

Ninja Warrior - Part 1

Approximately many times would you say the ‘Salmon Ladder’ was used?

Whole Population

	Control	Truncated	Logarithmic
N	70.0000000	70.0000000	6.700000e+01
Min.	40.0000000	40.0000000	9.000000e+00
1st Qu.	41.0000000	41.0000000	3.000000e+01
Median	41.0000000	41.0000000	3.500000e+01
Mean	41.2071429	41.3535714	1.492539e+13
3rd Qu.	42.0000000	42.0000000	4.050000e+01
Max.	45.0000000	45.0000000	1.000000e+15
Var	0.7427019	0.7527045	1.492537e+28

Language comparisons

Control - Language comparison

	Whole Pop	R	Python
N	70.0000000	38.0000000	32.0000000
Min.	40.0000000	40.0000000	40.0000000
1st Qu.	41.0000000	41.0000000	40.0000000
Median	41.0000000	41.0000000	41.0000000
Mean	41.2071429	41.4868421	40.8750000
3rd Qu.	42.0000000	42.0000000	41.0000000
Max.	45.0000000	43.0000000	45.0000000
Var	0.7427019	0.4119844	0.9516129

Truncated - Language comparison

	Whole Pop	R	Python
N	70.0000000	38.0000000	32.0000000

4APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE ‘SALMON LADDER’ WAS USED?

Min.	40.0000000	40.0000000	40.0000000
1st Qu.	41.0000000	41.0000000	41.0000000
Median	41.0000000	41.0000000	41.0000000
Mean	41.3535714	41.5657895	41.1015625
3rd Qu.	42.0000000	42.0000000	41.2500000
Max.	45.0000000	45.0000000	44.0000000
Var	0.7527045	0.7590683	0.6486265

Logarithmic - Language comparison

	Whole Pop	R	Python
N	6.700000e+01	38.00000	2.900000e+01
Min.	9.000000e+00	30.00000	9.000000e+00
1st Qu.	3.000000e+01	35.00000	1.200000e+01
Median	3.500000e+01	35.00000	1.500000e+01
Mean	1.492539e+13	39.73684	3.448279e+13
3rd Qu.	4.050000e+01	40.00000	5.000000e+01
Max.	1.000000e+15	120.00000	1.000000e+15
Var	1.492537e+28	206.95590	3.448276e+28

Control - Degree comparison

	STEM	Humanities	Social Sci	Arts	Business	NA
N	29.0000000	3.000000	30.0000000	2.00	4.0000000	1
Min.	40.0000000	40.000000	40.0000000	41.00	40.0000000	41
1st Qu.	41.0000000	40.500000	41.0000000	41.25	40.7500000	41
Median	41.0000000	41.000000	41.0000000	41.50	41.5000000	41
Mean	41.1379310	41.333333	41.2333333	41.50	41.2500000	41
3rd Qu.	42.0000000	42.000000	41.0000000	41.75	42.0000000	41
Max.	42.0000000	43.000000	45.0000000	42.00	42.0000000	41
Var	0.5517241	2.333333	0.9436782	0.50	0.9166667	NA

Truncated - Degree comparison

	STEM	Humanities	Social Sci	Arts	Business	NA
N	29.0000000	3.00	31.000000	2	4.0000000	1
Min.	40.0000000	42.00	40.000000	42	40.0000000	41
1st Qu.	41.0000000	42.25	41.000000	42	40.7500000	41
Median	41.0000000	42.50	41.000000	42	41.5000000	41
Mean	41.0775862	42.50	41.483871	42	41.2500000	41
3rd Qu.	41.0000000	42.75	42.000000	42	42.0000000	41
Max.	42.0000000	43.00	45.000000	42	42.0000000	41
Var	0.2638547	0.25	1.120565	0	0.9166667	NA

Logarithmic - Degree comparison

	STEM	Humanities	Social Sci	Arts	Business	NA
N	28.00000	3.00000	3.000000e+01	2.00	4.0000	1
Min.	10.00000	9.00000	1.000000e+01	33.00	10.0000	NA
1st Qu.	26.25000	21.50000	3.400000e+01	34.75	10.3750	NA
Median	35.00000	34.00000	3.850000e+01	36.50	10.7500	NA
Mean	34.46429	26.33333	3.333337e+13	36.50	16.6250	NaN
3rd Qu.	40.00000	35.00000	5.375000e+01	38.25	17.0000	NA
Max.	120.00000	36.00000	1.000000e+15	40.00	35.0000	NA
NA's	10.00000	9.00000	1.000000e+01	33.00	10.0000	1
Var	422.10979	226.33333	3.333333e+28	24.50	150.2292	NA

Num skills - log

	uni	sp_aware	obs_skl	num_skl		log_1	log_2	log_3	log_4
101	Technology	4	4	3	Don't know	4	2	0.5	
121	None	4	3	3	Next to none.	1	1	5	
102	Social Sciences	5	5	4	10 ¹⁵	5	3	0.85	
84	psychology	3	5	1	10 ⁹	3	2	0.9	

Shapiro Tests - Whole

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: control_1

W = 0.81359, p-value = 5.596e-08

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: truncated_1

W = 0.82679, p-value = 1.327e-07

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: logarithmic_1

W = 0.10138, p-value < 2.2e-16

Shapiro Tests - Language comp

6 APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: control_1_r
W = 0.80497, p-value = 1.322e-05

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: truncated_1_r
W = 0.77542, p-value = 3.428e-06

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: logarithmic_1_r
W = 0.43931, p-value = 6.923e-11

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: control_1_py
W = 0.67942, p-value = 4.341e-07

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: truncated_1_py
W = 0.82735, p-value = 0.0001392

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: logarithmic_1_py
W = 0.18384, p-value = 1.315e-11

Shapiro Tests - Degree comp

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: control_1_stem
W = 0.80615, p-value = 0.0001079

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: control_1_hum
W = 0.96429, p-value = 0.6369

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: control_1_socsci
W = 0.71199, p-value = 2.343e-06

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: control_1_bus
W = 0.86337, p-value = 0.2725

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: truncated_1_stem
W = 0.76518, p-value = 2.107e-05

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: truncated_1_hum
W = 1, p-value = 1

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: truncated_1_socsci
W = 0.83208, p-value = 0.0002153

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: truncated_1_bus
W = 0.86337, p-value = 0.2725

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: logarithmic_1_stem
W = 0.70878, p-value = 3.801e-06

8APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: logarithmic_1_hum

W = 0.80523, p-value = 0.127

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: logarithmic_1_socsci

W = 0.17962, p-value = 7.766e-12

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: logarithmic_1_bus

W = 0.66137, p-value = 0.003736

Sign tests - Whole pop

One-sample Sign-Test

data: control_1

s = 22, p-value = 0.1214

alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41

95 percent confidence interval:

41 41

sample estimates:

median of x

41

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9278	41	41
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	41
Upper Achieved CI	0.9586	41	41

One-sample Sign-Test

data: truncated_1

s = 28, p-value = 0.002563

alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41

95 percent confidence interval:

41.00 41.25

sample estimates:

median of x

41

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9278	41	41.25
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	41.25
Upper Achieved CI	0.9586	41	41.25

One-sample Sign-Test

data: logarithmic_1

s = 15, p-value = 1.572e-05

alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41

95 percent confidence interval:

34.00546 40.00000

sample estimates:

median of x

35

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9136	35.0000	40
Interpolated CI	0.9500	34.0055	40
Upper Achieved CI	0.9502	34.0000	40

Sign tests - Lang comp

One-sample Sign-Test

data: control_1_r

s = 18, p-value = 7.629e-05

alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41

95 percent confidence interval:

41 42

sample estimates:

median of x

41

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9270	41	42
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	42
Upper Achieved CI	0.9664	41	42

One-sample Sign-Test

```

data:  truncated_1_r
s = 18, p-value = 0.0004025
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
 41 42
sample estimates:
median of x
      41

```

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9270	41	42
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	42
Upper Achieved CI	0.9664	41	42

One-sample Sign-Test

```

data:  logarithmic_1_r
s = 5, p-value = 1.291e-05
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
 35 40
sample estimates:
median of x
      35

```

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9270	35	40
Interpolated CI	0.9500	35	40

Upper Achieved CI 0.9664 35 40

One-sample Sign-Test

data: control_1_py
s = 4, p-value = 0.1185
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
40 41
sample estimates:
median of x
41

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9499	40	41
Interpolated CI	0.9500	40	41
Upper Achieved CI	0.9799	40	41

One-sample Sign-Test

data: truncated_1_py
s = 10, p-value = 0.6291
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
41.00000 41.00085
sample estimates:
median of x
41

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9499	41	41.0000
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	41.0009
Upper Achieved CI	0.9799	41	41.2500

One-sample Sign-Test

data: logarithmic_1_py
s = 10, p-value = 0.136

12APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
13.00000 46.53159
sample estimates:
median of x
15

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9386	13	45.0000
Interpolated CI	0.9500	13	46.5316
Upper Achieved CI	0.9759	13	50.0000

Sign tests - Deg comp

One-sample Sign-Test

data: control_1_stem
s = 10, p-value = 0.4545
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
41 42
sample estimates:
median of x
41

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9386	41	42
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	42
Upper Achieved CI	0.9759	41	42

One-sample Sign-Test

data: control_1_hum
s = 1, p-value = 1
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
75 percent confidence interval:
40 43
sample estimates:

median of x
41

One-sample Sign-Test

data: control_1_socsci
s = 7, p-value = 0.5488
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
41 41
sample estimates:
median of x
41

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9013	41	41
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	41
Upper Achieved CI	0.9572	41	41

One-sample Sign-Test

data: control_1_arts
s = 1, p-value = 1
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
50 percent confidence interval:
41 42
sample estimates:
median of x
41.5

One-sample Sign-Test

data: control_1_bus
s = 2, p-value = 1
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
87.5 percent confidence interval:
40 42
sample estimates:
median of x
41.5

14APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

One-sample Sign-Test

```
data: control_1_none
s = 0, p-value = 1
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
0 percent confidence interval:
 41 41
sample estimates:
median of x
      41
```

One-sample Sign-Test

```
data: truncated_1_stem
s = 6, p-value = 0.7539
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
 41 41
sample estimates:
median of x
      41
```

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9386	41	41
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	41
Upper Achieved CI	0.9759	41	41

One-sample Sign-Test

```
data: truncated_1_hum
s = 3, p-value = 0.25
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
75 percent confidence interval:
 42 43
sample estimates:
median of x
      42.5
```

One-sample Sign-Test

```

data:  truncated_1_socsci
s = 15, p-value = 0.01921
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
  41 42
sample estimates:
median of x
      41

```

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9292	41	42
Interpolated CI	0.9500	41	42
Upper Achieved CI	0.9706	41	42

One-sample Sign-Test

```

data:  truncated_1_arts
s = 2, p-value = 0.5
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
50 percent confidence interval:
  42 42
sample estimates:
median of x
      42

```

One-sample Sign-Test

```

data:  truncated_1_bus
s = 2, p-value = 1
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
87.5 percent confidence interval:
  40 42
sample estimates:
median of x
      41.5

```

One-sample Sign-Test

```

data:  truncated_1_none

```

16APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

s = 0, p-value = 1
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
0 percent confidence interval:
41 41
sample estimates:
median of x
41

One-sample Sign-Test

data: logarithmic_1_stem
s = 4, p-value = 0.0005335
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
95 percent confidence interval:
30.55584 40.00000
sample estimates:
median of x
35

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9128	32.0000	40
Interpolated CI	0.9500	30.5558	40
Upper Achieved CI	0.9643	30.0000	40

One-sample Sign-Test

data: logarithmic_1_hum
s = 0, p-value = 0.25
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41
75 percent confidence interval:
9 36
sample estimates:
median of x
34

One-sample Sign-Test

data: logarithmic_1_socsci
s = 11, p-value = 0.2005
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41

95 percent confidence interval:

35 50

sample estimates:

median of x

38.5

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9013	35	50
Interpolated CI	0.9500	35	50
Upper Achieved CI	0.9572	35	50

One-sample Sign-Test

data: logarithmic_1_arts

s = 0, p-value = 0.5

alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41

50 percent confidence interval:

33 40

sample estimates:

median of x

36.5

One-sample Sign-Test

data: logarithmic_1_bus

s = 0, p-value = 0.125

alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41

87.5 percent confidence interval:

10 35

sample estimates:

median of x

10.75

One-sample Sign-Test

data: logarithmic_1_none

s = 0, p-value = 1

alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 41

-100 percent confidence interval:

NA NA

18APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

sample estimates:
median of x
NA

Approximately how much more than 'Log Grip' would you say 'Salmon Ladder' was was used?

[1] n (control) = 70

[1] n (truncated) = 70

[1] n (logarithmic) = 70

control	truncated	logarithmic
Min. :3.000	Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000
1st Qu.:4.250	1st Qu.:5.000	1st Qu.:2.250
Median :5.000	Median :6.000	Median :3.500
Mean :5.357	Mean :5.871	Mean :3.671
3rd Qu.:6.000	3rd Qu.:7.000	3rd Qu.:5.000
Max. :7.000	Max. :7.000	Max. :7.000
Var :1.334	Var :1.998	Var :2.746

[1] n (control) = 38

[1] n (truncated) = 38

[1] n (logarithmic) = 38

control_r	truncated_r	logarithmic_r
Min. :3.0	Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000
1st Qu.:5.0	1st Qu.:5.000	1st Qu.:3.000
Median :6.0	Median :6.000	Median :5.000
Mean :5.5	Mean :5.895	Mean :4.263
3rd Qu.:6.0	3rd Qu.:7.000	3rd Qu.:5.750
Max. :7.0	Max. :7.000	Max. :7.000
Var :1.284	Var :1.772	Var :2.523

[1] n (control) = 32

[1] n (truncated) = 32

[1] n (logarithmic) = 32

control_py	truncated_py	logarithmic_py
Min. :3.000	Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000
1st Qu.:4.000	1st Qu.:5.750	1st Qu.:2.000
Median :5.000	Median :6.000	Median :3.000

Mean	:5.188	Mean	:5.844	Mean	:2.969
3rd Qu.	:6.000	3rd Qu.	:7.000	3rd Qu.	:4.000
Max.	:7.000	Max.	:7.000	Max.	:7.000
Var	:1.383	Var	:2.33	Var	:2.16

Approximately how much more than ‘Quintuple Steps’ would you say ‘Salmon Ladder’ was used?

control	logarithmic	truncated	
Min.	:1.000	Min.	:2.000
1st Qu.	:2.000	1st Qu.	:3.000
Median	:3.000	Median	:4.000
Mean	:3.129	Mean	:3.771
3rd Qu.	:4.000	3rd Qu.	:4.750
Max.	:7.000	Max.	:7.000

Ninja Warrior - Part 2

How large would you say the difference between ‘Jumping spider’ and ‘Salmon Ladder’ is?

[1] n (default) = 70

[1] n (narrower) = 70

[1] n (wider) = 70

default	wider	narrower			
Min.	:4.000	Min.	:2.000	Min.	:3.000
1st Qu.	:5.000	1st Qu.	:5.000	1st Qu.	:6.000
Median	:6.000	Median	:6.000	Median	:6.000
Mean	:5.914	Mean	:5.357	Mean	:6.129
3rd Qu.	:7.000	3rd Qu.	:6.000	3rd Qu.	:7.000
Max.	:7.000	Max.	:7.000	Max.	:7.000
Var	:0.775	Var	:1.363	Var	:0.867

[1] n (default) = 70

[1] n (narrower) = 67

[1] n (wider) = 64

default	wider	narrower			
Min.	:4.000	Min.	:4.000	Min.	:5.000
1st Qu.	:5.000	1st Qu.	:5.000	1st Qu.	:6.000
Median	:6.000	Median	:6.000	Median	:6.000
Mean	:5.914	Mean	:5.543	Mean	:6.257

20APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

3rd Qu.:	7.000	3rd Qu.:	6.000	3rd Qu.:	7.000
Max.	:7.000	Max.	:7.000	Max.	:7.000
Var	:0.775	Var	:0.816	Var	:0.526

How large would you say the difference between 'Log Grip' and 'Floating Steps' is?

[1] n (default) = 70

[1] n (narrower) = 70

[1] n (wider) = 70

default	wider	narrower
Min. :2.000	Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000
1st Qu.:2.000	1st Qu.:2.000	1st Qu.:2.000
Median :3.000	Median :3.000	Median :3.000
Mean :3.057	Mean :3.057	Mean :3.214
3rd Qu.:4.000	3rd Qu.:4.000	3rd Qu.:4.000
Max. :7.000	Max. :5.000	Max. :7.000
Var :1.301	Var :0.866	Var :1.214

How many times would you say 'Floating Steps' were used?

[1] n (default) = 70

[1] n (narrower) = 70

[1] n (wider) = 70

default	wider	narrower
Min. :26.00	Min. :24.00	Min. :23.00
1st Qu.:27.12	1st Qu.:27.00	1st Qu.:27.00
Median :28.00	Median :28.00	Median :28.00
Mean :27.97	Mean :28.04	Mean :27.39
3rd Qu.:28.00	3rd Qu.:29.00	3rd Qu.:28.00
Max. :33.00	Max. :30.00	Max. :29.00
Var :0.977	Var :1.93	Var :0.871

[1] n (default) = 65

[1] n (narrower) = 67

[1] n (wider) = 70

default	wider	narrower
Min. :26.00	Min. :24.00	Min. :26.00

1st Qu.:	27.00	1st Qu.:	27.00	1st Qu.:	27.00
Median	:28.00	Median	:28.00	Median	:28.00
Mean	:27.76	Mean	:28.04	Mean	:27.53
3rd Qu.:	28.00	3rd Qu.:	29.00	3rd Qu.:	28.00
Max.	:29.00	Max.	:30.00	Max.	:29.00
Var	:0.36	Var	:1.93	Var	:0.336

Comparisons

Ninja Warrior - Part 3

How many times would you say 'Floating Steps' were used in the Finals (Regional/City) round?

[1] n (stacked) = 70

[1] n (grouped) = 70

Stacked	Grouped
Min. : 9.00	Min. :10.0
1st Qu.:10.00	1st Qu.:11.0
Median :11.00	Median :11.0
Mean :14.33	Mean :11.8
3rd Qu.:14.00	3rd Qu.:12.0
Max. :35.00	Max. :40.0
Var :54.83	Var :13.15

[1] Number of outliers (stacked): 12

[1] Number of outliers (grouped): 1

	Stacked	Grouped
Min.	9	10
1st Qu.	10	11
Median	10	11
Mean	11.1206896551724	11.3913043478261
3rd Qu.	12	12
Max.	20	17
Var	:3.862	:1.477

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
27.00	27.75	30.00	29.83	30.00	35.00

Shapiro test for the responses for the stacked bar plot

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

22APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

```
data:  stacked_1
W = 0.70313, p-value = 1.566e-09
```

Shapiro test for the responses for the stacked bar plot

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

```
data:  grouped_1
W = 0.29757, p-value < 2.2e-16
```

Sign test for the responses for the stacked bar plot

One-sample Sign-Test

```
data:  stacked_1
s = 16, p-value = 0.01535
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 11
95 percent confidence interval:
 10 11
sample estimates:
median of x
      10
```

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9131	10	11
Interpolated CI	0.9500	10	11
Upper Achieved CI	0.9521	10	11

Sign test for the responses for the grouped bar plot

One-sample Sign-Test

```
data:  grouped_1
s = 28, p-value = 0.009475
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 11
95 percent confidence interval:
 11 12
sample estimates:
median of x
      11
```

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9278	11	12
Interpolated CI	0.9500	11	12
Upper Achieved CI	0.9586	11	12

T-test on samples from the distribution of responses for the stacked bar plot

One Sample t-test

```
data: means
t = 5.3818, df = 99, p-value = 4.957e-07
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 11
95 percent confidence interval:
 11.06325 11.13712
sample estimates:
mean of x
 11.10018
```

T-test on samples from the distribution of responses for the grouped bar plot

One Sample t-test

```
data: means
t = 22.6, df = 99, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 11
95 percent confidence interval:
 11.73563 11.87724
sample estimates:
mean of x
 11.80644
```

Running t-tests on the means, however, we see both sets of responses differ statistically significantly from the true value.

How many times would you say ‘Log Grip’ was used in the Finals (Regional/City) round?

```
[1] n (stacked) = 70
```

```
[1] n (grouped) = 70
```

24APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

Stacked	Grouped
Min. : 6.00	Min. : 2.000
1st Qu.: 8.00	1st Qu.: 8.000
Median : 9.00	Median : 9.000
Mean :10.57	Mean : 9.057
3rd Qu.:10.00	3rd Qu.:10.000
Max. :25.00	Max. :15.000
Var :23.93	Var :1.968

[1] Number of outliers (stacked): 11

[1] Number of outliers (grouped): 1

	Stacked	Grouped
Min.	6	2
1st Qu.	8	8
Median	8	9
Mean	8.54237288135593	9.05714285714286
3rd Qu.	10	10
Max.	11	15
Var	:1.39	:1.968

Shapiro test for the responses for the stacked bar plot

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: stacked_2
W = 0.91294, p-value = 0.0004528

m-out-of-n bootstrap symmetry test by Miao, Gel, and Gastwirth (2006)

data: stacked_2
Test statistic = 4.4756, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: the distribution is asymmetric.
sample estimates:
bootstrap optimal m
16

Shapiro test for the responses for the stacked bar plot

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: grouped_2

W = 0.7287, p-value = 4.611e-10

m-out-of-n bootstrap symmetry test by Miao, Gel, and Gastwirth (2006)

```
data:  grouped_2
Test statistic = 0.63113, p-value = 0.678
alternative hypothesis: the distribution is asymmetric.
sample estimates:
bootstrap optimal m
                62
```

Sign test for the responses for the stacked bar plot

One-sample Sign-Test

```
data:  stacked_2
s = 16, p-value = 0.03999
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 9
95 percent confidence interval:
 8 9
sample estimates:
median of x
      8
```

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9326	8	9
Interpolated CI	0.9500	8	9
Upper Achieved CI	0.9637	8	9

Sign test for the responses for the grouped bar plot

One-sample Sign-Test

```
data:  grouped_2
s = 23, p-value = 0.644
alternative hypothesis: true median is not equal to 9
95 percent confidence interval:
 9 9
sample estimates:
median of x
```

26 APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

9

Achieved and Interpolated Confidence Intervals:

	Conf.Level	L.E.pt	U.E.pt
Lower Achieved CI	0.9278	9	9
Interpolated CI	0.9500	9	9
Upper Achieved CI	0.9586	9	9

T-test on samples from the distribution of responses for the stacked bar plot

One Sample t-test

```
data: means
t = -38.491, df = 99, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 9
95 percent confidence interval:
 8.525401 8.571934
sample estimates:
mean of x
 8.548668
```

T-test on samples from the distribution of responses for the grouped bar plot

One Sample t-test

```
data: means
t = 5.0349, df = 99, p-value = 2.147e-06
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 9
95 percent confidence interval:
 9.04145 9.09537
sample estimates:
mean of x
 9.06841
```

Please select the statement you feel applies to the bar chart above.

	Equal	Less	More
Stacked	27	31	11
Grouped	60	5	2

Which obstacle do you think was used MORE in Finals (Regional/City) rounds, 'Log Grip' or 'Floating Steps'?

	Floating	Steps	Log Grip	Both the same
Stacked		56	2	12
Grouped		57	4	9

Which bar chart do you feel is easiest to read and interpret?

Grouped Stacked
59 11

	Grouped	Stacked
Set A	10	3
Set B	11	1
Set C	9	1
Set D	11	1
Set E	8	3
Set F	10	2

Which colour scheme do you find most aesthetically pleasing?

Pairing ID	Main Colour Palette	Secondary Colour Palette
A	Viridis	Default
B	Default	Viridis
C	Default	Greyscale
D	Greyscale	Default
E	Viridis	Greyscale
F	Greyscale	Viridis

	A	B
Set A	7	6
Set B	6	6
Set C	9	1
Set D	3	9
Set E	11	0
Set F	1	11

Do you feel that one of the colour schemes makes it easier to read and interpret?
If so, please select which one.

	A	B	None
[1,]	7	0	6
[2,]	11	0	1
[3,]	9	1	0
[4,]	2	10	0
[5,]	11	0	0
[6,]	2	9	1

28APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?

Sales - Part 1

How much would you say sales of each company increased between January and December? [Company A]

	Separate	Truncated	Zeroed
Min.	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
1st Qu.	2.000000	2.000000	1.000000
Median	3.000000	2.000000	1.000000
Mean	3.043478	2.414286	1.371429
3rd Qu.	4.000000	3.000000	1.750000
Max.	7.000000	7.000000	3.000000

How much would you say sales of each company increased between January and December? [Company B]

	Separate	Truncated	Zeroed
Min.	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
1st Qu.	4.000000	4.000000	2.000000
Median	5.000000	6.000000	2.000000
Mean	4.826087	5.144928	2.478261
3rd Qu.	6.000000	7.000000	3.000000
Max.	7.000000	7.000000	6.000000

How large would you say the drop in sales between April and July of Company A is?

	Separate	Truncated	Zeroed
Min.	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
1st Qu.	3.000000	2.000000	1.000000
Median	4.000000	3.000000	1.000000
Mean	4.028571	2.814286	1.571429
3rd Qu.	5.000000	3.000000	2.000000
Max.	7.000000	7.000000	6.000000

Sales - Part 2

Based on the above graph, how large would you say the difference is between the number of sales Company C makes and the number of sales Company D makes?

	Truncated	Zeroed
Min.	2.000000	1.0
1st Qu.	4.000000	2.0
Median	4.000000	3.0
Mean	4.271429	2.7
3rd Qu.	5.000000	3.0

Max. 7.000000 5.0

30 *APPROXIMATELY MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY THE 'SALMON LADDER' WAS USED?*