

FIRST-ORDER LOGIC

SYNTAX

Term

Constants - a, b, c, \dots

Variables - x, y, z, \dots

Function

Arity n : Takes n terms as input, and forms a term

Predicate

Arity n : Takes n terms as input, and forms an atom

SYNTAX

Atom

Predicate: p, q, r, \dots

Logical
Connectives

\wedge : and, \vee : or, \neg : not, \rightarrow : implies, \leftrightarrow : if and only if (iff)

Quantifier

\forall : Universal
 \exists : Existential

Literal

Atom or its negation

Formula

A literal or the application of logical connectives and quantifiers to formulae

EXAMPLE

$$\forall x . ((\exists y . p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$

EXAMPLE

$$\forall x. ((\exists y. p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$

Variables

EXAMPLE

$$\forall x . ((\exists y . p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$

Function

EXAMPLE

$$\forall x . ((\exists y . p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$


Predicate

EXAMPLE

$$\forall x. ((\exists y. p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$


Quantifier

EXAMPLE

$$\boxed{\forall x} . ((\exists y . p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$



Scope of x

EXAMPLE

$$\forall x . ((\boxed{\exists y} . p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$


Scope of y


EXAMPLE

$$\forall x . ((\boxed{\exists y} . p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$


Scope of y

An occurrence of a variable is **bound** if it is in the scope of some quantifier

EXAMPLE

$$\forall x . ((\boxed{\exists y} . p(f(x), y)) \rightarrow q(x))$$


Scope of y

An occurrence of a variable is **bound** if it is in the scope of some quantifier

An occurrence of a variable is **free** if it is not in the scope of some quantifier

SEMANTICS - EXAMPLES

- All Humans are mortal.
 - Assume unary predicates *human* and *mortal*.

$$\forall x . human(x) \rightarrow mortal(x)$$

SEMANTICS - EXAMPLES

- There always exists someone such that if (s)he laughs, then everyone laughs.
- Assume unary predicate *laughs*.

$$\exists x . (laughs(x) \rightarrow \forall y . laughs(y))$$

SEMANTICS - EXAMPLES

- Every dog has its day.
 - $\forall x . dog(x) \rightarrow \exists y . day(y) \wedge itsDay(x, y)$
- Some dogs have more days than others.
 - $\exists x, y . dog(x) \wedge dog(y) \wedge \#days(x) > \#days(y)$
- All cats have more days than dogs.
 - $\forall x, y . (dog(x) \wedge cat(y)) \rightarrow \#days(y) > \#days(x)$

INTERPRETATIONS

- An interpretation I is an assignment from variables (in general, terms) to values in a specified domain.
- Domain, D_I
 - A nonempty set of **values** or **objects**. Also called universe of discourse.
 - Numbers, humans, students, courses, animals,...
- Assignment, α_I
 - Maps constants and variables to elements of the domain D_I (i.e. values)
 - Maps functions and predicate symbols to functions and predicates (of the same arity) over D_I

INTERPRETATIONS - EXAMPLE 1

- Suppose $D_I = \{A, B\}$
- Constants a and b are mapped to following elements in D_I
 - $\alpha_I(a) = B \quad \alpha_I(b) = A$
- A binary function symbol f is mapped to the following actual function on D_I :
 - $\alpha_I(f) = \{(A, A) \rightarrow B, (A, B) \rightarrow B, (B, A) \rightarrow A, (B, B) \rightarrow B\}$
- A unary predicate symbol p is mapped to the following actual predicate on D_I
 - $\alpha_I(p) = \{A \rightarrow \text{True}, B \rightarrow \text{False}\}$

INTERPRETATIONS - EXAMPLE 2

DONEC QUIS NUNC

- Consider the formula: $x + y > z \rightarrow y > z - x$
 - Here, $+$, $-$ are functions and $>$ is a predicate.
 - Equivalent to $p(f(x, y), z) \rightarrow p(y, g(z, x))$.
- A standard interpretation for this formula would be:
 - Domain: \mathbb{Z}
 - $+$, $-$ would be mapped to the standard integer addition and subtraction functions.
 - $>$ would be mapped to the standard greater-than relation over integers.
 - x, y, z could be mapped to 5, 10, 9 resp.

SEMANTICS: INDUCTIVE DEFINITION

Base Case:

$I \models \top$	
$I \not\models \perp$	
$I \models p$	iff $I[p]=\text{true}$
$I \not\models p$	iff $I[p]=\text{false}$

Inductive Case:

$I \models \neg F$	iff $I \not\models F$
$I \models F_1 \wedge F_2$	iff $I \models F_1$ and $I \models F_2$
$I \models F_1 \vee F_2$	iff $I \models F_1$ or $I \models F_2$
$I \models F_1 \rightarrow F_2$	iff $I \not\models F_1$ or $I \models F_2$
$I \models F_1 \leftrightarrow F_2$	iff $I \models F_1$ and $I \models F_2$, or $I \not\models F_1$ and $I \not\models F_2$

SEMANTICS: INDUCTIVE DEFINITION

Base Case:

$$I \models \top$$

$$I \not\models \perp$$

$$I \models p$$

$$I \not\models p$$

What does this mean?

iff $I[p]=\text{true}$

iff $I[p]=\text{false}$

Inductive Case:

$$I \models \neg F$$

iff $I \not\models F$

$$I \models F_1 \wedge F_2$$

iff $I \models F_1$ and $I \models F_2$

$$I \models F_1 \vee F_2$$

iff $I \models F_1$ or $I \models F_2$

$$I \models F_1 \rightarrow F_2$$

iff $I \not\models F_1$ or $I \models F_2$

$$I \models F_1 \leftrightarrow F_2$$

iff $I \models F_1$ and $I \models F_2$, or $I \not\models F_1$ and $I \not\models F_2$

SEMANTICS - CONTINUED...

$$I \models p(t_1, \dots, t_n) \text{ iff } \alpha_I[p](\alpha_I[t_1], \dots, \alpha_I[t_n]) = \top$$

$$\alpha_I[f(t_1, \dots, t_n)] = \alpha_I[f](\alpha_I[t_1], \dots, \alpha_I[t_n])$$

SEMANTICS - EXAMPLE

$$D_I = \{A, B\}$$

$$\alpha_I(a) = B \quad \alpha_I(b) = A$$

$$\alpha_I(f) = \{(A, A) \rightarrow B, (A, B) \rightarrow B, (B, A) \rightarrow A, (B, B) \rightarrow B\}$$

$$\alpha_I(p) = \{A \rightarrow \text{True}, B \rightarrow \text{False}\}$$

INTERPRETATION I

$$I \models p(b)$$

$$I \models p(f(a, b))$$

$$I \not\models p(f(b, a))$$

SEMANTICS - QUANTIFIERS

- An x -variant of interpretation $I = (D_I, \alpha_I)$ is an interpretation $J = (D_J, \alpha_J)$ such that
 - $D_I = D_J$;
 - and $\alpha_I[y] = \alpha_J[y]$ for all constant, free variable, function, and predicate symbols y , except possibly x .
- An x -variant of I , where x is mapped to some $v \in D_I$ is denoted by $I[x \mapsto v]$.

$I \models \forall x . F$ iff for all $v \in D_I, I[x \mapsto v] \models F$
 $I \models \exists x . F$ iff there exists $v \in D_I, I[x \mapsto v] \models F$

SEMANTICS - QUANTIFIERS - EXAMPLE

$$D_I = \{A, B\}$$

INTERPRETATION I

$$\alpha_I(a) = B \quad \alpha_I(b) = A$$

$$\alpha_I(f) = \{(A, A) \rightarrow B, (A, B) \rightarrow B, (B, A) \rightarrow A, (B, B) \rightarrow B\}$$

$$\alpha_I(p) = \{A \rightarrow \text{True}, B \rightarrow \text{False}\}$$

$$I \models \exists x . p(x)$$

$$I \models \forall x . \neg p(f(b, x))$$

SATISFIABILITY AND VALIDITY

- A FOL formula F is **satisfiable** if there exists an interpretation I such that $I \models F$.
 - If no such interpretation exists, then it is **unsatisfiable**
- A FOL formula F is valid if for all interpretations I , $I \models F$
 - Technically, only for interpretations which assign to all the constants, variables, predicates, functions used in F .
- F is valid iff $\neg F$ is unsatisfiable.