## NUMERICAL ALOGORITHMS

## HOMEWORK - 3



To find block LU, we need some L, U, such that  $L = L_0 + L$ 

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} I & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D-CA'B \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ -c\mathbf{A}^{T} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{T}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since we meded to eliminate the block C, to get

block 
$$U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D - CA'B \end{bmatrix}$$
  $L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ -CA' & I \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\Rightarrow M = LU = \begin{bmatrix} T & O \\ +cA' & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D-CA'B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow L = \begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ CA' & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 41 & O \\ 421 & 42 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 41 = I \\ 421 = CA' \end{bmatrix}$$

and 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D-CA'B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ O & U_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let some block upper triangular matrix, 
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & C \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Then  $T.T' = I$   

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = I \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \times & Y \\ O & Z \end{bmatrix} = I$$

$$\Rightarrow \times A = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \times = A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } AY + 2BZ = 0$$

$$CZ = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} Z = C^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \qquad Y = -A^{-1}BZ$$

$$\overrightarrow{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{\dagger} - A^{\dagger}BC^{\dagger} \\ O & C^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$

Naw,

$$AH' \text{ exists}$$

$$= (LU)^{-1}$$

$$= (U^{-1} L^{-1}) \text{ exists}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D-CA^{+}B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & -A^{+}B (D-CA^{+}B)^{-1} \\ O & (D-CA^{+}B)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ ICA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & -A^{+}B (D-CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \\ O & (D-CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose for the converse poof, 
$$(DA^TB)$$
 is investible,

then  $U^T = \begin{bmatrix} A^T - A^TB(D-CA^TB)^T \end{bmatrix}$  exist

 $O (D-CA^TB)^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T & O \\ CA^T & T \end{bmatrix}$  exist

and  $U^T = \begin{bmatrix} T^T & O \\ T^TCA^TT & T^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T & O \\ CA^T & T \end{bmatrix}$  exist

 $O (U^TC^T)$  must also exist

 $O (U^TC^T)$  must also exist

 $O (U^TC^T)$  exist

 $O$ 

Let 
$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{a_{11}} & b / \sqrt{a_{11}} & 0 \\ b / \sqrt{a_{11}} & L' \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & b' \\ b & A' \end{bmatrix}$ 

Then for 
$$\hat{A} = LL^* + VV^*$$
, we have

$$\hat{A}_{1}^{2} = (L_{11})^{2} + (V_{1})^{2}$$

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} (\tilde{L}_{11}^2 + v_1^2) & \tilde{b}^* \\ \tilde{b} & \tilde{A}^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Thun 
$$L_1 = \int L_1^2 + V_1^2 =$$

and 
$$L = \begin{bmatrix} JL_1^2 + v_1^2 & O \\ \frac{b}{JL_1^2 + v_1^2} & L' \end{bmatrix}$$

and 
$$\ddot{b} = (b+v^Tv) = \frac{b}{\sqrt{a_{11}}} \cdot \sqrt{a_{11}} + \sqrt{v_1^Tv_2}$$
:

I Now generalising the above facts into an algorithm.

>) Thus, the algorithm can be written as: for i=1 to n  $x = \sqrt{lij + vei}$  $y = \frac{\chi}{L_{ii}}$  (Lii =  $\sqrt{a_{ii}}$ ) Z = VK/Lii Lii = x (updating Lii)  $\frac{(440,i)}{(1+1:n)} = \frac{(L_{i+1:n,i} + z^*)_{i+1:n}}{y}$ 2011:n = y \* 2011:n - 2 \* Lixin, i Lyupdating n at the seturn L end of each iteration so that the value valuelated in next iteration accompodate the change > Also, this algorithm takes O(n2) as the loop runs from 1 to n and each loop run takes O(n)

Thus total time = (102)

know that error

$$e^{n+1} = M^{+}Ne^{n}$$

$$= (M^{+}N)^{n} e_{0}$$

$$\frac{\|e_0\|}{\|e_0\|} \leq \|M^{-1}\| \cdot \|M^{-1}\| \cdot \|N \cdot N - N\|$$

$$= \frac{\|e_K\|}{\|e_0\|} \leq \|M^{-1}\| \cdot \|M^{-1}\| \cdot \|N \cdot N - N\|$$

- for Jacobi Method,

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & +1 & 0 \\ +1 & 0 & +1 \\ 0 & +1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow M^{-1}N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & +1/2 & 0 \\ +1/2 & 0 & +1/2 \\ 0 & +1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
Using 1 norm, we get

$$\Rightarrow ||M^{-1}N||_{1} = 1$$
 and  $||M^{-1}N||_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|le\kappa ll|}{|leoll|} = O(1)$$
 in 1 norm

and 
$$\frac{||e_k||}{||e_0||} = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^k\right) = O(0.707^k)$$
 in 2 norm

## - For Gauss seidel!

$$\Rightarrow HM \quad M = D + L = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & +1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & +1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $11M^{-1}N11_1 = 0.875$  and  $11M^{-1}N11_2 = 0.69$ 

$$\frac{\partial ||e_{K}||}{||e_{O}||} = \frac{O(0.075K)}{O(0.875K)} \quad \text{in I norm} \quad [|Norms have}$$
been calculated using numby]

and 
$$\frac{||e_K||}{||e_0||} = O(0.69^K)$$
 in 2 norm.

For the first applicach: 
$$\chi^{k+l} = \chi^k + \omega (\chi^{4S} - \chi^k) , \text{ where in each iteration we have } \chi^{k+l} = D^{-1}(b - (L+U)\chi^k) \text{ in }$$
 get Jacobi method.

$$n_{45}^{k+1} = D^{-1}(b-Lx^{k+1}-Ux^{k})$$

$$= \text{Putting in } D : \chi^{k+1} = \chi^{k} + \omega \left[ D^{-1}(b - L\chi^{k+1} - U\chi^{k}) - \chi^{k} \right]$$

$$= \chi^{k} (1 - \omega D U - \omega) + \chi^{k+1} (-\omega D^{-1}L) + \omega D^{-1}b$$

$$\exists \left(1+\omega D^{\dagger}L\right) \chi^{K+1} = \left[\omega D^{\dagger}\left(\frac{D}{\omega}-D\right)^{2}-U\right) \chi^{K} + \omega D^{\dagger}b$$

$$\exists \omega D^{-1} \left( \frac{D}{\omega} + L \right) \chi^{K+1} = \omega D^{-1} \left[ \left( \frac{L}{\omega} - I \right) D - U \right] \chi^{K} + \omega D^{-1} b$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \chi^{(k+1)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} D + L\right)^{-1} \left[ \left(\frac{1}{2} - 1\right) D - U \right] \chi^{(k)} + \left(\frac{1}{2} D + L\right)^{-1} b$$

$$\Rightarrow M = \left(\frac{1}{\omega}D + L\right)$$
 and  $N = \left(\frac{1}{\omega} - 1\right)D - U$ 

## -> for second approach:

. we have

$$n^{45} = D'(b - L(n^k + \omega(x^{k+1} - x^k)) - Ux^k)$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{k+1} = x^k + \omega \left[ b^{-1} \left( b - L \left[ x^k + \omega \left( x^{k+1} - x^k \right) \right] - U x^k \right) - x^k \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi^{K+1} = \chi^{K} \left[ 1 - \omega D^{-1} L + \omega^{2} D^{-1} L - \omega D^{-1} U - \omega \right]$$

$$+ \chi^{K+1} \left[ - \omega^{2} D^{-1} L \right] + \omega D^{-1} b$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + \omega^2 D^7 L) \chi^{K+1} = \chi^{K} (1 - \omega D^7 L + \omega^2 D^7 L - \omega D^7 U - \omega) + \omega D^7 b$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi^{K+1} = \chi^{K} (1 - \omega D^7 L + \omega^2 D^7 L - \omega D^7 U - \omega) + \omega D^7 b$$

$$\Rightarrow (1+\omega^2D^{\prime}L)^{\prime}\chi \qquad \lambda C (1+\omega^2D^{\prime}L)^{\prime}\chi = \omega D^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega}D^{\prime} - L + \omega L - U - D \right] + \omega D^{\prime} b$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega D^{\prime} \left( \frac{1}{\omega}D + \omega L \right) \chi^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} = \omega D^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega}D^{\prime} - L + \omega L - U - D \right] + \omega D^{\prime} b$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi^{(k+1)} = \left(\frac{1}{\omega}D^{+\omega}L\right)^{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega}^{-1}\right)D^{+\omega}L^{-(L+\upsilon)}\right] \chi^{(k)} + \left(\frac{1}{\omega}D^{+\omega}L\right)^{T}b$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[ \chi^{K+1} = \left( \frac{1}{\omega} D + \omega L \right)^{-1} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\omega}^{-1} \right) D + - U - L (1 - \omega) \right] \chi^{K} + \left( \frac{1}{\omega} D + \omega L \right)^{-1} b \right]$$

$$A = \frac{1}{\omega}D + \omega L$$

$$N = \left(\frac{1}{\omega} - 1\right)D - U - L(I - \omega)$$

4

# Consider we started the Asnoldi iteration from some arbitrary vector  $\vec{t}$ .

Then  $K_n = \langle \vec{t}, A\vec{t}, --- A^{n'}\vec{t} \rangle$ 

and we want to minimize  $||Ax-b||_2$  such that  $x \in Kn = Qnyn$ 

> min || An-b||2

= min || AQnyn-b||2

= min || Qn+1 Fin y-b||2

= min || Hny- Pot b||2

Since ARn = Rn+1 Hn

where Hn = Hessenberg

matrix

since  $Q_{n+1}^{*}$  is conthonormal then  $||z||_{2} = ||Q_{n+1}^{*}z||_{2}$ 

= min || Hnyn- Qn+, bl/2 -1

I Now consider we chose some  $\vec{t}$  (starting point) such that b is obthogonal to  $\vec{t}$ , then b can be osthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}$ , then b can be osthogonal to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

>) when b is ostenogonal to ant, then ant, b = 0

Egn (1) be comes

= min || Hny ||.

The are minimizing  $\|H_ny_n\| = \|Ax_n\|$  only.

The proof of the decreasing, infact it may increase

and thus the method fails if we start from  $\vec{t}$  in buch a case when  $\vec{b}$  becomes orthogonal to Kn,

# Now if we started Arnoldi iteration from  $\vec{b}$ .

Then,  $||\vec{H}_n y_n - Q_{n+1}^{-1}, b||_2$ 

=mixII Hn. yn- 11611e, 1/2 (": 9,=b), and other cols are orthogonal to b)

This is the same as minimizing the susidue at each iteration.

as small as possible for subspace Kn and by evoluting Kn to Kn+1, we can only evolute the subsidue by taking a better estimate of "x".

If 1|9m|1=0This will also trappen eventually as we increase our subspace dimension to  $k_m \in \mathbb{C}^m$  where the actual solution n = 1 to the quotion resides.

If might also occur earlier at some n < m, if b happens to lie in kn.

Thus, GMRES will always reduce the scelidule  $\|Y_n\|=0$  which implies that it will always find a solution when started from b. Since  $\|y_n\|=0$  when we have  $\chi_n=\chi^*$  which is the exact solution of the problem.

# Also, consider the case when we start annoldi iteration with an eigen vector of the original matrix A. a) Au=Au

7 122= > Ax= xx An x = xnx

7 Konglow subject subspace now becomes  $k_n = \langle \chi, \chi_{\chi}, \chi^2 \chi, -- \chi^{n-1} \chi \rangle$ 

and consider b is not a eigen vector of A.

>) Then b will not lie in Kn since Kn spans only a single dimension where b does not belong. Thus in this scenario also GMRES will fail to find a

solution.



 $\Rightarrow$  The A weighted basis vectors found by Gram-Schmidt algorithm is the space spanned by the direction vectors  $\vec{p}$ .

of the basis of the direction vectors is such that the vectors are A-orthogonal to each other and this basis can be found by using the Gram-Schmidt algorithm.

# Enros at Kth stp =  $e_K = \chi_K - \chi_*$  =  $(\chi_K = e_K + \chi_*)$ then residual  $\chi_K = b - A\chi_K$   $\chi_K = b - A(\chi_* + e_K)$   $= b - A(\chi_* + e_K)$  $= b - A(\chi_* + e_K)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Let us define a sequence of m independent directions  $< p_0, -p_{n-1} >$ 

Then  $\chi_{k+1} = \chi_{k} + \chi_{k} p_{k+m}$ and  $\chi_{k} = \chi_{0} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i} p_{i}$   $\Rightarrow e_{0} = \chi_{0} - \chi_{k} = -\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i} p_{i} - 0$ 

Now to make our search easier we will need to choose pi such that they are offrogonal

## Multiplying both sides of 0 by 
$$p_{K}$$

## Pice =  $-\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}}{i=0} \propto_{i} p_{K}^{T} p_{i}$ 

## Pice =  $-\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}}{i=0} \approx_{i} p_{K}^{T} p_{K}$ 

## Authorized in above equation of the pice of the

>) Now if ex is not known to us, thus we can not take the discretions to be offnogonal, instead let us take them as A-outerogonal.

thun instead 
$$\vec{p}_i A \vec{p}_j = 0$$
  $\forall i \neq j$ 

Thun multiply  $eq^n \vec{O}$  by  $\vec{p}_k A$ 
 $\Rightarrow \vec{p}_k A e_0 = -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \vec{v}_i \vec{p}_k A \vec{p}_i$ 
 $\Rightarrow \vec{p}_k A e_0 = -\alpha_k \vec{p}_k A \vec{p}_k$  [:  $\vec{p}_k A \vec{p}_i = 0$   $\forall i \neq k$ ]

⇒ Now following the same steps and substituting 
$$e_0$$
 in the above  $eq^n$  by  $e_0 = e_k - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \beta_j$ 

⇒  $\alpha_{k} = -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} = -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} (e_k - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \beta_j)$ 
 $= -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} = -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} (e_k - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \beta_j)$ 
 $= -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} = -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} (e_k - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \beta_j)$ 
 $= -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} = -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} (e_k - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \beta_j)$ 
 $= -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} = -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} (e_k - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \beta_j)$ 
 $= -\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{p_k} (e_k - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \beta_j)$ 

Scanned with CamScanner

disections)

6

(a) Implemented code.

(b) Given M = RTRand we know A = M - N  $\Rightarrow N = M - A$ 

and  $Mx^{k+1} = Nx^k + b$   $\Rightarrow R^T R x^{k+1} = Nx^k + b$   $\Rightarrow 0^T 1 = Nx^k + b$ 

 $\neq$  RTy = NxK+b } are solved this to and RxK+1=y } get (xK+1).

(C) In the submitted code.

(d) Using the symmetoric Preconditioner,  $M = R^T R$ 

and An=b is preconditioned as:

(R-TA-R-1) (R=N) = R-Tb

(Since R is a upper tolangular matoix)

> (R-\*AR-1)(R x) = (R-\*b)

> (R-+ AR-1) y = (R-+6) } and Rx=y

And Ra=y can be solved using back substitution since R is an upper to angular matrix.