



Welcome to our study! We are conducting research concerning people's intuitions about human action and knowledge. Participation in this study will involve filling out a questionnaire. The questionnaire is anonymous. We anticipate that it will take approximately 5 minutes.

You will be presented with one short fictional story. Please, read it carefully and answer the questions that follow. There are no "good", "correct", "bad" or "incorrect" answers. We wish to learn how people interpret the use of language in certain circumstances.

Faculty of Philosophy, University of Warsaw, Poland

The project is financed by National Science Center (2018/29/B/HS1/02861)

- A1. **Don, Jane, and Tim went shopping at a nearby mall. Don bought the Samsung TV he'd dreamed about for quite a reasonable price. Jane and Tim went into the store with him. Tim shouted, "Look! iPhones! What a great price!" Jane read the small print out loud. It turned out that what Tim took to be the price was actually only the discount. He walked away without making a purchase. Jane was particularly happy about the discount on the coffee maker she wanted. After about an hour, they went for a pizza.**

Who bought the iPhone?

Jane	<input type="checkbox"/>
Don	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tim	<input type="checkbox"/>
All of them	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of them	<input type="checkbox"/>



You will now be presented with a short story.

After reading it, you will be asked several questions.

The story will remain visible at the top of the page while you answer the questions.

B1. if(att != 'A5', 1,0)

The vice-president of a company went to the CEO and said, 'We are thinking of starting a new program. It will help us increase profits, but it will also harm the environment.'

The CEO answered, 'I don't care at all about harming the environment. I just want to make as much profit as I can. Let's start the new program.'

They started the new program. Sure enough, the environment was harmed.

C1. To what extent do you agree with the following claims:



The vice-president of a company went to the CEO and said, 'We are thinking of starting a new program. It will help us increase profits, but it will also harm the environment.'

The CEO answered, 'I don't care at all about harming the environment. I just want to make as much profit as I can. Let's start the new program.'

They started the new program. Sure enough, the environment was harmed.

D1. Which of the following statements best explains why you agreed (or tended to agree) that

the CEO knew that the environment would be harmed.

The CEO had reasons to believe that the environment would be harmed.

The CEO believed that the environment would be harmed.

The CEO was aware that the environment could be harmed.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)

D2. Which of the following statements best explains why you disagreed (or tended to disagree) that

the CEO knew that the environment would be harmed.

The CEO had no reasons to believe that the environment would be harmed.

The CEO did not believe that the environment would be harmed.

The CEO was not aware that the environment could be harmed.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)



D3. Which of the following statements best explains why you neither agreed nor disagreed with the claim that

The CEO knew that the environment would be harmed.

It was not clear whether the CEO did or did not have reasons to believe that the environment would be harmed.

It was not clear whether the CEO did or did not believe that the environment would be harmed.

It was not clear whether the CEO was or was not aware that the environment could be harmed.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)

D4. Please, explain.

D5. Please, explain.

D6. Please, explain.



The vice-president of a company went to the CEO and said, 'We are thinking of starting a new program. It will help us increase profits, but it will also harm the environment.'

The CEO answered, 'I don't care at all about harming the environment. I just want to make as much profit as I can. Let's start the new program.'

They started the new program. Sure enough, the environment was harmed.

E1. Which of the following statements best explains why you agreed (or tended to agree) that

the CEO knew that implementing the new program would harm the environment.

The CEO had reasons to believe that implementing the program would harm the environment.

The CEO believed that implementing the program would harm the environment.

The CEO was aware that implementing the program could harm the environment.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)

E2. Which of the following statements best explains why you disagreed (or tended to disagree) that

the CEO knew that implementing the new program would harm the environment.

The CEO had no reasons to believe that implementing the program would harm the environment.

The CEO did not believe that implementing the program would harm the environment.

The CEO was not aware that implementing the program could harm the environment.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)



E3. Which of the following statements best explains why you neither agreed nor disagreed with the claim that

the CEO knew that implementing the new program would harm the environment.

It was not clear whether the CEO did or did not have reasons to believe that implementing the program would harm the environment.

It was not clear whether the CEO did or did not believe that implementing the program would harm the environment.

It was not clear whether the CEO was or was not aware that implementing the program could harm the environment.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)

E4. Please, explain.

E5. Please, explain.

E6. Please, explain.



The vice-president of a company went to the CEO and said, 'We are thinking of starting a new program. It will help us increase profits, but it will also harm the environment.'

The CEO answered, 'I don't care at all about harming the environment. I just want to make as much profit as I can. Let's start the new program.'

They started the new program. Sure enough, the environment was harmed.

F1. Which of the following statements best explains why you agreed (or tended to agree) that

the CEO knew that he would harm the environment:

The CEO had reasons to believe that he would harm the environment.

The CEO believed that he would harm the environment.

The CEO was aware that he could harm the environment.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)

F2. Which of the following statements best explains why you disagreed (or tended to disagree) that

the CEO knew that he would harm the environment:

The CEO had no reasons to believe that he would harm the environment.

The CEO did not believe that he would harm the environment.

The CEO was not aware that he could harm the environment.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)



F3. Which of the following statements best explains why you neither agreed nor disagreed with the claim that

the CEO knew that he would harm the environment:

It was not clear whether the CEO did or did not have reasons to believe that he would harm the environment.

It was not clear whether the CEO did or did not believe that he would harm the environment.

It was not clear whether the CEO was or was not aware that he could harm the environment.

Other (Please explain)

Other (Please explain)

F4. Please, explain.

F5. Please, explain.

F6. Please, explain.



The vice-president of a company went to the CEO and said, 'We are thinking of starting a new program. It will help us increase profits, but it will also harm the environment.'

The CEO answered, 'I don't care at all about harming the environment. I just want to make as much profit as I can. Let's start the new program.'

They started the new program. Sure enough, the environment was harmed.

G1. Did the CEO intentionally harm the environment?

- Yes
No

Please give some basic information on yourself

H1. What is the highest level of education you have completed?

- Did not complete High School
High School/GED
Some College
Bachelor's degree
Master's degree
Advanced Graduate work or Doctoral degree

H2. Have you ever studied philosophy?

- Yes
No

H3. What is your Prolific ID?



Confirmation code: {SID}{SAVEDID}

Thank you for participating in our study!

**If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Katarzyna Kuś
(kkus@uw.edu.pl), Faculty of Philosophy, University of Warsaw**