

HOARE LOGIC

VERIFICATION CONDITION GENERATION

- We have already seen that the weakest pre-condition operator can be used to prove Hoare Triples:
 - $\{P\}c\{Q\}$ iff $P \Rightarrow wp(Q, c)$
- Finding exact wp for loops is hard. We will instead use the loop invariant as an approximate wp .
 - $awp(Q, \text{while}(F)@I \text{ do } c) = I$
 - Does this always hold?
- Also need to show that following side-conditions hold:
 - $\{I \wedge F\}c\{I\}$
 - $I \wedge \neg F \Rightarrow Q$

RELATION BETWEEN AWP AND WP

- Let us formally define *awp*:
 - $\forall \sigma \in awp(Q, c). \forall \sigma'. (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', skip) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q$
 - Homework: Prove that this holds for $awp(Q, \text{while}(F)@I \text{ do } c) = I$, when the side-conditions hold.
- We defined $wp(Q, c) \triangleq \{\sigma \mid \forall \sigma'. (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', skip) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q\}$
 - $awp(Q, c) \subseteq wp(Q, c)$

RELATION BETWEEN AWP AND WP

- Let us formally define *awp*:
 - $\forall \sigma \in awp(Q, c) . \forall \sigma' . (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', skip) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q$
 - Homework: Prove that this holds for $awp(Q, \text{while}(F)@I \text{ do } c) = I$, when the side-conditions hold.
- We defined $wp(Q, c) \triangleq \{\sigma \mid \forall \sigma' . (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', skip) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q\}$
 - $awp(Q, c) \subseteq wp(Q, c)$
- $awp(i \geq 0, \text{while}(i < n)@(i \geq 0) \text{ do } i := i+1;) = ???$

RELATION BETWEEN AWP AND WP

- Let us formally define *awp*:
 - $\forall \sigma \in \text{awp}(Q, c). \forall \sigma'. (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', \text{skip}) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q$
 - Homework: Prove that this holds for $\text{awp}(Q, \text{while}(F)@I \text{ do } c) = I$, when the side-conditions hold.
- We defined $\text{wp}(Q, c) \triangleq \{\sigma \mid \forall \sigma'. (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', \text{skip}) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q\}$
 - $\text{awp}(Q, c) \subseteq \text{wp}(Q, c)$
- $\text{awp}(i \geq 0, \text{while}(i < n)@(i \geq 0) \text{ do } i := i+1;) = i \geq 0$

RELATION BETWEEN AWP AND WP

- Let us formally define *awp*:
 - $\forall \sigma \in \text{awp}(Q, c). \forall \sigma'. (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', \text{skip}) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q$
 - Homework: Prove that this holds for $\text{awp}(Q, \text{while}(F)@I \text{ do } c) = I$, when the side-conditions hold.
- We defined $\text{wp}(Q, c) \triangleq \{\sigma \mid \forall \sigma'. (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', \text{skip}) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q\}$
 - $\text{awp}(Q, c) \subseteq \text{wp}(Q, c)$
- $\text{awp}(i \geq 0, \text{while}(i < n)@(i \geq 0) \text{ do } i := i+1;) = i \geq 0$
 - $\text{wp}(i \geq 0, \text{while}(i < n)@(i \geq 0) \text{ do } i := i+1;) = ???$

RELATION BETWEEN AWP AND WP

- Let us formally define *awp*:
 - $\forall \sigma \in \text{awp}(Q, c). \forall \sigma'. (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', \text{skip}) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q$
 - Homework: Prove that this holds for $\text{awp}(Q, \text{while}(F)@I \text{ do } c) = I$, when the side-conditions hold.
- We defined $\text{wp}(Q, c) \triangleq \{\sigma \mid \forall \sigma'. (\sigma, c) \hookrightarrow^* (\sigma', \text{skip}) \rightarrow \sigma' \in Q\}$
 - $\text{awp}(Q, c) \subseteq \text{wp}(Q, c)$
- $\text{awp}(i \geq 0, \text{while}(i < n)@(i \geq 0) \text{ do } i := i+1;) = i \geq 0$
 - $\text{wp}(i \geq 0, \text{while}(i < n)@(i \geq 0) \text{ do } i := i+1;) = n \geq 0 \vee i \geq 0$

VC GENERATION - I

- We define $VC(Q, c)$ to collect the side-conditions needed for verifying that Q holds after execution of c .
- For $\text{while}(F)@I \text{ do } c$, there are two side-conditions:
 - $\{I \wedge F\}c\{I\}$
 - $I \wedge \neg F \Rightarrow Q$
- $\{I \wedge F\}c\{I\}$ is valid if $I \wedge F \Rightarrow \text{awp}(I, c)$.
 - c may contain loops, so we also need to consider $VC(I, c)$.
- Hence,
$$VC(Q, \text{while}(F)@I \text{ do } c) \triangleq (I \wedge \neg F \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (I \wedge F \Rightarrow \text{awp}(I, c)) \wedge VC(I, c)$$

VC GENERATION - II

- $VC(Q, x:=e) \triangleq true$
 - Also defined as *true* for all simple program commands (assert, assume, havoc).
- $VC(Q, c_1; c_2) \triangleq ???$

VC GENERATION - II

- $VC(Q, x:=e) \triangleq true$
 - Also defined as *true* for all simple program commands (assert, assume, havoc).
- $VC(Q, c_1; c_2) \triangleq VC(Q, c_2) \wedge VC(awp(Q, c_2), c_1)$

VC GENERATION - II

- $VC(Q, x:=e) \triangleq true$
 - Also defined as *true* for all simple program commands (assert, assume, havoc).
- $VC(Q, c_1; c_2) \triangleq VC(Q, c_2) \wedge VC(awp(Q, c_2), c_1)$
- $VC(Q, \text{if}(F) \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2) \triangleq ???$

VC GENERATION - II

- $VC(Q, x:=e) \triangleq true$
 - Also defined as *true* for all simple program commands (assert, assume, havoc).
- $VC(Q, c_1; c_2) \triangleq VC(Q, c_2) \wedge VC(awp(Q, c_2), c_1)$
- $VC(Q, \text{if}(F) \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2) \triangleq VC(Q, c_1) \wedge VC(Q, c_2)$

VC GENERATION - III

- $awp(Q, c) \triangleq wp(Q, c)$ except for while loops, for which $awp(Q, \text{while}(F)@l \text{ do } c) = l$.
- Putting it all together, $\{P\}c\{Q\}$ is valid if the following FOL formula is valid:
 - $(P \rightarrow awp(Q, c)) \wedge VC(Q, c)$

RELATION BETWEEN AWP AND HOARE TRIPLES

- What is the relation between $awp(Q, c)$ and validity of the Hoare Triple $\{P\}c\{Q\}$?
 - Is it possible that $P \rightarrow awp(Q, c)$ is valid and $\{P\}c\{Q\}$ is not valid?
 - Is it possible that $\{P\}c\{Q\}$ is valid and $\neg(P \rightarrow awp(Q, c))$ is satisfiable?
 - How about $\neg(P \rightarrow wp(Q, c))$?

VC GENERATION

SOUNDNESS AND COMPLETENESS

- Is the VC generation procedure sound?
 - Yes. Prove this!
- Is the VC generation procedure complete?
 - No. It is not even relatively complete.
 - The annotated loop invariant may not be strong enough.
- Can the VC generation procedure be fully automated?
 - Yes. Whole point of the exercise!