

# PRESBURGER ARITHMETIC ( $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ )

## THE THEORY OF NATURAL NUMBERS

- Signature,  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}} : 0, 1, +, =$ 
  - 0, 1 are constants
  - + is a binary function
  - = is a binary predicate.
- Axioms:

1.  $\forall x. \neg(x + 1 = 0)$  (zero)
2.  $\forall x, y. x + 1 = y + 1 \rightarrow x = y$  (successor)
3.  $F[0] \wedge (\forall x. F[x] \rightarrow F[x + 1]) \rightarrow \forall x. F[x]$  (induction)
4.  $\forall x. x + 0 = x$  (plus zero)
5.  $\forall x, y. x + (y + 1) = (x + y) + 1$  (plus successor)



# PRESBURGER ARITHMETIC

## INTERPRETATION

- |   |                  |
|---|------------------|
| 1. $\forall x. \neg(x + 1 = 0)$   | (zero)           |
| 2. $\forall x, y. x + 1 = y + 1 \rightarrow x = y$                                  | (successor)      |
| 3. $F[0] \wedge (\forall x. F[x] \rightarrow F[x + 1]) \rightarrow \forall x. F[x]$ | (induction)      |
| 4. $\forall x. x + 0 = x$   | (plus zero)      |
| 5. $\forall x, y. x + (y + 1) = (x + y) + 1$  | (plus successor) |

- The intended  $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ -interpretation is  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of natural numbers
- Does there exist a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  which is also a  $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ -interpretation?
  - Which axiom(s) will be violated by any finite subset?
- Are negative numbers allowed by the axioms?



# PRESBURGER ARITHMETIC

## EXAMPLES

- Examples of  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formulae
  - $\forall x . \exists y . x = y + 1$
  - $3x + 5 = 2y$ 
    - Can be expressed as  $(x + x) + (1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1) = (y + y)$
  - $\forall x . \exists y . x + f(y) = 5$  is not a  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula
- How to express  $x < y$  and  $x \leq y$ ?
  - $\exists z . z \neq 0 \wedge y = x + z$
  - $\exists z . y = x + z$



# PRESBURGER ARITHMETIC

## EXPANDING TO THEORY OF INTEGERS

- How to expand the domain to negative numbers?
  - $x + y < 0$
  - Converted to  $(x_p - x_n) + (y_p - y_n) < 0$
  - Converted to  $x_p + y_p < x_n + y_n$
  - Converted to  $\exists z. z \neq 0 \wedge x_p + y_p + z = x_n + y_n$



# THEORY OF INTEGERS ( $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ )

## LINEAR INTEGER ARITHMETIC

### SIGNATURE:

$$\{ \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \} \cup \{ \dots, -3\cdot, -2\cdot, 2\cdot, 3\cdot, \dots \} \cup \{ +, -, =, <, \leq \}$$

- Signature:
  - $\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$  are constants
  - $\dots, -3\cdot, -2\cdot, 2\cdot, 3\cdot, \dots$  are unary functions to represent coefficients of variables
  - $+, -$  are binary functions
  - $=, <, \leq$  are binary predicates.
- Any  $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -formula can be converted to a  $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula.



# PRESBURGER ARITHMETIC

## DECIDABILITY

- Validity in quantifier-free fragment of Presgurber Arithmetic is decidable
  - NP-Complete
- Validity in full Presburger Arithmetic is also decidable
  - Super Exponential Complexity :  $O(2^{2^n})$
- Conjunctions of quantifier-free linear constraints can be solved efficiently
  - Using Simplex Method or Omega test.
- Presburger Arithmetic is also complete
  - For any closed  $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula  $F$ , either  $T_{\mathbb{N}} \models F$  or  $T_{\mathbb{N}} \models \neg F$



# THEORY OF EQUALITY ( $T_{=}$ )

- One of the simplest first-order theories
  - $\Sigma_{=}$  : All symbols used in FOL and the special symbol  $=$
  - Allows uninterpreted functions and predicates, but  $=$  is interpreted.
- Axioms of Equality

- |  |                |
|--|----------------|
| 1. $\forall x. x = x$                                      | (reflexivity)  |
| 2. $\forall x, y. x = y \rightarrow y = x$                 | (symmetry)     |
| 3. $\forall x, y, z. x = y \wedge y = z \rightarrow x = z$ | (transitivity) |



# AXIOMS OF EQUALITY

- **Function Congruence:** For a n-ary function  $f$ , two terms  $f(\vec{x})$  and  $f(\vec{y})$  are equal if  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are equal:

$$\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y}. \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = y_i \right) \rightarrow f(\vec{x}) = f(\vec{y})$$

- **Predicate Congruence:** For a n-ary predicate  $p$ , two formulas  $p(\vec{x})$  and  $p(\vec{y})$  are equivalent if  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are equal:

$$\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y}. \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = y_i \right) \rightarrow (p(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow p(\vec{y}))$$



# AXIOMS OF EQUALITY

- Function Congruence and Predicate Congruence are actually **Axiom Schemes**, which can be instantiated with any function or predicate to get axioms.
  - Similar to the induction axiom scheme in Presburger arithmetic.
- For example, for a unary function  $g$ , the function congruence axiom is:
  - $\forall x, y . x = y \rightarrow g(x) = g(y)$



# SEMANTIC ARGUMENT METHOD IN $T_{=}$

- We can use the semantic argument method to prove validity modulo  $T_{=}$ .
- Along with the usual proof rules, axioms of equality can be used to derive facts.
- As usual, we look for a contradiction in all branches.



# EXAMPLE

Prove that  $F : a = b \wedge b = c \rightarrow g(f(a), b) = g(f(c), a)$  is valid

|     |   |                            |
|-----|---|----------------------------|
| 1.  | $I \not\models F$                       | assumption                 |
| 2.  | $I \models a = b \wedge b = c$          | 1, $\rightarrow$           |
| 3.  | $I \not\models g(f(a), b) = g(f(c), a)$ | 1, $\rightarrow$           |
| 4.  | $I \models a = b$                       | 2, $\wedge$                |
| 5.  | $I \models b = c$                       | 2, $\wedge$                |
| 6.  | $I \models a = c$                       | 4, 5, (transitivity)       |
| 7.  | $I \models f(a) = f(c)$                 | 6, (function congruence)   |
| 8.  | $I \models b = a$                       | 4, (symmetry)              |
| 9.  | $I \models g(f(a), b) = g(f(c), a)$     | 7, 8 (function congruence) |
| 10. | $I \models \perp$                       | 3, 9                       |



# DECIDABILITY OF VALIDITY IN $T_{=}$

- $T_{=}$  being an extension of FOL, the validity problem is clearly undecidable.
- However, validity in the quantifier-free fragment of  $T_{=}$  is decidable, but NP-complete.
- Conjunctions of quantifier-free equality constraints can be solved efficiently.
- **Congruence closure algorithm** can be used to decide satisfiability of **conjunctions of equality constraints** in **polynomial time**



# THEORY OF RATIONALS

- Theory of Rationals ( $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )
  - Also called Linear Real Arithmetic.
  - Same symbols as Presburger arithmetic, but many more axioms.
    - Interpretation is  $\mathbb{R}$ .
  - Example:  $\exists x. 2x = 3$ . Satisfiable in  $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
    - Is it satisfiable in  $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ?
  - Conjunctive quantifier-free fragment is efficiently decidable in polynomial time.



# THEORIES ABOUT DATA STRUCTURES

- So far, we have looked at theories of numbers and arithmetic.
- But, we can also formalize behaviour of data structures using theories.
  - Very useful for automated verification



# THEORY OF ARRAYS ( $T_A$ )

- Signature,  $\Sigma_A : \{ \cdot [ \cdot ], \cdot \langle \cdot \triangleleft \cdot \rangle, = \}$
- $a[i]$  is a binary function
  - Read array  $a$  at index  $i$
  - Returns the value read.
- $a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle$  is a ternary function
  - Write value  $v$  at index  $i$  in array  $a$
  - Returns the modified array.
- $=$  is a binary predicate



# EXAMPLES

- $(a\langle 2 \triangleleft 5 \rangle)[2] = 5$ 
  - Write the value 5 at index 2 in array  $a$ , then from the resulting array, the value at index 2 is 5.
- $(a\langle 2 \triangleleft 5 \rangle)[2] = 3$ 
  - Write the value 5 at index 2 in array  $a$ , then from the resulting array, the value at index 2 is 3.
- According to the usual semantics of arrays, which of the formulae is valid/sat/unsat?



# AXIOMS OF $T_A$

- The axioms of  $T_A$  include reflexivity, symmetry and transitivity axioms of  $T_{=}$ .
- Array Congruence:
  - $\forall a, i, j. i = j \rightarrow a[i] = a[j]$
- Read over Write 1:
  - $\forall a, i, j, v. i = j \rightarrow a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle[j] = v$
- Read over Write 2:
  - $\forall a, i, j, v. i \neq j \rightarrow a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle[j] = a[j]$