PHYSICAL MACHINE vs VIRTUAL MACHINE for compiling kernel

By Kartik Gupta

CONTENTS

1)OBTAINED DATA(SKIP THIS to see the actual report)
2)OBSERVATION
3)CONCLUSION

OBTAINED DATA

MACHINE 1 : PHYSICAL MACHINE

Specifications:

OS: ubuntu 14.04(updated till date 12/08/16)

RAM: 7.7 GB SIZE: 20GB

DISK TYPE: SATA

CPUs:8

File System: ext4

The output obtained on running the script "test.py"

Test1

| Line # | Mem usag | e Increment | Line Contents | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| ===== | | | | | | |
| 16 | 16.848 MiB | 0.000 MiB | @profile | | | |
| 17 | | | def compile_kernel(): | | | |
| 18 | 16.852 MiB | 0.004 MiB | subprocess.call('sudo make clean && make | | | |
| mrproper',shell=True) | | | | | | |
| 19 | 16.781 MiB | -0.070 MiB | subprocess.call('sudo make defconfig',shell=True) | | | |
| 20 | 14.477 MiB | -2.305 MiB | subprocess.call('sudo make',shell=True) | | | |

559.29user // this
79.38system
13:45.16elapsed
77%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 208820maxresident)k
412944inputs+720488outputs (904major+34544498minor)
pagefaults 0swaps

Test2

```
Line # Mem usage Increment Line Contents
_____
  16 16.840 MiB 0.000 MiB @profile
  17
                  def compile_kernel():
  18 16.844 MiB 0.004 MiB
                              subprocess.call('sudo make clean && make
mrproper', shell=True)
  19 16.844 MiB 0.000 MiB
                             subprocess.call('sudo make defconfig',shell=True)
  20 16.844 MiB 0.000 MiB
                              subprocess.call('sudo make',shell=True)
481.14user
68.65system
9:08.07elapsed
100%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 208368maxresident)k
55584inputs+712064outputs (190major+34218689minor)
pagefaults Oswaps
Test3
Line # Mem usage Increment Line Contents
______
  16 16.855 MiB 0.000 MiB @profile
  17
                  def compile_kernel():
  18 16.859 MiB 0.004 MiB
                             subprocess.call('sudo make clean && make
mrproper', shell=True)
  19 16.859 MiB 0.000 MiB
                              subprocess.call('sudo make defconfig',shell=True)
  20 16.859 MiB 0.000 MiB
                             subprocess.call('sudo make',shell=True)
479.33user
65.22system
8:57.26elapsed
101%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 209136maxresident)k
376inputs+708528outputs (0major+34218752minor)
pagefaults 0swaps
```

Therefore:

Average memory consumption is between 16-17MiB Average System time is approximately 70 seconds

MACHINE 2: VIRTUAL MACHINE (hosted on open-nebulla)

Specifications:

OS-Debian RAM : 4GB SIZE:4.5GB

DISK TYPE :unknown

CPUs: 4

File System: ext4

Due to time constraints and some difficulty with the virtual machine , I could conduct only 1 test on the virtual machine

Test1

| Line # Men | n usage Incre | ment Line Co | ntents | | | | |
|------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 9.574 MiB | 0.000 MiB | @profile | | | | |
| 17 | | def compile_ | _kernel(): | | | | |
| 18 | 9.578 MiB | 0.004 MiB | subprocess.call(' make clean && make | | | | |

mrproper',shell=True)

19 9.578 MiB 0.000 MiB subprocess.call(' make defconfig',shell=True)

20 9.578 MiB 0.000 MiB subprocess.call('make',shell=True)

763.80user 103.47system 15:04.86elapsed 95%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 162432maxresident)k 1464inputs+708424outputs (16major+31244672minor) pagefaults 0swaps

Therefore:

Average memory consumption is between 9-10MiB Average System time is approximately 100 seconds

OBSERVATIONS:

*It is quite clear that on the virtual machine, the cpu/system spends more time but here the specs of machine in terms of RAM differ by a factor of 2, so we cannot infer much from it.

*One observations which very clearly visible is that there is no memory increment ocurring when the final make command is being called to compile the kernel . Instead , logic says that memory usage at this point should increase as this is actual command where compiles kernel.Rest everything till now was just a setup for this statement to exectute properly.But memor-profiler is not showing any increase in memory usage.This observation is hard for me to explain as in fact , I myself , am not understanding it. It even suggests that probably the memory profiler might be a broken tool.

*Also, in case of virtual machine, memory usage is coming out to be lesser than that in case of physical machine. This again differs from my logic as it should be more according to me. This leads me to a possibility that the hypervisor might be even abstracting the actual memory for a virtual OS and so the memory usage that we are getting might not be the actual memory usage.

CONCLUSION

This experiment has led to more questions than answering some of the earlier ones.

It has led to following conclusions for me:-

- 1)Memory profiler tool might be broken
- 2) Hypervisor might be abstracting actual memory usage
- 3)We can't conclude that a physical machine is better than a virtual machine