1
Min Total Distance	4	567.61	40083.89	1200
Min Total Cost	4	570.76	40119.19	6

From the above table it is better to implement objective 3 to minimize total cost as it takes less time to solve the problem.

## For Orders on 31st May

The model is infeasible and Irreducible Inconsistent Subsystem (IIS) is calculated and written into the .ils file available in the output folder.

An IIS is a subset of the constraints and variable bounds with the following properties:

- It is still infeasible, and
- If a single constraint or bound is removed, the subsystem becomes feasible.

One such IIS is calculated and it has following constraints and bounds causing infeasibility.

### Constraints:

```
exactlyOnce_INV_31052024_05_
exactlyOnce_INV_31052024_06_
exactlyOnce_INV_31052024_07_
exactlyOnce_INV_31052024_08_
exactlyOnce_INV_31052024_15_
exactlyOnce_INV_31052024_16_

vehicleCap_T3_1_
vehicleCap_T3_2_
vehicleCap_T3_3_
vehicleCap_T3_5_
vehicleCap_T5_1_
vehicleCap_T7_1_
vehicleCap_T7_2_
```

### **Bounds**

```
Binaries
```

```
I#T3_1, I#T3_2, I#T3_3, I#T3_4, I#T3_5, I#T5_1, I#T7_1, I#T7_2
And x ijk variables corresponding to these vehicles.
```

If a single constraint or bound is removed, the subsystem becomes feasible.

There may be many such IIS for an infeasible model.

#### For Orders on 8th June

The model is infeasible and Irreducible Inconsistent Subsystem (IIS) is calculated and written into the .ils file available in the output folder.

## **Constraints**

```
exactlyOnce_INV_08062024_16_
exactlyOnce_INV_08062024_18_
exactlyOnce_INV_08062024_20_
```

```
exactlyOnce_INV_08062024_35_
exactlyOnce_INV_08062024_36_
exactlyOnce_INV_08062024_37_
vehicleCap_T3_1_
vehicleCap_T3_2_
vehicleCap_T3_3_
vehicleCap_T3_4_
vehicleCap_T3_5_
vehicleCap_T5_1_
vehicleCap_T7_1_
vehicleCap_T7_2_
```

### **Bounds**

**Binaries** 

I#T3\_1, I#T3\_2, I#T3\_3, I#T3\_4, I#T3\_5, I#T5\_1, I#T7\_1, I#T7\_2 And x\_ijk variables corresponding to these vehicles.

Solution same as 31st may.

### For Orders on 12th June

The model is infeasible.

IIS set has:

### **Constraints**

45 exactlyOnce constraints 5 vehicleCap constraints (for vehicles T3\_1 ....T3\_5)

#### **Bounds**

**Binaries** 

I#T3\_1 I#T3\_2 I#T3\_3 I#T3\_4 I#T3\_5

And x\_ijk variables correspond to the above vehicles.

To make the problem feasible in all three cases.

**Possible Option :** Increasing the capacity of vehicles so the bounds gets relaxed or removing some orders so no need to visit that order.