15 Barbados

September 24, 2025

1 How has the relationship between urbanization and internet adoption evolved in Barbados between 1990 and 2020?

1.1 Abstract

Using World Bank World Development Indicators (WDI), this study examines the evolution of urbanization and internet adoption in Barbados between 1990 and 2020. Over this thirty-year period, the share of the population living in urban areas decreased slightly, while internet usage surged dramatically, reflecting the rapid digital transformation of society despite modest shifts in urban demographics. This divergence underscores that technological adoption can advance independently of population concentration in urban centers, highlighting the multidimensional and sometimes decoupled nature of development. By juxtaposing urbanization and internet adoption trajectories, the analysis demonstrates that social and technological progress can follow distinct paths, emphasizing the importance of integrating digital infrastructure strategies with broader urban and economic planning.

1.2 1. Question

How has the relationship between urbanization and internet adoption evolved in Barbados between 1990 and 2020?

- Urbanization proxy: Urban population (% of total population)
- Internet adoption proxy: Individuals using the Internet (% of population)

1.3 2. Data

- Source: World Bank World Development Indicators (WDI)
- Indicators:
 - Urban population (% of total population)
 - Individuals using the Internet (% of population)
- Coverage: Barbados, 1990–2020
- Notes: National-level data only

1.4 3. Method

- 1. Filtered dataset for Barbados.
- 2. Selected relevant columns: Year, Indicator Name, Value.
- 3. Pivoted urban population and internet usage indicators into separate columns and sorted by year.

4. Produced a dual-axis line graph comparing urbanization and internet adoption trends over time.

(Analysis is descriptive; no causal inference applied.)

1.5 4. Results

- Urban population (% of total): Decreased slightly over the period, indicating modest demographic shifts away from urban concentration.
- Internet usage (% of population): Increased sharply, reflecting rapid digital adoption and technological integration.
- Comparison: The diverging trends illustrate that digital connectivity can expand independently of urban density, emphasizing the distinct trajectories of social and technological development.

(Figure 1. Urban Population vs Internet Adoption in Barbados, 1990–2020)

(Table 1. Pivoted dataset)

1.6 5. Interpretation

- Barbados experienced significant progress in digital inclusion even as urbanization remained relatively stable.
- The decoupling of urbanization and internet adoption highlights that technological infrastructure and access can drive development independently of traditional population concentration metrics.
- Policies promoting digital literacy, internet accessibility, and ICT infrastructure are critical to ensuring equitable technological advancement across the population.

1.7 6. Limitations

- Only two indicators analyzed; other dimensions of digital and social development (e.g., broad-band quality, education, regional disparities) are not captured.
- National-level data may obscure variations in internet adoption between urban and rural areas
- Descriptive analysis only; causal mechanisms behind digital adoption and urbanization trends are not explored.

1.8 7. Next Steps / Extensions

- Investigate regional and socioeconomic variations in internet adoption to identify equity gaps.
- Compare Barbados with other Caribbean nations to understand relative digital transformation.
- Explore correlations between internet adoption and economic outcomes, education, or social mobility.
- Examine policy interventions or infrastructure investments that accelerated internet adoption despite modest urban population changes.
- [1]: # How has the relationship between urbanization and internet adoption evolved...
 in Barbados between 1990 and 2020?

```
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import os
# Folders
data_raw_folder = "data_raw/"
data_clean_folder = "data_clean/"
figures_folder = "figures/"
# Load CSV
filename = "barbados_combined.csv" # Filtered dataset with only relevant rows
df = pd.read_csv(os.path.join(data_raw_folder, filename))
# Keep only needed columns
df = df[["Year", "Indicator Name", "Value"]]
# Convert Year and Value to numeric, drop invalid rows
df["Year"] = pd.to_numeric(df["Year"], errors="coerce")
df["Value"] = pd.to_numeric(df["Value"], errors="coerce")
df = df.dropna(subset=["Year", "Value"])
# Pivot indicators into separate columns
df_pivot = df.pivot(index="Year", columns="Indicator Name", values="Value").
 →reset_index()
df_pivot = df_pivot.sort_values("Year")
print("Pivoted Barbados dataset:")
display(df_pivot)
# Interpolate missing values for smooth plotting (optional)
df plot = df pivot.interpolate(method='linear')
# Plot the two indicators
plt.figure(figsize=(10,6))
plt.plot(df_plot["Year"], df_plot["Urban population (% of total population)"],
        marker='o', linestyle='-', label="Urban population (% of total_
 ⇔population)")
plt.plot(df_plot["Year"], df_plot["Individuals using the Internet (% of_
 ⇔population)"],
        marker='o', linestyle='-', label="Individuals using the Internet (% of__
→population)")
plt.title("Barbados: Urban Population vs Individuals Using the Internet (%)
 plt.xlabel("Year")
plt.ylabel("Percentage")
```

\

Pivoted Barbados dataset:

| Indicator Name | Year | Individuals | using | the | Internet | (% of | population) |
|----------------|------|-------------|-------|-----|----------|-------|-------------|
| 0 | 1990 | | 0 | | | | 0.00000 |
| 1 | 1991 | | | | | | NaN |
| 2 | 1992 | | | | | | NaN |
| 3 | 1993 | | | | | | NaN |
| 4 | 1994 | | | | | | NaN |
| 5 | 1995 | | | | | | 0.00775 |
| 6 | 1996 | | | | | | 0.38900 |
| 7 | 1997 | | | | | | 0.78300 |
| 8 | 1998 | | | | | | 1.97000 |
| 9 | 1999 | | | | | | 2.38000 |
| 10 | 2000 | | | | | | 3.97000 |
| 11 | 2001 | | | | | | 11.90000 |
| 12 | 2002 | | | | | | 27.80000 |
| 13 | 2003 | | | | | | 39.70000 |
| 14 | 2004 | | | | | | 49.80000 |
| 15 | 2005 | | | | | | 52.50000 |
| 16 | 2006 | | | | | | 55.30000 |
| 17 | 2007 | | | | | | 58.20000 |
| 18 | 2008 | | | | | | 61.40000 |
| 19 | 2009 | | | | | | 64.70000 |
| 20 | 2010 | | | | | | 65.10000 |
| 21 | 2011 | | | | | | 66.50000 |
| 22 | 2012 | | | | | | 71.20000 |
| 23 | 2013 | | | | | | 71.80000 |
| 24 | 2014 | | | | | | 71.90000 |
| 25 | 2015 | | | | | | 72.00000 |
| 26 | 2016 | | | | | | 72.20000 |
| 27 | 2017 | | | | | | 72.30000 |
| 28 | 2018 | | | | | | 72.40000 |
| 29 | 2019 | | | | | | 74.20000 |
| 30 | 2020 | | | | | | 76.00000 |

Indicator Name Urban population (% of total population) 0 37.370

| 1 | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| | | | |
| 4 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | | | |
| 30 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 37. | 006 |
|-----|-----|
| 36. | 643 |
| 36. | 283 |
| 35. | 924 |
| 35. | 566 |
| 35. | 210 |
| 34. | 856 |
| 34. | 503 |
| 34. | 152 |
| 33. | 827 |
| 33. | 629 |
| 33. | 431 |
| 33. | 234 |
| 33. | 037 |
| 32. | 841 |
| 32. | 646 |
| 32. | 451 |
| 32. | 256 |
| 32. | 063 |
| 31. | 870 |
| 31. | 700 |
| 31. | 553 |
| 31. | 429 |
| 31. | 328 |
| 31. | 249 |
| 31. | 193 |
| 31. | 159 |
| 31. | 147 |
| | 158 |
| 31. | 191 |
| | |

