

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Navigating immunosuppression in a pandemic: A guide for the dermatologist from the COVID Task Force of the Medical Dermatology Society and Society



Omid Zahedi Niaki, MD,^a Milan J. Anadkat, MD,^b Steven T. Chen, MD, MPH, MS-HPEd,^{c,d} Lindy P. Fox, MD,^e Joanna Harp, MD,^f Robert G. Micheletti, MD,^g Vinod E. Nambudiri, MD MBA,^a Helena B. Pasieka, MD MS,^h Michi M. Shinohara, MD,ⁱ Misha Rosenbach, MD,^g and Joseph F. Merola, MD, MMSc^{a,j}

of Dermatology Hospitalists

Boston, Massachusetts; St Louis, Missouri; San Francisco, California; New York, New York; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Washington, DC; and Seattle, Washington

Dermatologists treating immune-mediated skin disease must now contend with the uncertainties associated with immunosuppressive use in the context of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic. Although the risk of infection with many commonly used immunosuppressive agents remains low, direct data evaluating the safety of such agents in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are scarce. This article reviews and offers guidance based on currently available safety data and the most recent COVID-19 outcome data in patients with immune-mediated dermatologic disease. The interdisciplinary panel of experts emphasizes a stepwise, shared decision-making approach in the management of immunosuppressive therapy. The goal of this article is to help providers minimize the risk of disease flares while simultaneously minimizing the risk of iatrogenic harm during an evolving pandemic. (J Am Acad Dermatol 2020;83:1150-9.)

Key words: autoimmune disease; COVID-19; dermatology-rheumatology; immunosuppression; immunosuppressive therapy; medical dermatology; SARS-CoV-2.

n the context of the current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, physicians treating patients with immune-mediated

dermatologic diseases are tasked with challenging decisions when initiating immunosuppressive therapy or altering the existing regimens of their patients.

From the Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston^a; the Division of Dermatology, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine^b; the Department of Internal Medicine,^c and Department of Dermatology,^d Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston; the Department of Dermatology, University of California, San Francisco^e; the Department of Dermatology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York^f; the Departments of Dermatology and Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia⁹; the Department of Dermatology, Georgetown University School of Medicine, Medstar Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DCh; the Division of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattleⁱ; and the Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston.^j

Drs Rosenbach and Merola contributed equally to the manuscript development (cosenior authors).

Funding sources: None.

Conflicts of interest: Dr Niaki has participated in an advisory board for Eli Lilly. Dr Anadkat is currently serving as consultant for Boehringer-Ingelheim, NovoCure, and OnQuality and as an investigator for Biogen, Lutris, AnaptyxBio,

Boehringer-Ingelheim, OnQuality, Norvartis, ChemoCentryx, UCB Biopharma, and XBiotech. Dr Shinohara has been a principle investigator for clinical trials (Actelion, Soligenix, MiRAGEN). Dr Rosenbach, has served as a consultant for Merck, aTyr, Processa, and Janssen and has received research support from Processa and salary support from JAMA Dermatology. Dr Merola is a consultant and/or investigator for Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AbbVie, Dermavant, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Janssen, UCB, Celgene, Sanofi, Regeneron, Arena, Sun Pharma, Biogen, Pfizer, EMD Sorono, Avotres, and LEO Pharma. Drs Chen, Fox, Harp, Micheletti, Nambudiri, and Pasieka have no conflicts of interest to declare.

IRB approval status: Not required.

Accepted for publication June 10, 2020.

Reprints not available from the authors.

Correspondence to: Omid Zahedi Niaki, MD, 41 Avenue Louis Pasteur, 319, Boston, MA 02115. E-mail: OmidZahediMD@gmail.com.

Published online June 19, 2020.

0190-9622/\$36.00

 $\ensuremath{\text{@}}$ 2020 by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.06.051

This article will examine relevant systemic drug safety data obtained from clinical trials, registries, and cohort studies across disciplines to provide expert-derived guidance in the face of much uncertainty.

BASELINE RISK IN IMMUNE-MEDIATED DERMATOLOGIC DISORDERS

Evaluating the baseline infection risk of patients is an important aspect of risk stratification. Several immune-mediated conditions, such as psoriasis and lupus, are associated with increased infection risk irrespective of exposure to immunomodulatory

agents.^{1,2} Many of these conditions are further associated with comorbidities known to predict poor outcomes in COVID-19 infection (eg, diabetes and obesity in patients with pso-

riasis, asthma in patients with atopic dermatitis, and interstitial lung disease with connective tissue disorders).³ Each patient presents a unique set of variables that dictate the approach to their therapy plan. A summary of these considerations is found in Table I.

LEARNING FROM THE PAST

COVID-19 appears to follow a disease course similar to previous highly pathogenic coronavirus infections (ie, Middle East respiratory syndrome [MERS] and severe acute respiratory syndrome [SARS]), with up to 20% of hospitalized patients progressing to potentially fatal acute respiratory distress syndrome.4 Risk factors for poor outcomes during the SARS and MERS outbreaks included older age and the presence of comorbidities such as obesity, diabetes, heart disease, lung disease, and renal disease. Immunosuppression alone was not identified as a significant risk factor for primary infection or death,^{5,6} and several milder or attenuated cases of infection were reported in immunosuppressed populations.^{7,8} Corroborating this, a National Institutes of Health-funded animal study showed that macagues immunosuppressed with cyclophosphamide had significantly lower rates of lung pathology despite active MERS-CoV

infection.9 It has been hypothesized that severe pulmonary involvement is likely secondary to an excessive inflammatory response, characterized by macrophage hyperactivation and high levels of proinflammatory cytokines. 10

It is thought that dampening the hyperinflammatory reaction outweighs the risk of temporary impair-

> ment in antiviral immunity. Numerous clinical trials are underway to examine the therapeutic potential various immunosuppressive immunomodulatory agents in the treatment of COVID-19 and the potential associated cytokine storm. Existing drug safety data and relevant trial data are presented below.

· This article adds to the limited literature on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and immunosuppression. It provides

CAPSULE SUMMARY

expert opinion based on existing drug safety data and recent COVID-19 outcome data in patients with immunemediated dermatologic disorders.

 The goal is to facilitate management of immunosuppressive drugs and minimize potential for harm in this patient population.

DATA REGARDING INFECTION RISK AND **COMPLICATIONS OF IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE**

AND IMMUNOMODULATORY AGENTS Glucocorticoids

Evidence linking systemic corticosteroids to increased infection risk comes from a meta-analysis of 71 clinical trials, which found an increased overall rate of infection in patients receiving systemic corticosteroids (relative risk [RR], 1.6; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.3-1.9). 11 The risk was dosedependent and minimal with daily doses <10 mg. 11,12 Similarly, observational data from the large Corrona registry (Corrona, LLC, Waltham, MA) also found increased overall infection risk with prednisone >10 mg/d in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (incidence rate ratio, 1.30; 95% CI, 1.1-1.5). 13

Therapeutic potential in COVID-19. Data evaluating the use of systemic corticosteroids in COVID-19 are limited. Recently, the preliminary results of a large randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted in the United Kingdom revealed reduced 28-day mortality and hospital length of stay in oxygen requiring or ventilated patients treated with dexamethasone 6 mg daily for 10 days as compared with usual care. 14 Conversely, data from the SARS and MERS epidemics are conflicting and many studies have revealed no mortality benefit, delayed viral clearance, and evidence for harm. 15-17 A similar lack of benefit and potential for harm has been noted in other viral pneumonias, including influenza and respiratory syncytial virus. 18,19

Abbreviations used:

CI: confidence interval coronavirus disease 2019

IL: interleukin

MERS: Middle East respiratory syndrome

OR: odds ratio RR: relative risk

SARS: severe acute respiratory syndrome SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome

coronavirus 2

TNF: tumor necrosis factor
URI: upper respiratory tract
VTE: venous thromboembolism

Conclusions. There is evidence for harm without a clear mortality benefit when systemic corticosteroids have been used to treat MERS and SARS-associated pneumonia. Existing safety data also indicates that daily doses of systemic corticosteroids >10 mg of prednisone equivalent are associated with an increased susceptibility to overall infection. Recently however, preliminary evidence from a large RCT suggests that the use of dexamethasone reduces mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Further data are needed to define the optimal use and potential complications of systemic corticosteroid use in the setting of COVID-19.

Methotrexate

In clinical trials, methotrexate increased infection risk in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (risk ratio, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.01-1.56), compared with placebo, but not in those with other immune-mediated conditions, including psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and scleroderma. Robust data for adverse events related to methotrexate come from a recent clinical trial evaluating the efficacy of low-dose methotrexate for the treatment of atherosclerosis in patients with cardiovascular disease. Mild infections were slightly increased (hazard ratio, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.01-1.30), but there was no statistically significant increase in upper respiratory tract (URI) or influenza infections. ²¹

Conclusions. A modestly increased risk of infection associated with methotrexate has been suggested in some studies. Further data are needed to understand the impact of methotrexate on SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Antimalarial drugs

When used for their immunomodulatory properties, antimalarials have not been associated with infectious complications in systemic autoimmune disease, ^{22,23} and some studies even suggest their

use may help prevent serious infections in systemic lupus erythematosus.²

Therapeutic potential in COVID-19. Antimalarial drugs were at the forefront of the COVID-19 pandemic based on some early, uncontrolled, and small case series suggesting potential efficacy in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Although in vitro data suggest chloroquine and hydroxy-chloroquine both inhibit coronavirus, ^{24,25} available clinical data do not suggest any benefit for the treatment of patients critically ill with COVID-19. ²⁶⁻³⁰

Conclusions. The current data show no significant risk of infection or infectious complications when antimalarials are used for the treatment of immune-mediated disease and therefore suggest low potential for harm in COVID-19 infection. However, data supporting the use of antimalarials for prevention or treatment of COVID-19 are lacking at present, and use of antimalarials outside of a hospital or clinical trial is cautioned against.³¹

Oral small molecules (azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, and cyclosporine)

Using claims data, Schneeweiss et al³² examined the 6-month risk of serious and opportunistic infections in patients with atopic dermatitis. Compared with methotrexate, cyclosporine A had a 58% higher risk of overall infection (adjusted RR, 1.58; 95% CI, 1.17-2.15). In the same study, azathioprine had double the infection risk (RR, 1.78; 95% CI, 0.98-3.25), whereas mycophenolate mofetil tripled the risk (RR, 3.31; 95% CI, 1.94-5.64). A separate study comparing patients with systemic lupus erythematosus newly treated with mycophenolate mofetil or azathioprine found no differences in rates of serious infections.³³ Studies that examined the incidence of viral infections found that herpes zoster virus infections are increased with azathioprine 34,35 and that cytomegalovirus infections are increased with mycophenolate mofetil, ³⁶ although the latter appears to be limited to patients who have received a transplant.

Therapeutic potential in COVID-19. Some authors have suggested the use of cyclosporine in the treatment of COVID-19³⁷ based on its ability to inhibit coronavirus replication in vitro.^{38,39} That said, its clinical efficacy has not been proven, and there are no ongoing clinical trials in patients with COVID-19.

Conclusions. Azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, and cyclosporine A increase the risk of infection relative to reference agents such as methotrexate, warranting increased caution and discussion with patients regarding starting or continuing therapy.

Table I. Summary of key considerations in the shared decision-making process concerning immunosuppressive and immunomodulatory therapy during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic

- 1. The severity of the underlying disease, with special consideration given to a history of flares with medication changes and potential need for emergency care.
- 2. The patient's underlying risk factors (eg, comorbidities).
- 3. Contextual factors impacting patient risk (eg, high-risk occupation, caregiver roles, at-risk individuals in the home or work environment, etc).
- 4. The patient's preferences and level of risk tolerance.
- 5. The level of exposure to health care settings dictated by the need for monitoring laboratory tests or administration of the drug (eg, infusions), or both.
- 6. The relative level of immunosuppression attributed to a given therapy or combination of therapies based on available information.

Apremilast

Long-term safety data from open-label extension studies in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis do not show an increased risk of serious infections compared with placebo. 40,41 Similarly, URI and nasopharyngitis rates were comparable in the placebo-controlled phases of these studies.

Conclusions. The available data suggest a low risk of infection associated with the use of apremilast and, therefore, low potential for harm in COVID-19 infection.

Janus kinase inhibitors

The bulk of the safety data regarding Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors comes from the use of tofacitinib in rheumatoid arthritis. Analysis of the most recent tofacitinib data from randomized controlled trials and long-term extension studies in rheumatoid arthritis reveals serious infection rates of 2.4 per 100 patient-years, 42 comparable to the event rates reported for biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs^{43,44} and baricitinib.⁴⁵ A particular concern with JAK inhibition is the risk of varicella zoster virus reactivation and antiviral immunity. This appears to be a class effect due to impairment of the interferon antiviral response. It has been noted across all indications and occurs at an increased rate with both selective and nonselective JAK inhibitors. 46-48

Therapeutic potential in COVID-19. Ruxolitinib (NCT04362137) and baricitinib (NCT04280705) are currently being evaluated in phase III clinical trials for potential therapeutic effect in patients critically ill with COVID-19. It is suggested that preferential inhibition of JAK1 and JAK2 significantly downregulates the production of interleukin (IL) 6 and interferon- γ , both of which are implicated in the hyperinflammatory state seen in patients critically ill with COVID-19. 10,49 Moreover, unlike tofacitinib, baricitinib and ruxolitinib have demonstrated

antiviral activity via inhibition of receptormediated endocytosis of SARS-CoV-2 particles.50

Additional concerns in COVID-19. A high rate of venous thromboembolism (VTE) has been noted in COVID-19, occurring in up to one-third of critically ill patients, despite prophylactic anticoagulation. 51,52 Because JAK inhibitors carry a "black box warning" of increased VTE risk, there is reasonable concern that their use may increase the likelihood or severity of VTE when used in patients with COVID-19.⁵³

Conclusions. The use of JAK inhibitors is associated with an increased risk of serious infection, herpes zoster virus reactivation, and VTE. However, recent studies show potential direct antiviral and anti-inflammatory effects in COVID-19, seemingly unique to ruxolitinib and baricitinib. Taken together, there are insufficient data at this time to fully characterize the risk of harm or benefit in SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Anticytokine biologic therapy

Data from BIOBADADERM (Spanish Registry of Adverse Events for Biological Therapy in Dermatological Diseases), a Spanish registry designed to study the safety of systemic therapy in psoriasis, showed an increased risk of overall infection with tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors compared with ustekinumab and nonbiologic drugs (acitretin, cyclosporine, and methotrexate). Of all TNF inhibitors, infliximab had the highest risk of infection (adjusted risk ratio, 1.71; 95% CI, 1.1-2.65).⁵⁴ Similarly, data from the large Psoriasis Longitudinal Assessment and Registry (PSOLAR) indicated a higher risk of serious infections with adalimumab and infliximab compared with nonbiologic drugs.⁵⁵ Conversely, no increased risk of serious infections was noted when ustekinumab and

Table II. Summary of large case series and registry data of autoimmune disease patients infected or exposed to SARS-CoV-2

	Studies		
Characteristics*	Haberman et al ⁷¹	Gianfrancesco et al ⁷²	Brenner et al ⁷³
Total number of patients [†]	86	110	525
Confirmed cases of COVID-19	59 (69)	110 (100)	525 (100)
Age, mean y	46	N/A [‡]	43
Female sex	49 (57)	79 (72)	243 (46.3)
Most common diagnosis	PsA: 21 (24)	RA: 40 (36)	CD: 312 (59.4)
Other diagnoses	RA: 20 (23)	PsA: 19 (17)	UC: 203 (38.7)
	CD: 20 (23)	SLE: 19 (17)	IBD unspecified: 7 (1.3)
	UC: 17 (20)	Other: 17 (15) [§]	·
	Psoriasis: 14 (16)	AS: 7 (6)	
	AS: 9 (10)	Vasculitis: 7 (6)	
		SjS: 5 (5)	
Medications		•	
Biologic drugs	TNFi: 38 (44)	Unspecified: 49 (45)	TNFi alone: 176 (33.5)
	IL-17i: 6 (7)	·	IL-12/23i: 55 (10.5)
	IL-12/23i: 6 (7)		TNFi + DMARD: 52 (9.9)
	IL-23i: 3 (3)		α -Integrin: 50 (9.5)
JAKi	6 (7)	5 (5)	8 (1.5)
csDMARD	MTX: 17 (20)	69 (63)	110 (21)
Hydroxychloroquine	8 (9)	N/A	0
Hospitalization	14 (16)	39 (35)	161 (31)
Deaths	1 (1)	6 (5)	16 (3)

AS, Ankylosing spondylitis; CD, Crohn's disease; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; csDMARD, conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IL, interleukin; JAK, Janus kinase inhibitor; MTX, methotrexate; N/A, not available; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SARS-Cov-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SjS, Sjögren syndrome; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; TNFi, tumor necrosis factor-α inhibitor; UC, ulcerative colitis.

etanercept were compared with nonbiologic drugs. 55

Recently, Murrell et al⁵⁶ reviewed data from pivotal clinical trials in psoriasis and found that URIs were increased with TNF inhibitors compared with placebo but that URI rates were comparable between placebo and IL-17, IL-12/23, and IL-23 inhibitors. A different group also reviewed the clinical trial data for all 11 biologic therapies approved in psoriasis and found no significant increase in URI or influenza infection rates compared with placebo.⁵⁷ In contrast, Wan et al⁵⁸ recently published a meta-estimate of pivotal clinical trials with 3 IL-17 inhibitors and found an increased risk of viral and nonviral URIs compared with placebo (odds ratio [OR], 1.56; 95% CI, 1.04-2.33).

Conclusions. Taken together, these data suggest a low risk of harm with IL-12/23 or IL-23 inhibition. Further data are needed regarding any potential increased risk of viral and nonviral URIs associated with IL-17 inhibition. The data presented indicate

higher rates of overall infection and URIs with TNF inhibitors; however, ongoing trials investigating the therapeutic potential of adalimumab in the COVID-19—related cytokine storm are still underway, and this precludes any definitive comments relating to the safety of TNF inhibitors in COVID-19.

Rituximab

Reactivation of chronic viral infections, such as hepatitis B and cytomegalovirus, is a rare yet well-reported adverse effect of rituximab. Other viral illnesses, such as URIs and nasopharyngitis, are frequently reported, but clinical trial data in rheumatoid arthritis suggest similar overall rates of infections when rituximab is compared with methotrexate plus placebo. Long-term observational studies also show that this risk remains stable with repeated infusions. Data in the dermatologic literature are limited, but a randomized controlled trial in pemphigus vulgaris showed a similar rate of infections in the rituximab plus

^{*}Data are shown as number (%) or as indicated otherwise.

[†]Includes both confirmed and highly suspected cases of COVID-19.

[‡]20% of patients were aged >65 years.

 $^{^{\}S}$ Other included (all with n < 5): inflammatory myopathy, ocular inflammation, other inflammatory arthritis, polymyalgia rheumatica, sarcoidosis, systemic sclerosis, osteoporosis, psoriasis, isolated pulmonary capillaritis, gout, and autoinflammatory disease.

Table III. Guidance statements for management of immunosuppression during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic*

Patients well-controlled on maintenance therapy

We suggest continuing immunosuppressive/immunomodulatory therapy at the lowest necessary effective dose, because immunosuppression alone does not appear to predict poor COVID-19 outcomes.

We do note the following exceptions:

- a. Long-term systemic steroids should be tapered to daily doses <10 mg prednisone equivalent, if safe to do so.
- b. Patients on recurrent rituximab dosing may benefit from immunoglobulin level testing. If hypogammaglobulinemia exists, consider altering/delaying dose or initiating immunoglobulin therapy, or both.

Patients requiring initiation of treatment We suggest the following:

- a. On the basis of disease severity and baseline risk, consider favoring low-risk immunomodulatory agents (eg, apremilast, hydroxychloroquine, methotrexate) over immunosuppressive agents with a higher known or suspected risk of infection (see Table II).
- b. When medically appropriate, opt for short courses of low to moderate doses of systemic corticosteroids over high-dose regimens (eg, <20 mg prednisone equivalent daily for <2 weeks); if needed for maintenance therapy, consider doses <10 mg daily.
- c. When possible, avoid starting rituximab, due to its prolonged B-cell-depleting effects, if equally efficacious alternative therapies are available; exceptions include mucous membrane blisterina disorders.
- d. If possible, use alternatives to cyclosporine and other agents requiring intensive toxicity/ safety monitoring.

Patients with active COVID-19 infection, including COVID-19⁺ testing (even in the absence of symptoms)

Patients with active

skin disease

immune-mediated

Although the potential benefit of immunosuppression is being studied in the context of cytokine storm, we suggest that, if possible, immunosuppressive therapy be held in patients testing positive for COVID-19, even if asymptomatic, with the concern being the complication of bacterial infections and pneumonia. Many systemic immunosuppressive drugs have long half-lives, and temporary cessation of therapy is unlikely to result in disease flare. Individual discussions may be considered for severe flares with potentially organthreatening manifestations. Treatment can resume after recovery (refer to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or local health authority for recovery criteria).

Exceptions to the above include:

- a. Systemic steroids may be tapered but not stopped abruptly. Providers should follow recommendations for corticosteroid stress doses in critically ill patients.
- b. Drugs with minimal immunosuppressive potential (e.g., apremilast, antimalarials) may be continued after thorough patient assessment (refer to Table I).
- a. When possible, maximize/optimize nonimmunosuppressive therapy, such as topical steroids, immunomodulatory agents (eg, apremilast, antimalarials, and retinoids), and antiinflammatory medications (eg, tetracycline or macrolide class antibiotics).
- b. High-dose systemic corticosteroids should be avoided where possible, and taper plans should be kept to the minimum necessary dose and duration.

*With limited COVID-specific safety data available on any given treatment, it is challenging to produce firm recommendations on the safety of immunosuppression in the context of the current pandemic. Notably, our guidance statements are based on expert opinion and interpretation of safety data deemed most relevant rather than on formal guidelines derived from high-quality comparative studies. Limitations include the heterogeneity of the safety data encompassing various autoimmune conditions and the limited reports available on the status of immunosuppressed patients affected by COVID-19. The applicability of the information and recommendations provided here are limited by the rapidly evolving nature of the COVID-19 pandemic, in addition to patient-specific and local health care constraints.

Decisions should ultimately be individualized to a specific scenario, and reflective of shared-decision making between provider and patient.

short-term steroid group compared with the steroidonly group. 64 However, it is worth noting that the rituximab group had lower cumulative exposure to prednisone.

An important consideration is the risk of prolonged hypogammaglobulinemia, especially with repeated courses of rituximab. 65 Cases characterized in the literature reveal an increased risk of URIs, pneumonias, and viral infections, including fatal instances of enteroviral meningoencephalitis. 66,67

Particular concerns in COVID-19. There is evidence to suggest that rituximab may blunt protective immune responses to vaccines. Indeed, impaired antibody responses are seen to both influenza and polysaccharide vaccines, with effects lasting up to 6 months after treatment with rituximab. 68,69

Risk	Drug	Comments	
	Systemic steroids	Strong dose-dependent risk of infection and existing evidence for harm in critically ill viral pneumonia patients although some preliminary evidence showing potential mortality benefit of dexamethasone in oxygen or ventilator dependent patients	
	Rituximab	rolonged B-cell depletion; consider potential impact on future vaccine immunity to COVID-19	
	Cyclosporine	Frequent monitoring; multiple drug-drug interactions; risk of harm is likely dose-dependent	
	Azathioprine and Mycophenolate mofetil	Association with viral infections, including HZV and CMV (transplant data)	
	JAK inhibitors	Caution regarding use due to viral infection concern (HZV) and DVT/PE risk Potentially beneficial anti-viral effect with baricitinib and ruxolitinib, but not with tofacitinib	
	TNF inhibitors	IFX likely highest risk among anti-TNF; caution with higher doses (e.g., IFX 10 mg/kg dosing)	
	IL-17 inhibitors	Possible increased URI risk suggested in recent metanalysis of clinical trial data	
	Methotrexate	Low overall risk of infections or infectious complications; may be associated with higher risk wher used in combination therapy	
	IL-12/23 inhibitor	Theoretical role of IL-12 in antiviral response, though not clearly implicated in COVID-19 pathology	
	IL-23 (p19) inhibitors		
	Apremilast		
	Dupilumab		
	Hydroxychloroquine	No significant risk of infection or infectious complications; to date, no evidence for benefit in treatment of COVID-19	
	Other:	Immunomodulatory agents (e.g. retinoids, dapsone, colchicine, etc.)	
Mi	idence suggests harm - a xed data - proceed with w risk of harm		

Fig 1. Drug safety recommendations in the context of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *CMV*, Cytomegalovirus; *DVT*, deep venous thrombosis; *HZV*, herpes zoster virus; *IL*, interleukin; *PE*, pulmonary embolus; *URI*, upper respiratory infection.

Conclusions. The data suggest increased rates of infection and infectious complications associated with the use of rituximab. Together with a long immunologic half-life and prolonged impairment of vaccine immunity, there are significant potential safety concerns, suggesting a need for increased caution during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. To minimize harm, evaluation (and potential correction) of rituximab-induced hypogammaglobulinemia may be considered among patients who receive recurrent rituximab dosing.

Not immunosuppressive

Dupilumab

A comprehensive evaluation of infection risk with dupilumab was conducted by Eichenfield et al. ⁷⁰ Their analysis pooled data from 7 randomized controlled trials in atopic dermatitis, which showed similar infection rates per 100 patient-years in the treatment and placebo groups. Specifically, the rates of viral URIs and influenza infections were lower in the dupilumab groups compared with placebo. Similarly, an observational study by Schneeweiss et al ³² found no increase in the rates of serious bacterial or opportunistic infections with dupilumab compared with methotrexate.

Conclusions. Data to date suggest a low risk of infection with the use of dupilumab and a low potential for harm in COVID-19.

DATA FROM THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

One large case series and 2 registries have reported a combined total of 721 confirmed or highly

suspected cases of COVID-19 in adult and pediatric immune-mediated inflammatory disease populations through May 19, 2020.⁷¹⁻⁷³ The results are summarized in Table II.⁷¹⁻⁷³ Haberman et al⁷¹ noted that those requiring hospitalization were more likely to have rheumatoid arthritis, had more comorbidities, were older, and had greater use of methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, and corticosteroids. They also reported an overall incidence of hospitalization of 16%, which was comparable to the 26% incidence in patients with COVID-19 in the general population in New York.⁷¹ They concluded that baseline use of biologics was not associated with worse COVID-19 outcomes.

In the inflammatory bowel disease registry, 16 deaths (3%) were identified, with half occurring in patients aged >70 years, whereas no deaths were noted in patients aged <30 years. On multivariate analysis, Brenner et al⁷³ reported a strong association between systemic steroids and the composite outcome of intensive care unit admission, ventilator use, and death (adjusted OR, 6.9; 95% CI, 2.3-20.5). In contrast, no association was seen between TNF inhibitor monotherapy and poor COVID-19 outcomes (adjusted OR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.37-2.17). Although the authors did not report on the use of IL-12/23 inhibitors, as of May 19, 2020, data from the registry (available for viewing on covidibd.org) revealed 1170 cases, with 9% (100 of 1170) of patients having poor outcomes. Among subgroups, 23% (23 of 98) of steroid users had poor outcomes

compared with only 2% (8 of 337) of patients on TNF inhibitor monotherapy and 3% (3 of 112) of patients on IL-12/23 inhibitors.

With regards to infection risk, a case-control study by Damiani et al⁷⁴ evaluated 1193 patients with psoriasis and identified only 16 cases of COVID-19 from February 21 to April 9, 2020. Compared with the general population of Lombardy, patients on biologics had a higher risk of testing positive for COVID-19 (OR, 3.43; 95% CI, 2.25-5.73) and hospitalization (OR, 3.59; 95% CI, 1.49-8.63).

Conclusion. Most of the patients on systemic immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory therapy included in these studies did not require hospitalization, and death remained a rare outcome. However, systemic corticosteroid use in this patient population appeared to increase the risk of poor outcomes in COVID-19.

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

We believe a patient-centered approach, with shared decision making informed by the data presented here, will help mitigate risk to our patients. Factors to consider are summarized in Table I. Our interpretation of the literature based on the highest quality of evidence available to date is found in Table III. The overarching principles are that immunosuppressive and immunomodulatory therapy can be safely initiated or continued in most patients. Physicians should alter and optimize regimens in favor of lower-risk options where possible, and as outlined more specifically in Table III and Fig 1.

Further data regarding the safety of these agents are needed and constitute a key unmet need for our patients.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wakkee M, de Vries E, van den Haak P, Nijsten T. Increased risk of infectious disease requiring hospitalization among patients with psoriasis: a population-based cohort. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011;65(6):1135-1144.
- 2. Herrinton LJ, Liu L, Goldfien R, Michaels MA, Tran TN. Risk of serious infection for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus starting glucocorticoids with or without antimalarials. J Rheumatol. 2016;43(8):1503-1509.
- 3. Perez-Chada LM, Merola JF. Comorbidities associated with psoriatic arthritis: review and update. Clin Immunol. 2020;214: 108397.
- 4. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020;323(11):1061-1069.
- 5. Hui DS, Azhar El, Kim Y-J, Memish ZA, Oh M, Zumla A. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: risk factors and determinants of primary, household, and nosocomial transmission. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18(8):e217-e227.
- 6. D'Antiga L. Coronaviruses and immunosuppressed patients: the facts during the third epidemic. Liver Transpl. 2020;26(6):832-834.

- 7. Lam M-F, Ooi GC, Lam B, et al. An indolent case of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2004; 169(1):125-128.
- 8. Kim S-H, Ko J-H, Park GE, et al. Atypical presentations of MERS-CoV infection in immunocompromised hosts. J Infect Chemother. 2017;23(11):769-773.
- 9. Prescott J, Falzarano D, de Wit E, et al. Pathogenicity and viral shedding of MERS-CoV in immunocompromised rhesus macaques. Front Immunol. 2018;9:205.
- 10. Merad M, Martin JC. Pathological inflammation in patients with COVID-19: a key role for monocytes and macrophages. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020;20(6):355-362.
- 11. Stuck AE, Minder CE, Frey FJ. Risk of infectious complications in patients taking glucocorticosteroids. Rev Infect Dis. 1989; 11(6):954-963.
- 12. Migita K, Sasaki Y, Ishizuka N, et al. Glucocorticoid therapy and the risk of infection in patients with newly diagnosed autoimmune disease. Medicine (Baltimore). 2013;92(5):285-293.
- 13. Greenberg JD, Reed G, Kremer JM, et al. Association of methotrexate and tumour necrosis factor antagonists with risk of infectious outcomes including opportunistic infections in the CORRONA registry. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(2):380-386.
- 14. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson J, et al. Effect of Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: Preliminary Report. medRxiv. Published online January 1, 2020:2020.06.22.2013 7273. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20137273.
- 15. Lee N, Allen Chan KC, Hui DS, et al. Effects of early corticosteroid treatment on plasma SARS-associated Coronavirus RNA concentrations in adult patients. J Clin Virol. 2004; 31(4):304-309.
- 16. Hui DS. Systemic corticosteroid therapy may delay viral clearance in patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2017;197(6): 700-701.
- 17. Arabi YM, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2017;197(6):757-767.
- 18. Lansbury L, Rodrigo C, Leonardi-Bee J, Nguyen-Van-Tam J, Lim WS. Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;2(2):CD010406.
- 19. Ni Y-N, Chen G, Sun J, Liang B-M, Liang Z-A. The effect of corticosteroids on mortality of patients with influenza pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care. 2019; 23(1):99.
- 20. Ibrahim A, Ahmed M, Conway R, Carey JJ. Risk of infection with methotrexate therapy in inflammatory diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Med. 2018;8(1):15.
- 21. Solomon DH, Glynn RJ, Karlson EW, et al. Adverse effects of low-dose methotrexate: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2020;172(6):369-380.
- 22. Ruiz-Irastorza G, Ramos-Casals M, Brito-Zeron P, Khamashta MA. Clinical efficacy and side effects of antimalarials in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(01):20-28.
- 23. Bernatsky S, Hudson M, Suissa S. Anti-rheumatic drug use and risk of serious infections in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2007;46(7):1157-1160.
- 24. Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M, et al. In vitro antiviral activity and projection of optimized dosing design of hydroxychloroquine for the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [e-pub ahead of print]. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa237. Accessed May 19, 2020.
- 25. Keyaerts E, Vijgen L, Maes P, Neyts J, Ranst MV. In vitro inhibition of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

- by chloroquine. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2004;323(1): 264-268.
- Pastick KA, Okafor EC, Wang F, et al. Review: hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19). Open Forum Infect Dis. 2020;7(4):ofaa130.
- Mahevas M, Tran V-T, Roumier M, et al. No evidence of clinical efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients hospitalized for COVID-19 infection with oxygen requirement: results of a study using routinely collected data to emulate a target trial [preprint]. medRxiv. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10. 20060699.
- Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, et al. Observational study of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(25):2411-2418.
- Magagnoli J, Narendran S, Pereira F, et al. Outcomes of hydroxychloroquine usage in United States veterans hospitalized with Covid-19 [preprint]. medRxiv. 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1101/2020.04.16.20065920.
- Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in patients mainly with mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: an open-label, randomized, controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2020;369: m1849.
- 31. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA cautions against use of hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine for COVID-19 outside of the hospital setting or a clinical trial due to risk of heart rhythm problems: does not affect FDA-approved uses for malaria, lupus, and rheumatoid arthritis. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-cautions-against-use-hydroxychloroquine-or-chloroquine-covid-19-out side-hospital-setting-or; 2020. Accessed May 19, 2020.
- Schneeweiss MC, Perez-Chada L, Merola JF. Comparative safety of systemic immuno-modulatory medications in adults with atopic dermatitis [e-pub ahead of print]. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2019.05.073. Accessed May 19, 2020.
- 33. Feldman CH, Marty FM, Winkelmayer WC, et al. Comparative rates of serious infections among patients with systemic lupus erythematosus receiving immunosuppressive medications. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2017;69(2):387-397.
- 34. Wisniewski A, Kirchgesner J, Seksik P, et al. Increased incidence of systemic serious viral infections in patients with inflammatory bowel disease associates with active disease and use of thiopurines. *United European Gastroenterol J.* 2020;8(3):303-313.
- **35.** Wolfe F, Michaud K, Chakravarty EF. Rates and predictors of herpes zoster in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and non-inflammatory musculoskeletal disorders. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2006;45(11):1370-1375.
- 36. The Tricontinental Mycophenolate Mofetil Renal Transplantation Study Group. A blinded, randomized clinical trial of mycophenolate mofetil for the prevention of acute rejection in cadaveric renal transplantation. *Transplantation*. 1996;61(7):
- Cour M, Ovize M, Argaud L. Cyclosporine A: a valid candidate to treat COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory failure? *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):276.
- **38.** de Wilde AH, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, van der Meer Y, et al. Cyclosporin A inhibits the replication of diverse coronaviruses. *J Gen Virol*. 2011;92(Pt 11):2542-2548.
- Tanaka Y, Sato Y, Sasaki T. Suppression of coronavirus replication by cyclophilin inhibitors. Viruses. 2013;5(5):1250-1260.
- 40. Crowley J, Thaçi D, Joly P, et al. Long-term safety and tolerability of apremilast in patients with psoriasis: pooled safety analysis for ≥156 weeks from 2 phase 3, randomized,

- controlled trials (ESTEEM 1 and 2). *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017; 77(2):310-317-e1.
- **41.** Kavanaugh A, Gladman DD, Edwards CJ, et al. Long-term experience with apremilast in patients with psoriatic arthritis: 5-year results from a PALACE 1—3 pooled analysis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2019;21(1):118.
- **42.** Wollenhaupt J, Lee E-B, Curtis JR, et al. Safety and efficacy of tofacitinib for up to 9.5 years in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: final results of a global, open-label, long-term extension study. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2019;21(1):89.
- 43. Vieira M-C, Zwillich SH, Jansen JP, Smiechowski B, Spurden D, Wallenstein GV. Tofacitinib versus biologic treatments in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis who have had an inadequate response to tumor necrosis factor inhibitors: results from a network meta-analysis. Clin Ther. 2016;38(12): 2628-2641.e5.
- 44. Strand V, Ahadieh S, DeMasi R, et al. THU0211 Meta-analysis of serious infections with baricitinib, tofacitinib and biologic DMARDs in rheumatoid arthritis [abstract]. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(Suppl 2):284.
- Smolen JS, Genovese MC, Takeuchi T, et al. Safety profile of baricitinib in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis with over 2 years median time in treatment. J Rheumatol. 2019; 46(1):7-18.
- **46.** Winthrop KL, Curtis JR, Lindsey S, et al. Herpes zoster and tofacitinib: clinical outcomes and the risk of concomitant therapy. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2017;69(10):1960-1968.
- **47.** Olivera PA, Lasa JS, Bonovas S, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Safety of Janus kinase inhibitors in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases or other immune-mediated diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158(6):1554-1573.e12.
- **48.** Winthrop KL, Lebwohl M, Cohen AD, et al. Herpes zoster in psoriasis patients treated with tofacitinib. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;77(2):302-309.
- McGonagle D, Sharif K, O'Regan A, Bridgewood C. The role of cytokines including interleukin-6 in COVID-19 induced pneumonia and macrophage activation syndrome-like disease. Autoimmun Rev. 2020;19(6):102537.
- Stebbing J, Phelan A, Griffin I, et al. COVID-19: combining antiviral and anti-inflammatory treatments. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(4):400-402.
- 51. Wichmann D, Sperhake J-P, Lütgehetmann M, et al. Autopsy findings and venous thromboembolism in patients with COVID-19: a prospective cohort study [e-pub ahead of print]. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-2003. Accessed May 19, 2020.
- Klok FA, Kruip MJHA, van der Meer NJM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. Thromb Res. 2020;191:145-147.
- 53. Nash P. 2019 update: EULAR RA management recommendations, efficacy and safety systematic literature reviews. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2020;79(6):679-680.
- 54. Dávila-Seijo P, Dauden E, Descalzo MA, et al. Infections in moderate to severe psoriasis patients treated with biological drugs compared to classic systemic drugs: findings from the BIOBADADERM Registry. J Investig Dermatol. 2017;137(2):313-321.
- 55. Kalb RE, Fiorentino DF, Lebwohl MG, et al. Risk of serious infection with biologic and systemic treatment of psoriasis: results from the Psoriasis Longitudinal Assessment and Registry (PSOLAR). JAMA Dermatol. 2015;151(9):961-969.
- Lebwohl M, Rivera-Oyola R, Murrell DF. Should biologics for psoriasis be interrupted in the era of COVID-19? J Am Acad Dermatol. 2020;82(5):1217-1218.

- Brownstone ND, Thibodeaux QG, Reddy VD, et al. Novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and biologic therapy in psoriasis: infection risk and patient counseling in uncertain times. *Dermatol Ther (Heidelb)*. 2020;10:339-349.
- 58. Wan MT, Shin DB, Winthrop KL, Gelfand JM. The risk of respiratory tract infections and symptoms in psoriasis patients treated with interleukin 17—pathway inhibiting biologics: a meta-estimate of pivotal trials relevant to decision-making during the COVID-19 pandemic [e-pub ahead of print]. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.05.035. Accessed May 19, 2020.
- Aksoy S, Harputluoglu H, Kilickap S, et al. Rituximab-related viral infections in lymphoma patients. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2007; 48(7):1307-1312.
- 60. Emery P, Deodhar A, Rigby WF, et al. Efficacy and safety of different doses and retreatment of rituximab: a randomised, placebo-controlled trial in patients who are biological naïve with active rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to methotrexate (Study Evaluating Rituximab's Efficacy in MTX iNadequate rEsponders (SERENE)). Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(9): 1629-1635.
- 61. Mease PJ, Cohen S, Gaylis NB, et al. Efficacy and safety of retreatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with previous inadequate response to tumor necrosis factor inhibitors: results from the SUNRISE Trial. J Rheumatol. 2010;37(5):917-927.
- **62.** van Vollenhoven RF, Fleischmann RM, Furst DE, Lacey S, Lehane PB. Longterm safety of rituximab: final report of the Rheumatoid Arthritis Global Clinical Trial Program over 11 years. *J Rheumatol*. 2015;42(10):1761-1766.
- **63.** Winthrop KL, Saag K, Cascino MD, et al. Long-term safety of rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results of a five-year observational study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2019;71(8):993-1003.
- **64.** Joly P, Maho-Vaillant M, Prost-Squarcioni C, et al. First-line rituximab combined with short-term prednisone versus prednisone alone for the treatment of pemphigus (Ritux 3): a prospective, multicentre, parallel-group, open-label randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10083):2031-2040.
- 65. Cooper N, Davies EG, Thrasher AJ. Repeated courses of rituximab for autoimmune cytopenias may precipitate

- profound hypogammaglobulinaemia requiring replacement intravenous immunoglobulin. *Br J Haematol.* 2009;146(1):120-127
- Casulo C, Maragulia J, Zelenetz AD. Incidence of hypogammaglobulinemia in patients receiving rituximab and the use of intravenous immunoglobulin for recurrent infections. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2013;13(2):106-111.
- Makatsori M, Kiani-Alikhan S, Manson AL, et al. Hypogammaglobulinaemia after rituximab treatment—incidence and outcomes. QJM. 2014;107(10):821-828.
- **68.** van Assen S, Holvast A, Benne CA, et al. Humoral responses after influenza vaccination are severely reduced in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with rituximab. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2010;62(1):75-81.
- 69. Nazi I, Kelton JG, Larché M, et al. The effect of rituximab on vaccine responses in patients with immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood*. 2013;122(11):1946-1953.
- Eichenfield LF, Bieber T, Beck LA, et al. Infections in dupilumab clinical trials in atopic dermatitis: a comprehensive pooled analysis. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2019;20(3):443-456.
- Haberman R, Axelrad J, Chen A, et al. Covid-19 in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases—case series from New York [e-pub ahead of print]. N Engl J Med. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2009567. Accessed May 19, 2020.
- Gianfrancesco MA, Hyrich KL, Gossec L, et al. Rheumatic disease and COVID-19: initial data from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance provider registries. *Lancet Rheumatol*. 2020;2(5):e250-e253.
- 73. Brenner EJ, Ungaro RC, Gearry RB, et al. Corticosteroids, but not TNF antagonists, are associated with adverse COVID-19 outcomes in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases: results from an international registry [e-pub ahead of print]. *Gastroenterology*. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020. 05.032. Accessed May 19, 2020.
- Damiani G, Pacifico A, Bragazzi NL, Malagoli P. Biologics increase the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and hospitalization, but not ICU admission and death: real-life data from a large cohort during red-zone declaration [e-pub ahead of print]. Dermatol Ther. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/dth.13475. Accessed May 19, 2020.