# Econometrics II TA Session #8

#### Hiroki Kato

# 1 Empirical Application of Panel Data Model: Earnings Equation

## 1.1 Backgruond

A researcher wants to estimate the effect of full-time work experience on wages. He uses a balanced panel of 595 individuals from 1976 to 1982, taken from the Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID). The balanced panel data means that we can observe all individuals every year.

```
dt <- read.csv("./data/wages.csv")
head(dt, 14)</pre>
```

##	exp	wks	bluecol	${\tt ind}$	$\operatorname{south}$	smsa	${\tt married}$	sex	${\tt union}$	ed	black	lwage	id	time
## 1	. 3	32	no	0	yes	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	9	no	5.56068	1	1
## 2	2 4	43	no	0	yes	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	9	no	5.72031	1	2
## 3	5	40	no	0	yes	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	9	no	5.99645	1	3
## 4	: 6	39	no	0	yes	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	9	no	5.99645	1	4
## 5	7	42	no	1	yes	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	9	no	6.06146	1	5
## 6	8	35	no	1	yes	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	9	no	6.17379	1	6
## 7	9	32	no	1	yes	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	9	no	6.24417	1	7
## 8	30	34	yes	0	no	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	11	no	6.16331	2	1
## 9	31	27	yes	0	no	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	11	no	6.21461	2	2
## 1	.0 32	33	yes	1	no	no	yes	${\tt male}$	yes	11	no	6.26340	2	3
## 1	.1 33	30	yes	1	no	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	11	no	6.54391	2	4
## 1	.2 34	30	yes	1	no	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	11	no	6.69703	2	5
## 1	.3 35	37	yes	1	no	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	11	no	6.79122	2	6
## 1	.4 36	30	yes	1	no	no	yes	${\tt male}$	no	11	no	6.81564	2	7

The variable id and time indicate individual and time indexs. We use these two variables to apply panel data models. Additionally, we use the following variables:

- exp: years of full-time work experience
- ullet squared value of exp
- lwage: logarithm of wage

```
dt <- dt[,c("id", "time", "exp", "lwage")]
dt$sqexp <- dt$exp^2
summary(dt)</pre>
```

##	id	time	exp	lwage	sqexp
##	Min. : 1	Min. :1	Min. : 1.00	Min. :4.605	Min. : 1.0
##	1st Qu.:149	1st Qu.:2	1st Qu.:11.00	1st Qu.:6.395	1st Qu.: 121.0
##	Median :298	Median:4	Median :18.00	Median :6.685	Median : 324.0
##	Mean :298	Mean :4	Mean :19.85	Mean :6.676	Mean : 514.4
##	3rd Qu.:447	3rd Qu.:6	3rd Qu.:29.00	3rd Qu.:6.953	3rd Qu.: 841.0
##	Max. :595	Max. :7	Max. :51.00	Max. :8.537	Max. :2601.0

To examine the effect of labor experience on wages, we want to estimate the following linear panel data model:

$$lwage_{it} = \beta_1 \cdot exp_{it} + \beta_2 \cdot sqexp_{it} + u_{it}.$$

We can define the regression equation as the formula object in R. To exclude the intercept, we must specify -1 in the rhs of regression equation. Thus, in R, we define the linear panel data model as follows:

#### 1.2 Pooled OLSE

We want to estimate the above regression equation by the OLS method. We will discuss assumptions for implementation. Let  $\mathbf{X}_{it}$  be a  $1 \times K$  (stochastic) explanatory vector. This vector contains  $\exp$  and  $\operatorname{sqexp}$ . Let  $Y_{it}$  be a random variable of outcome, that is,  $\operatorname{lwage}$ . Then, the linear panel data model can be rewritten as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + u_{it}, \quad t = 1, ..., T, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$$

Using notations  $\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i = (\mathbf{X}'_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}'_{iT})'$  and  $\underline{Y}_i = (Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{iT})'$ , and  $\underline{u}_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{iT})'$ , we can reformulate this model as follows:

$$\underline{Y}_i = \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \underline{u}_i, \quad \forall i.$$

Now, we assume

- 1. (contempraneous) exogneity assumption:  $E[\mathbf{X}'_{it}u_{it}] = 0, \forall i, t.$ 
  - This assumption, implies that  $u_{it}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{it}$  are orthogonal in the conditional mean sence,  $E[u_{it}|\mathbf{X}_{it}]=0$ . However, this assumption does not imply  $u_{it}$  is uncorrelated with the explanatory variables in all time periods (strictly exogeneity), that is,  $E[u_{it}|\mathbf{X}_{i1},\ldots,\mathbf{X}_{iT}]=0$ . This assumption palces no restriction on the relationship between  $\mathbf{X}_{is}$  and  $u_{it}$  for  $s\neq t$ .

2. 
$$E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}'\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}] \succ 0.$$

Under these two assumptions, the true parameter is given by

$$\beta = E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}'\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}]^{-1}E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}'\underline{Y}_{i}].$$

Hence, the OLSE (pooled OLSE) is given by

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \underline{Y}_{i}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{it}' \mathbf{X}_{it}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{it}' Y_{it}\right).$$

Using the full matrix notation, the OLS estimator is

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'Y),$$

where 
$$\mathbf{X} = (\underline{\mathbf{X}}_1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{X}}_n)'$$
 and  $Y = (\underline{Y}_1, \dots, \underline{Y}_n)'$ .

In R programming, the lm function provides the pooled OLSE in the context of panel data model. Another way is the plm function in the package plm. When you want to estimate pooled OLS by the plm function, you need to specify model = "pooling". Moreover, you should specify individual and time index using index augment. This augment passes index = c("individual index", "time index").

```
bols1 <- lm(model, data = dt)
library(plm)
bols2 <- plm(model, data = dt, model = "pooling", index = c("id", "time"))</pre>
```

The pooled OLS estimator is consistent and asymptotically normally distributed.

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \sim N_{\mathbb{R}^K}(0, A^{-1}BA^{-1}),$$

where  $A = E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i'\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i]$  and  $B = E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i'\underline{u}_i\underline{u}_i'\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i]$ . The consistent estimator of A and B is given by

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i},$$

$$\hat{B} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \underline{\hat{u}}_{i} \underline{\hat{u}}_{i}' \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}.$$

Thus, estimator of asymptotic variance of the pooled OLSE is

$$\widehat{Asyvar}(\hat{\beta}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i'\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i'\underline{u}_i\underline{u}_i'\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i'\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i\right)^{-1}.$$

Using the full matrix notations, we can reformulate

$$\widehat{Asyvar}(\hat{\beta}) = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'U\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1},$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\hat{u}}_1 \underline{\hat{u}}_1' & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \underline{\hat{u}}_2 \underline{\hat{u}}_2' & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \underline{\hat{u}}_n \underline{\hat{u}}_n' \end{pmatrix}.$$

The standard errors calculated by this matrix is called *robust standard errors clustered by individuals*.

In R, the lm and plm function provide the standard errors based on  $\widehat{Asyvar}(\hat{\beta}) = \hat{\sigma}^2(X'X)^{-1}$ , where  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{u}\hat{u}'/(nT-K)$  and  $\hat{u} = Y - X\hat{\beta}$ . There are two ways to obtain cluster robust standard errors. The first way is to calculate by yourself. The second way is to use the coeftest function in the package lmtest. When you use this function, we should use the plm function to estimate the pooled OLSE, and the vcovHC function (the package sandwich) in the vcov augment of coeftest function.

```
# Setup
N <- length(unique(dt$id)); T <- length(unique(dt$time))</pre>
X <- model.matrix(bols1); k <- ncol(X)</pre>
# Inference
uhat <- bols1$residuals</pre>
uhatset <- matrix(0, nrow = nrow(X), ncol = nrow(X))</pre>
i from <- 1; j from <- 1
for (i in 1:max(dt$id)) {
  x <- as.numeric(rownames(dt))[dt$id == i]
  usq <- uhat[x] %*% t(uhat[x])</pre>
  i_to <- i_from + nrow(usq) - 1</pre>
  j to <- j from + ncol(usq) - 1
  uhatset[i_from:i_to, j_from:j_to] <- usq</pre>
  i from <- i_to + 1; j_from <- j_to + 1</pre>
}
Ahat \leftarrow t(X) \% X
vcovols <- solve(Ahat) ** Bhat ** solve(Ahat)
seols <- sqrt(diag(vcovols))</pre>
# Easy way
library(lmtest)
library(sandwich)
easy cluster <- coeftest(</pre>
  bols2, vcov = vcovHC(bols2, type = "HCO", cluster = "group"))
```

The result is shown in the first column of Table 1. The partial effect of experience repre-

sents the percent change of wages. Thus,

(% Change of Wage) = 
$$64.6 - 2 \cdot 1.3 \cdot \text{exp.}$$

For example, wages increase by 12.99% at a mathematical mean of labor experience (exp). Moreover, this result implies diminishing marginal returns of labor experience.

### 1.3 Feasible GLSE

Adding and assumption of the conditional variance of  $\underline{u}_i$  allows for using the Generalized Ordinary Squares method. To implement, we assume

- 1.  $E[\underline{X}_i \otimes \underline{u}_i] = 0$ . A sufficient condition to satisfy this relationship is  $E[\underline{u}_i | \underline{X}_i] = 0$ . This assumption implies  $E[\underline{X}_i' \Omega^{-1} \underline{u}_i] = 0$  where  $\Omega = E[\underline{u}_i \underline{u}_i']$  is  $T \times T$  matrix.
- 2.  $\Omega \succ 0$  and  $E[\underline{X}_i'\Omega^{-1}\underline{X}_i'] \succ 0$ .

The GLS estimator is given by

$$\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \Omega^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \Omega^{-1} \underline{Y}_{i}\right).$$

Under two assumptions, this estimator is weakly consistent.

In the feasible GLS method, we replace the unknown  $\Omega$  with a consistent estimator. Here, we consider the two-step FGLS: obtain the OLS estimator and residuals; replace  $\Omega$  by it. Then, the unknown  $\Omega$  is replaced by

$$\hat{\Omega} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\underline{u}}_{i} \hat{\underline{u}}_{i}',$$

where  $\underline{\hat{u}}_i = \underline{Y}_i - \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ .

Thus, the FGLS estimator is

$$\hat{\beta}_{FGLS} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{Y}_{i}\right).$$

Using the full matrix notations.

$$\hat{\beta}_{FGLS} = \{\mathbf{X}'(I_n \otimes \hat{\Omega}^{-1})\mathbf{X}\}^{-1}\{\mathbf{X}'(I_n \otimes \hat{\Omega}^{-1})Y\}.$$

In the R programming, there are two ways to obtain the FGLS estimator. The first way is to calculate by yourself. The second way is to use the pggls function in the package plm. When you use the pggls function, you should specify individual and time indexs using index augment, and type in model = "pooling".

```
# Setup
X <- model.matrix(model, dt); k <- ncol(X)</pre>
y <- dt$lwage
N <- length(unique(dt$id)); T <- length(unique(dt$time))</pre>
# Estimator of Omega
uhat <- bols1$residuals
Omega sum <- matrix(0, ncol = T, nrow = T)</pre>
for (i in 1:N) {
  x <- as.numeric(rownames(dt))[dt$id == i]
  Omega_sum <- uhat[x] %*% t(uhat[x]) + Omega_sum</pre>
Omega <- Omega sum/N
# FGLS estimator
kroOmega <- diag(N) %x% solve(Omega)</pre>
bfgls <- solve(t(X) %*% kro0mega %*% X) %*% (t(X) %*% kro0mega %*% y)
# Easy way!!!
easy fgls <- pggls(
  model, data = dt, index = c("id", "time"), model = "pooling")
```

The asymptotic distribution of the FGLS estimator is given by

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{FGLS} - \beta) \sim N_{\mathbb{R}^K}(0, A^{-1}BA^{-1}),$$

where  $A = E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}'\Omega^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}]$  and  $B = E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}'\Omega^{-1}\underline{u}_{i}\underline{u}_{i}'\Omega^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}]$ . The consistent estimator of A and B is

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i},$$

$$\hat{B} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{\hat{u}}_{i}^{FLGS} \underline{\hat{u}}_{i}^{FGLS'} \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i},$$

where  $\underline{u}_i^{FLGS} = \underline{Y}_i - \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i \hat{\beta}_{FGLS}$ . Thus, estimator of asymptotic variance of the FGLS estimator is

$$\widehat{Asyvar}(\hat{\beta}_{FGLS}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{u}_i^{FLGS} \underline{u}_i^{FGLS'} \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i\right)^{-1}.$$

Using the full matrix notations,

$$\widehat{Asyvar}(\hat{\beta}_{FGLS}) = \{\mathbf{X}'(I_n \otimes \hat{\Omega}^{-1})\mathbf{X}\}^{-1} \{\mathbf{X}'(I_n \otimes \hat{\Omega}^{-1})U(I_n \otimes \hat{\Omega}^{-1})\mathbf{X}\}^{-1} \{\mathbf{X}'(I_n \otimes \hat{\Omega}^{-1})\mathbf{X}\}^{-1},$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\hat{u}}_1^{FLGS} \underline{\hat{u}}_1^{FGLS'} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \underline{\hat{u}}_2^{FLGS} \underline{\hat{u}}_2^{FGLS'} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \underline{\hat{u}}_n^{FLGS} \underline{\hat{u}}_n^{FGLS'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the R programming, you need to calculate by yourself. The pggls function provides the FGLS estimator. However, this function calculates standard errors, assuming system homoskedasticity, that is,  $E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i'\Omega^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{u}}_i\underline{u}_i'\Omega^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i] = E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i'\Omega^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i]$ . If you can rationale this assumption, the bggls function is the easiest way to carry out statistical inference.

```
ufgls <- y - X %*% bfgls
uhatset <- matrix(0, nrow = nrow(X), ncol = nrow(X))
i_from <- 1; j_from <- 1
for (i in 1:max(dt$id)) {
    x <- as.numeric(rownames(dt))[dt$id == i]
    usq <- uhat[x] %*% t(uhat[x])
    i_to <- i_from + nrow(usq) - 1
    j_to <- j_from + ncol(usq) - 1
    uhatset[i_from:i_to, j_from:j_to] <- usq
    i_from <- i_to + 1; j_from <- j_to + 1
}
Ahat <- t(X) %*% kroOmega %*% X
Bhat <- t(X) %*% kroOmega %*% uhatset %*% kroOmega %*% X
vcovfgls <- solve(Ahat) %*% Bhat %*% solve(Ahat)
sefgls <- sqrt(diag(vcovfgls))</pre>
```

The result is shown in the second column of Table 1. The partial effect of experience represents the percent change of wages. Thus,

(% Change of Wage) = 
$$52.9 - 2 \cdot 0.9 \cdot \text{exp.}$$

For example, wages increase by 17.17% at a mathematical mean of labor experience (exp).

### 1.4 Fixed Effect Model

To examine the effect of labor experience on wages, we introduce unobserved heterogeneity such as ability. The unobserved effects model is given by

$$lwage_{it} = \beta_1 \cdot exp_{it} + \beta_2 \cdot sqexp_{it} + c_i + u_{it},$$

where  $c_i$  is unobserved component which is constant over time,  $u_{it}$  is the idiosyncratic error term. The fixed effect model treats  $c_i$  as a parameter to be estimated for each cross section unit i.

We generalize the unobserved effects model as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + c_i + u_{it}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Using notations  $\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i = (\mathbf{X}'_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}'_{iT})'$  and  $\underline{Y}_i = (Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{iT})'$ , and  $\underline{u}_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{iT})'$ , we can reformulate this model as follows:

$$\underline{Y}_i = \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i \beta + \iota c_i + \underline{u}_i, \quad \forall i,$$

where  $\iota = (1, ..., 1)'$  is  $T \times 1$  vector.

To implement the fixed effect model, we assume the following three assumptions:

- 1. Strict exogeneity:  $E[u_{it}|\mathbf{X}_{i1},...,\mathbf{X}_{iT},c_i]=0$ .
- 2. Full rank:  $rank(\sum_t E[\ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{it}'\ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{it}]) = rank(E[\ddot{\underline{\mathbf{X}}}_i'\ddot{\underline{\mathbf{X}}}_i]) = K$  where  $\ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{it} = \mathbf{X}_{it} T^{-1}\sum_t \mathbf{X}_{it}$  is  $time\text{-}demeaning\ matrix$ .
- 3. homosked asticity:  $E[\underline{u}_i\underline{u}_i'|\mathbf{X}_{i1},\dots,\mathbf{X}_{iT},c_i]=\sigma_u^2I_T.$

To obtain the FE estimator, we consider the within transformation first. Averaging the unobserved effects model for individual i and time t over time yields

$$\bar{Y}_i = \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i \beta + c_i + \bar{u}_i,$$

where  $\bar{Y}_i = T^{-1} \sum_t Y_{it}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i = T^{-1} \sum_t \mathbf{X}_{it}$ , and  $\bar{u}_i = T^{-1} \sum_t u_{it}$ . Subtracting this equation from the original one for each t yields

$$Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i = (\mathbf{X}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)\beta + (u_{it} - \bar{u}_i) \Leftrightarrow \ddot{Y}_{it} = \ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{it}\beta + \ddot{u}_{it}.$$

Note that  $E[\ddot{u}_{it}|\ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{i1},\ldots,\ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{iT}]=0$  under the first assumption. Using the T system of equation, the within transformation is

$$Q_T \underline{Y}_i = Q_T \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i \beta + Q_T \underline{u}_i \Leftrightarrow \underline{\ddot{Y}}_i = \underline{\ddot{\mathbf{X}}}_i \beta + \underline{\ddot{u}}_i.$$

where  $Q_T = I_T - \iota(\iota'\iota)^{-1}\iota$  and  $Q_T\iota = 0$ . Using the matrix notations, the within transformation is

$$(I_n \otimes Q_t)Y = (I_n \otimes Q_t)X\beta + (I_n \otimes Q_t)u \Leftrightarrow \ddot{Y} = \ddot{X}\beta + \ddot{u}.$$

The FE estimator is given by

$$\hat{\beta}_{FE} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{\underline{\ddot{X}}}_{i}' \mathbf{\underline{\ddot{X}}}_{i}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{\underline{\ddot{X}}}_{i}' \mathbf{\underline{\ddot{Y}}}_{i}\right) = (\mathbf{\ddot{X}}' \mathbf{\ddot{X}})^{-1} (\mathbf{\ddot{X}}' \mathbf{\ddot{Y}}).$$

In the R programming, there are two ways to obtain the FE estimator. The first way is to calculate by yourself. The second way is to use the plm function. When you use the plm function, you need to specify model = "within" to implement the FE model.

```
# Setup
X <- model.matrix(model, dt); k <- ncol(X)
y <- dt$lwage
N <- length(unique(dt$id)); T <- length(unique(dt$time))

# FE estimator
i <- rep(1, T)
Qt <- diag(T) - i %*% solve(t(i) %*% i) %*% t(i)
Ydev <- diag(N) %x% Qt %*% y
Xdev <- diag(N) %x% Qt %*% X
bfe <- solve(t(Xdev) %*% Xdev) %*% t(Xdev) %*% Ydev

# Awesome way !!!
plmfe <- plm(model, data = dt, index = c("id", "time"), model = "within")</pre>
```

Under the third assumption, asymptotic distribution of the FE estimator is given by

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{FE} - \beta) \sim N_{\mathbb{R}^K}(0, \sigma_u^2 E[\underline{\ddot{\mathbf{X}}}_i'\underline{\ddot{\mathbf{X}}}_i]).$$

The consistent estimator of the asymptotic variance of the FE estimator is

$$\widehat{Asyvar}(\hat{\beta}_{FE}) = \hat{\sigma}_u^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{\ddot{\mathbf{X}}}_i' \underline{\ddot{\mathbf{X}}}_i \right)^{-1} = \hat{\sigma}_u^2 (\ddot{X}' \ddot{X})^{-1},$$

where 
$$\hat{\sigma}_u^2 = \frac{1}{n(T-1)-K} \sum_i \sum_t \hat{\vec{u}}_{it}$$
, and  $\hat{\vec{u}}_{it} = \ddot{Y}_{it} - \ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{it} \hat{\beta}_{FE}$ .

In the R programming, the plm function also returns standard errors,  $\hat{\sigma}_u^2(\ddot{X}'\ddot{X})^{-1}$ . Of course, you can compute the standard errors manually. The sample code is as follows:

```
uhat <- Ydev - Xdev %*% bfe
sigmahat <- sum(uhat^2)/(N*(T-1)-k)
vcovfe <- sigmahat * solve(t(Xdev) %*% Xdev)
sefe <- sqrt(diag(vcovfe))</pre>
```

The result is shown in the third column in Table 1. The partial effect of experience represents the percent change of wages. Thus,

(% Change of Wage) = 
$$11.4 - 2 \cdot 0.04 \cdot \text{exp.}$$

For example, wages increase by 9.812% at a mathematical mean of labor experience (exp).

#### 1.5 Random Effect Model

Again, consider the unobserved effects model:

$$Y_{it} = \mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + c_i + u_{it}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The random effect model treats  $c_i$  as a random variable. Thus, the variable  $c_i$  is put into the error term. We reformulate the model as follows:

$$Y_{it} = \mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + v_{it},$$

where  $v_{it} = c_i + u_{it}$ . Using notations  $\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i = (\mathbf{X}'_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}'_{iT})'$  and  $\underline{Y}_i = (Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{iT})'$ , and  $\underline{u}_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{iT})'$ , we can reformulate this model as follows:

$$\underline{Y}_i = \underline{\mathbf{X}}_i \beta + \underline{v}_i,$$

where  $\underline{v}_i = \iota c_i + \underline{u}_i$ , and  $\iota = (1, \dots, 1)'$  is  $T \times 1$  vector.

To implement the RE model, we assume

- 1. Strict exogeneity:  $E[u_{it}|\mathbf{X}_{i1},...,\mathbf{X}_{iT},c_i]=0$ .

- 2. Orthogonality between  $c_i$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{it}$ :  $E[c_i|\mathbf{X}_{i1},\ldots,\mathbf{X}_{iT}]=0$ . 3. Full rank:  $rank(E[\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i'\Omega^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}_i])=K$ . 4.  $E[\underline{u}_i\underline{u}_i'|\mathbf{X}_{i1},\ldots,\mathbf{X}_{iT},c_i]=\sigma_u^2I_T$ , and  $E[c_i^2|\mathbf{X}_{i1},\ldots,\mathbf{X}_{iT}]=\sigma_c^2$ .

Using the FGLS method through the introduction of  $\Sigma$ , we can obtain the FGLS-type RE estimator as follows:

$$\hat{\beta}_{RE} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \underline{Y}_{i}\right),$$

where

$$\hat{\Omega} = \hat{\sigma}_u^2 I_T + \hat{\sigma}_c^2 \iota \iota' = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_c^2 + \hat{\sigma}_u^2 & \hat{\sigma}_c^2 & \cdots & \hat{\sigma}_c^2 \\ \hat{\sigma}_c^2 & \hat{\sigma}_c^2 + \hat{\sigma}_u^2 & \cdots & \hat{\sigma}_c^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \hat{\sigma}_c^2 & \hat{\sigma}_c^2 & \cdots & \hat{\sigma}_c^2 + \hat{\sigma}_u^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The estimator  $\hat{\sigma}_u^2$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_c^2$  can be obtained by

$$\begin{split} \hat{\sigma}_{u}^{2} &= \hat{\sigma}_{v}^{2} - \hat{\sigma}_{c}^{2}, \\ \hat{\sigma}_{v}^{2} &= \frac{1}{nT - K} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{v}_{it}^{2}, \\ \hat{\sigma}_{c}^{2} &= \frac{1}{nT(T-1)/2 - K} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \sum_{s=t+1}^{T} \hat{v}_{it} \hat{v}_{is}, \\ \hat{v}_{it} &= Y_{it} - X_{it} \hat{\beta}_{OLS}. \end{split}$$

In the R programming, the plm function provides the random effect model. However, the procedure is not the feasible GLS method, but the OLS method on a dataset in which all variables are subject to quasi-demeaning. The two procedures generate the same RE estimator. Moreover, the idiosyncratic error and the unobserved component are obtained by differenct approach. To implement the RE model described above, we compute manually.

```
# Setup
X <- model.matrix(model, dt)</pre>
y <- dt$lwage
k \leftarrow ncol(X)
N <- length(unique(dt$id))
T <- length(unique(dt$time))
# estimator of Omega
pols <- lm(model, dt)
vhat <- pols$residuals</pre>
sigmav \leftarrow sum(vhat^2)/(N*T - k)
sumuc <- matrix(0, nrow = N, ncol = T-1)</pre>
for (i in 1:N) {
  for (t in 1:T-1) {
    it <- as.numeric(rownames(dt))[dt$id == i & dt$time == t]
    is <- as.numeric(rownames(dt))[dt$id == i & dt$time > t]
    sumuc[i,t] <- vhat[it] * sum(vhat[is])</pre>
  }
}
sigmac \leftarrow sum(colSums(sumuc))/((N*T*(T-1))/2-k)
sigmau <- sigmav - sigmac
i \leftarrow rep(1, T)
Omega <- sigmau * diag(T) + sigmac * i %*% t(i)
kroOmega <- diag(N) %x% solve(Omega)</pre>
# Random effect
bre <- solve(t(X) %*% kroOmega %*% X) %*% t(X) %*% kroOmega %*% y
```

A consistent estimator of asymptotic variance of the RE estimator is given by

$$\widehat{Asyvar}(\hat{\beta}_{RE}) = \left(\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{i}\right)^{-1}.$$

In the R programming, the plm function returns standard errors calculated by variance-covariance matrix of OLS on a quasi-demeaned data. To obtain the FGLS-type standard errors, we compute manually. The sample code is as follows:

```
vcovre <- solve(t(X) %*% kroOmega %*% X)
sere <- sqrt(diag(vcovre))</pre>
```

The result is shown in the fourth column in Table 1. The partial effect of experience represents the percent change of wages. Thus,

(% Change of Wage) = 
$$39.5 - 2 \cdot 0.6 \cdot \text{exp.}$$

For example, wages increase by 15.68% at a mathematical mean of labor experience (exp).

Table 1: Effect of Experience on Wages (Standard errors are in parenthe
---

	Dependent variable:						
	lwage						
	Pooled OLS	FGLS	Fixed Effect	Random Effect			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
exp	0.646	0.529	0.114	0.395			
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.002)	(0.006)			
sqexp	-0.013	-0.009	-0.0004	-0.006			
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0001)	(0.0002)			
Observations	4,165	4,165	4,165	4,165			

#### 1.6 Hausman Test

The Hausman test provides empirical evidence on choosing between FE and RE model. The null hypothesis of this test is  $\mathbf{X}_{it}$  and  $c_i$  are independent. If we can reject the null hypothesis, then the FE model is preferred. If we cannot reject the null hypothesis, then the RE model should be used.

The test statistics is

$$\hat{H} = (\hat{\beta}_{RE} - \hat{\beta}_{FE})' \{\widehat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{RE}) - \widehat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{FE})\}^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_{RE} - \hat{\beta}_{FE}).$$

The limiting distribution of this test statistics is  $\hat{H} \to \chi^2(K)$ .

In the R programming, the manual computation is very easy. Alternatively, the phtest function in the package plm provides the Hausman test. To use the phtest, we need to estimate the FE and RE model by the plm function.

```
delta <- bre - bfe
diffv <- vcovre - vcovfe
H <- t(delta) %*% solve(diffv) %*% delta
qtchi <- qchisq(0.99, nrow(delta))
paste("The test statistics of Hausman test is ", round(H, 3))</pre>
```

## [1] "The test statistics of Hausman test is 3999.537"
paste("The 1% quantile value of chi-sq dist is", round(qtchi, 3))

```
## [1] "The 1% quantile value of chi-sq dist is 9.21"
```

In this empirical application, we can reject the null hypothesis at 1% significance level. This implies that we should use the FE model in this application beucase observed covariates and unobserved component are not independent.