Name: Kasturi Basu Roll: 10020017900013

Paper Code: STSPC0R05P

Paper: Lab-1

A data, frame: 31×3 Girth Height Volume

20.6

mean(trees\$Volume)

mean(trees\$Height)

median(trees\$Volume)

median(trees\$Height)

16.4378464434647

sd(trees\$Height)

regrsn_eq_v_on_g

regrsn_eq_v_on_h

Coefficients: (Intercept)

-87.124

Summary

Residuals:

Girth

Residuals: Min

Coefficients:

Height

Volume= -87.124+1.543*Height

Coefficients:

(Intercept)

6.3718129288296

In [12]:

In [13]:

In [15]:

In [16]:

In [22]:

In [23]:

In [2]:

In [4]:

76

24.2

77.0

Q1: Inserting Dataset on "TREES" In [1]:

trees

<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
8.3	70	10.3
8.6	65	10.3
8.8	63	10.2
10.5	72	16.4
10.7	81	18.8
10.8	83	19.7
11.0	66	15.6
11.0	75	18.2
11.1	80	22.6
11.2	75	19.9
11.3	79	24.2
11.4	76	21.0
11.4	76	21.4
11.7	69	21.3
12.0	75	19.1
12.9	74	22.2
12.9	85	33.8
13.3	86	27.4
13.7	71	25.7
13.8	64	24.9
14.0	78	34.5
14.2	80	31.7
14.5	74	36.3
16.0	72	38.3
16.3	77	42.6
17.3	81	55.4
17.5	82	55.7
17.9	80	58.3
18.0	80	51.5
18.0	80	51.0
10.0	00	31.0

30.1709677419355

Finding Mean of each column of "TREES"

mean(trees\$Girth) 13.2483870967742

Finding Median of each column of "TREES"

regrsn_eq_v_on_g = lm(Volume~Girth, data=dataset)

regrsn_eq_v_on_h = lm(Volume~Height, data= dataset)

lm(formula = Volume ~ Girth, data = dataset)

Girth

Height

1.543

median(trees\$Girth)

In [11]: sd(trees\$Volume)

Finding Standard Deviation(sd) of each column of "TREES"

sd(trees\$Girth) 3.13813861683875

In [14]: dataset= trees

Finding Regression Equation of Volume on Girth(regrsn_eq_v_on_g)

-36.943 5.066 This result shows the Intercept and the Beta coefficient for the Girth variable. The estimated regression line of volume on girth can be written as: Volume=

Finding Regression equation of Volume on Height(regrsn eq v on h)

Call: lm(formula = Volume ~ Height, data = dataset)

This result shows the Intercept and the Beta coefficient for the Height variable. The estimated regression line of Volume on Height can be written as:

summary(regrsn_eq_v_on_g) Call: lm(formula = Volume ~ Girth, data = dataset)

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

Min 1Q Median 3Q

5.0659

-8.065 -3.107 0.152 3.495 9.587

1Q Median -21.274 -9.894 -2.894 12.068 29.852

Residual standard error: 4.252 on 29 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9353, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9331 F-statistic: 419.4 on 1 and 29 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Intercept) -36.9435 3.3651 -10.98 7.62e-12 ***

Max

0.2474 20.48 < 2e-16 ***

summary(regrsn_eq_v_on_h) lm(formula = Volume ~ Height, data = dataset)

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 13.4 on 29 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.3579, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3358 F-statistic: 16.16 on 1 and 29 DF, p-value: 0.0003784 From the first summary, i.e, Volume on Girth, std.error of intercept and girth are 3.3651 and 0.2474 respectively and from the second summary, i.e, Volume on Height, std.error of intercept and 29.2731 and 0.3839 respectively.

So, we can say Volume on Girth prediction is less error prone than prediction of Volume on Height. So, Girth is more worthwhile than Height for explaining Volume.