

---

This week marks a mixture of theoretical and practical coursework. Please make sure that your Python environment is all set up.

## Ridge regression

For this exercise we consider ridge regression problems of the form

$$w_\alpha = \arg \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|Xw - y\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2 \right\}, \quad (1)$$

for data  $y \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , a data matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times (d+1)}$  and a regularisation parameter  $\alpha > 0$ .

1. Calculate the gradient of the energy function  $E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|Xw - y\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2$ .
2. Prove that  $E(w)$  is a convex and bounded from below function.
3. Combine the above results to conclude that there is a unique solution  $w_\alpha$  of the minimisation problem (1) which also solves the normal equation

$$(X^\top X + \alpha I) w_\alpha = X^\top y.$$

4. Continuously differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $L$ -smooth if

$$\|\nabla f(u) - \nabla f(v)\| \leq L \|u - v\|,$$

for any vectors  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ . Prove that the energy function  $E$  is  $L$ -smooth for some value of  $L$ . Try to identify the smallest possible such a value  $L$ .

### Solution:

1. The energy function  $E(w)$  could be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} E(w^{(0)}, w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(d)}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^s \left( w^{(0)} + w^{(1)} x_1^{(j)} + \dots + w^{(d)} x_d^{(j)} - y^{(j)} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{j=0}^d (w^{(j)})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then the gradient is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla E(w) = & \left( \sum_{j=1}^s \left( w^{(0)} + w^{(1)}x_1^{(j)} + \dots + w^{(d)}x_d^{(j)} - y^{(j)} \right) + \alpha w^{(0)}, \right. \\ & \sum_{j=1}^s x_1^{(j)} \left( w^{(0)} + w^{(1)}x_1^{(j)} + \dots + w^{(d)}x_d^{(j)} - y^{(j)} \right) + \alpha w^{(1)} \\ & \dots, \\ & \left. \sum_{j=1}^s x_d^{(j)} \left( w^{(0)} + w^{(1)}x_1^{(j)} + \dots + w^{(d)}x_d^{(j)} - y^{(j)} \right) + \alpha w^{(d)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\nabla E(w) = (X^\top X + \alpha I) w - X^\top y,$$

where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1^{(1)} & \dots & x_d^{(1)} \\ 1 & x_1^{(2)} & \dots & x_d^{(2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_1^{(s)} & \dots & x_d^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} w^{(0)} \\ w^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ w^{(d)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (X^\top X w - X^\top y + \alpha I w)_p &= \sum_{j,k} X_{p,j}^\top X_{j,k} w_k - \sum_j X_{p,j}^\top y_j + \alpha w^{(p)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=0}^d x_p^{(j)} x_k^{(j)} w^{(k)} - \sum_{j=1}^s x_p^{(j)} y^{(j)} + \alpha w^{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

2. We have previously shown (see Assignment 2) that:

- $MSE(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|Xw - y\|^2$  is a convex function;
- $\|w\|^2$  is a strictly convex function, and thus for  $\alpha > 0$   $\frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2$  is strictly convex;
- the sum of two convex functions is convex.

When combined all together this yields that  $E(w)$  is strictly convex.

3. Energy function  $E(w)$  is strictly convex and is bounded from below by  $E(w) \geq 0$ . Function  $E(w)$  is also continuously differentiable. Therefore (see Lecture notes),

- there exist the unique minimizer  $w_\alpha = \arg \min E(w)$ ;
- and this minimizer is the unique solution of  $\nabla E(w) = 0$ .

This finishes the proof.

4. To prove the energy function  $E(w)$  is  $L$ -smooth one needs to evaluate the value of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{w,w'} := \nabla E(w) - \nabla E(w') &= X^\top X w + \alpha w - X^\top y - X^\top X w' - \alpha w' + X^\top y \\ &= (X^\top X + \alpha I)(w - w'). \end{aligned}$$

The best we can do to estimate a norm of the right hand side is to use a bound via matrix norm

$$\|\Delta_{w,w'}\| \leq \|X^\top X + \alpha I\| \|w - w'\|.$$

Now, defining  $L = \|X^\top X + \alpha I\|$  we obtain a necessary inequality.

**Remark:** The value of  $L$  can be also written as  $L = \sigma_1^2 + \alpha$ , where  $\sigma_1$  is the largest singular value of matrix  $X$ . This value of  $L$  is indeed an optimal one, because if  $w - w'$  is parallel to a corresponding right singular vector of  $X$  we would indeed have

$$\Delta_{w,w'} = L(w - w').$$