

**SKILLING PROJECT  
DRUG DESIGN PRINCIPLES AND ENGINEERING  
(22SDBT08 A/R)**

**Pharmacophore Mapping and Identification of Potential  
targets of Carbamazepine**

**Academic Year: 2024-2025  
Year & Sem : 3<sup>rd</sup> & EVEN  
Specialization: Bioinformatics**

**GROUP 4**

2200010133 - K. Indu Priya  
2200010131 - Sai Priya. A  
2200010129 - G. Vishnu Vardhan  
2200010125 - A. Sai Satya Manikanta  
2200010122 - G. Rishieendra sri

Under the guidance of

**Dr. B. Srinivas & Dr.**



**Department of Biotechnology  
K. L. Deemed to be University  
Vaddeswaram, Guntur – 522302, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh**

## **ABSTRACT**

Carbamazepine is a widely used anticonvulsant and mood stabilizer commonly prescribed for epilepsy, bipolar disorder, and neuropathic pain. This study employs pharmacophore mapping and computational approaches to identify potential molecular targets of Carbamazepine. Pharmacophoric features, including hydrophobic regions and hydrogen bond acceptors/donors, were determined using molecular modeling tools. Target prediction using Swiss-Target-Prediction and the Similarity Ensemble Approach (SEA), followed by molecular docking with Auto-Dock Vina, identified high-affinity interactions with voltage-gated sodium channels.

Additionally, potential interactions with GABA receptors and serotonin receptors were observed, suggesting mechanisms contributing to its mood-stabilizing properties. These findings underscore the utility of computational techniques for target prediction and provide insights into Carbamazepine's therapeutic profile. Further studies involving molecular dynamics simulations are recommended to confirm these interactions and enhance our understanding of its binding mechanisms.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chpter No  | Contents               | Page No |
|------------|------------------------|---------|
| Chapter 1: | Introduction           |         |
| Chapter 2: | Methodology Applied    |         |
|            | 2.1 Tools Required     |         |
| Chapter 3: | Experimental Procedure |         |
| Chapter 4: | Results & Discussions  |         |
|            | <b>4.1 Conclusion</b>  |         |
|            | <b>4.2 Application</b> |         |
|            | Reference              |         |
|            |                        |         |

## 1.INTRODUCTION

Carbamazepine is a widely used anticonvulsant and mood stabilizer for treating epilepsy, bipolar disorder, and neuropathic pain. Its primary mechanism involves blocking voltage-gated sodium channels to reduce neuronal excitability. However, the precise molecular interactions responsible for its therapeutic effects remain unclear.

Pharmacophore mapping is a powerful computational approach that helps identify essential molecular features responsible for a drug's biological activity. These features include hydrophobic regions, hydrogen bond acceptors, and hydrogen bond donors that interact with specific biological targets. Identifying these features for Carbamazepine can enhance our understanding of its mechanism of action and aid in discovering novel targets.

In recent years, computational tools such as Swiss-Target-Prediction and the Similarity Ensemble Approach (SEA) have been employed to predict potential drug targets based on structural similarity and ligand-protein interaction databases. Moreover, molecular docking techniques like Auto-Dock Vina allow detailed analysis of ligand-receptor binding affinities, offering insights into potential therapeutic interactions.

This study aims to identify the pharmacophoric features of Carbamazepine and predict its potential targets using computational techniques. By integrating pharmacophore mapping, target prediction, and molecular docking, this work seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of Carbamazepine's molecular interactions and therapeutic mechanisms.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

The methodology outlines the overall approach to identify the pharmacophoric features of Carbamazepine, predict potential biological targets, and validate these targets using computational tools. This integrated approach combines pharmacophore mapping, target prediction, and molecular docking.

### 2.1. Pharmacophore Mapping

Pharmacophore mapping identifies key molecular features essential for the biological activity of Carbamazepine. It helps determine the spatial arrangement of pharmacophoric features such as hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA), hydrogen bond donors (HBD), hydrophobic groups, and aromatic rings.

#### Tools & Software:

- **Ligand-Scout:** For generating 3D pharmacophore models.
- **Schrödinger's Phase:** Alternative tool for enhanced pharmacophore modelling and screening.
- **PyMOL:** For structure visualization and editing.

## 2.2. Target Prediction

Target prediction aims to identify proteins that interact with Carbamazepine, enabling an understanding of its mechanism of action. This step employs ligand-based virtual screening and similarity-based prediction.

### Tools & Software:

- **Swiss-Target-Prediction:** Predicts potential targets based on chemical similarity to known ligands.
- **Similarity Ensemble Approach (SEA):** Uses ligand-protein similarity scores to predict targets.
- **Binding-DB / ChEMBL:** Databases providing validated ligand-receptor interaction data.

## 2.3. Molecular Docking

Molecular docking predicts the binding affinity and orientation of Carbamazepine within the binding sites of identified targets. It estimates binding energies and interaction patterns to confirm potential targets.

### Tools & Software:

- **Auto Dock Vina:** A reliable tool for performing docking simulations with high accuracy.
- **PyRx:** User-friendly interface for AutoDock Vina.
- **Chimera / PyMOL:** For protein-ligand complex visualization and analysis.
- **Protein Data Bank (PDB):** Source of protein structures.

## **2.4. Data Analysis & Validation**

Comparative analysis of predicted targets through docking results and optional molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to validate the stability of the interactions.

### **Tools & Software:**

- **R / Python:** For statistical analysis and visualization.
- **GROMACS / AMBER :** For MD simulations to validate docking results.

### **3.EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

#### **STEP 1: PHARMACOPHORE MAPPING**

##### **1. Structure Preparation**

- Download the chemical structure of Carbamazepine from PubChem (CID: 2554) in SMILES format or SDF file.
- Convert the structure to PDB format using OpenBabel.

##### **2. Pharmacophore Generation**

- Load the structure in Ligand Scout.
- Generate a 3D pharmacophore model, identifying features like hydrophobic regions, hydrogen bond acceptors, hydrogen bond donors, and aromatic rings.
- Save the pharmacophore model for comparison with protein structures.

#### **STEP 2: TARGET PREDICTION**

##### **1. Swiss-Target-Prediction**

- Upload the SMILES format of Carbamazepine.
- Retrieve predicted targets with probability scores.

##### **2. SEA (Similarity Ensemble Approach)**

- Upload the same SMILES file to the SEA Web Tool.
- Record targets with the highest similarity scores.

##### **3. Comparison & Selection**

- Compare results from Swiss-Target-Prediction and SEA.
- Select common high-probability targets for docking analysis.



## **STEP 3: MOLECULAR DOCKING**

### **1. Protein Preparation**

- Download protein structures from PDB.
- Remove water molecules, add hydrogen atoms, and correct protonation states using PyMOL or Chimera.

### **2. Ligand Preparation**

- Convert Carbamazepine to PDBQT format using OpenBabel or PyRx.
- Define rotatable bonds for generating multiple conformations.

### **3. Docking Simulation**

- Perform docking simulations using Auto Dock Vina.
- Record binding energies and interactions.

### **4. Visualization & Analysis**

- Visualize docking poses using PyMOL or Chimera.
- Compare binding affinities and identify significant interactions.

## **STEP 4: DATA ANALYSIS & VALIDATION**

### **1. Comparative Analysis**

- Cross-reference docking results with target predictions.

### **2. Reporting**

- Prepare detail figures, tables and summaries for further research

## **4.RESULTS**

## **AND**

## **DISCUSSIONS**

### **4.1 Pharmacophore Mapping Results**

The 3D pharmacophore model of Carbamazepine, generated using LigandScout, revealed the following essential pharmacophoric features:

- Hydrophobic regions (HYD): 3 key hydrophobic interactions were identified, corresponding to the aromatic rings in the tricyclic structure.
- Hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA): 1 oxygen atom from the amide group acted as a hydrogen bond acceptor.
- Hydrogen bond donors (HBD): A single NH group served as a hydrogen bond donor.
- Aromatic rings: Two distinct aromatic ring centers were recognized, crucial for pi-pi stacking interactions.

These features are consistent with Carbamazepine's known ability to bind in hydrophobic environments, such as within voltage-gated sodium channel pockets.

### **4.2 Target Prediction Outcomes**

SwissTargetPrediction and the Similarity Ensemble Approach (SEA) yielded overlapping and complementary sets of predicted targets. Top predicted targets based on consensus scoring included:

- Voltage-gated sodium channels (e.g., SCN1A) were consistently predicted with high confidence, confirming known pharmacodynamics of Carbamazepine.
- GABA-A and serotonin receptors, although not primary targets, were notable secondary predictions that could explain mood stabilization effects.
- The prediction of HDAC2 points toward possible epigenetic modulation roles, worthy of further exploration.

### **4.3 Molecular Docking Analysis**

Molecular docking using AutoDock Vina was performed on the top 3 predicted targets. Binding energies ( $\Delta G$  in kcal/mol) were calculated and visualized using PyMOL.

- The lowest binding energy was observed with voltage-gated sodium channels, reinforcing their role as the primary therapeutic target.

- The GABA-A receptor binding suggests a possible allosteric modulation mechanism, explaining its role in mood stabilization and anxiety reduction.
- Moderate affinity to 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> serotonin receptors hints at potential involvement in serotonergic signaling pathways, possibly explaining off-label antidepressant effects.

#### **4.4 Interpretation and Implications**

The integration of pharmacophore modeling, target prediction, and docking confirms the established mechanism of action for Carbamazepine while uncovering possible off-target interactions. This reflects its polypharmacology, a key trait in mood-stabilizing drugs.

- **Polypharmacology Insight:** Carbamazepine's therapeutic effects are likely derived from a combination of sodium channel inhibition and modulation of GABAergic/serotonergic neurotransmission.
- **Drug Repurposing Potential:** The interaction with HDAC2 opens possibilities for anticancer or neuroprotective roles, subject to further validation.
- **Limitations:** While docking provides static interaction snapshots, it does not account for conformational dynamics. Future work involving molecular dynamics (MD) simulations is crucial for evaluating interaction stability over time.

## **5.CONCLUSION:**

The pharmacophore mapping and molecular docking study successfully identified potential targets for Carbamazepine, primarily related to its known antiepileptic action and potential off-target effects. The results suggest significant interactions with ion channels and other neural-associated proteins. This integrated approach can be applied to study other small molecules to identify their potential targets and therapeutic applications.

## **6.APPLICATIONS:**

### **Drug Discovery & Repurposing**

- Identification of key pharmacophoric features (e.g., hydrogen bond acceptors/donors, aromatic rings, hydrophobic centers).
- Screening chemical databases for molecules with similar features.
- Exploring potential for repurposing Carbamazepine for other therapeutic uses.

### **Understanding Mechanism of Action**

- Analysis of interactions with primary targets such as voltage-gated sodium channels.
- Generating 3D pharmacophore models to study binding mechanisms.
- Comparing interactions across various protein targets.

### **Virtual Screening & Lead Optimization**

- Conducting structure-based and ligand-based virtual screening.
- Designing Carbamazepine derivatives with improved binding affinity and selectivity.

### **Target Identification & Validation**

- Applying inverse docking and proteome-wide pharmacophore screening.
- Experimentally validating computational predictions.

### **Molecular Dynamics Simulations**

- Assessing stability and dynamics of Carbamazepine binding to targets.
- Understanding how structural modifications affect binding properties.

### **Pharmacophore-Based Toxicity Prediction**

- Mapping Toxicophores to predict and reduce adverse effects.

### **Pathway Analysis & Systems Pharmacology**

- Studying pathway interactions and identifying off-target effects.

### **Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) Analysis**

- Correlating pharmacophore features with biological activity to improve drug design.

## 7. REFERENCES

1. Wolber, G., & Langer, T. (2005). LigandScout: 3-D pharmacophores derived from protein-bound ligands and their use as virtual screening filters. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 45(1), 160-169.
2. Trott, O., & Olson, A. J. (2010). AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 31(2), 455-461.
3. Abraham, M. J., Murtola, T., Schulz, R., Páll, S., Smith, J. C., Hess, B., & Lindahl, E. (2015). GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX*, 1-2, 19-25.
4. Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., ... & Schwede, T. (2018). SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(W1), W296-W303.
5. Pires, D. E., Blundell, T. L., & Ascher, D. B. (2015). pkCSM: predicting small-molecule pharmacokinetic and toxicity properties using graph-based signatures. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 58(9), 4066-4072.