Econometrics II TA Session #4

Hiroki Kato

1 Empirical Application of Ordered Probit and Logit Model: Housing as Status Goods

1.1 Background and Data

A desire to signal high income or wealth may cause consumers to purchase status goods such as luxury cars. In this application, we explore whether housing serves as status goods, using the case of apartment building. We investigate the relationship between living in a high floor and income, controlling the quality of housing. Our hypothesis is that high-earners are more likely to live on the upper floor.

We use the housing data originally coming from the American Housing Survey conducted in 2013 ¹. This dataset (hereafter housing) contains the following variables:

- Level: ordered value of a story of respondent's living (1:Low 4:High)
- InPrice: logged price of housing (proxy for quality of house)
- Top25: a dummy variable taking one if household income is in the top 25 percentile in sample.

We split data into two subsets: the *training* data and the *test* data. The training data, which is used for estimation and model fitness, is randoly drawn from the original data. The sample size of this subset is two thirds of total observations of the original one (N = 1,074). The test data, which is used for model prediction, consists of observations which the training data does not include (N = 538).

```
dt <- read.csv(file = "./data/housing.csv", header = TRUE, sep = ",")
dt <- dt[,c("Level", "lnPrice", "Top25")]
set.seed(120511)
train_id <- sample(1:nrow(dt), size = (2/3)*nrow(dt), replace = FALSE)
train_dt <- dt[train_id,]; test_dt <- dt[-train_id,]
head(train_dt)</pre>
```

¹https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/ahs.html. This is a repeated cross-section survey. We use the data at one time.

##		Level	lnPrice	Top25
##	1099	4	9.903538	0
##	2	4	11.512935	1
##	1398	4	11.775297	0
##	405	2	12.429220	0
##	579	1	11.289794	0
##	1157	1	10.596660	0

1.2 Model

The outcome variable is Level taking $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Consider the following regression equation of a latent variable:

$$y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i \beta + u_i,$$

where a vector of explanatory variables are lnPrice and log Top 25, and $log u_i$ is an error term. The relationship between the latent variable $log u_i^*$ and the observed outcome variable is

$$Level = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & -\infty < y_i^* \le a_1 \\ 2 & \text{if} & a_1 < y_i^* \le a_2 \\ 3 & \text{if} & a_2 < y_i^* \le a_3 \\ 4 & \text{if} & a_3 < y_i^* < +\infty \end{cases}.$$

Consider the probability of realization of y_i , that is,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(y_i = k | \mathbf{x}_i) &= \mathbb{P}(a_{k-1} - \mathbf{x}_i \beta < u_i \leq a_k - \mathbf{x}_i \beta | \mathbf{x}_i) \\ &= G(a_k - \mathbf{x}_i \beta) - G(a_{k-1} - \mathbf{x}_i \beta), \end{split}$$

where $a_4 = +\infty$ and $a_0 = -\infty$. Then, the likelihood function is defined by

$$p((y_i|\mathbf{x}_i), i = 1, \dots, n; \beta, a_1, \dots, a_3) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^4 (G(a_k - \mathbf{x}_i\beta) - G(a_{k-1} - \mathbf{x}_i\beta))^{I_{ik}}.$$

where I_{ik} is a indicator variable taking 1 if $y_i = k$. Finally, the log-likelihood function is

$$M(\beta, a_1, a_2, a_3) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^4 I_{ik} \log(G(a_k - \mathbf{x}_i \beta) - G(a_{k-1} - \mathbf{x}_i \beta)).$$

Usually, G(a) assumes the standard normal distribution, $\Phi(a)$, or the logistic distribution, $1/(1 + \exp(-a))$.

In R, the library (package) MASS provides the polr function which estimates the ordered probit and logit model. Although we can use the nlm function when we define the log-likelihood function, we do not report this method.

```
library(MASS)

model <- factor(Level) ~ lnPrice + Top25

oprobit <- polr(model, data = train_dt, method = "probit")

ologit <- polr(model, data = train_dt, method = "logistic")

a_oprobit <- round(oprobit$zeta, 3)

a_ologit <- round(ologit$zeta, 3)</pre>
```

1.3 Interepretation and Model Fitness

Table 1 shows results. In both models, the latent variable y_i^* is increasing in Top25. This means that high-earners have higer value of latent variable y_i^* . Since the cutoff values are increasing in the observed y_i , we can conclude that high-earners are more likely to live on the upper floor.

To evaluate model fitness, we use the percent correctly predicted, which is the percentage of unit whose predicted y_i matches the actual y_i . First, we calculate $\mathbf{x}_i\hat{\beta}$. If this value is in $(-\infty, \hat{a}_1]$, $(\hat{a}_1, a_2]$, $(\hat{a}_2, \hat{a}_3]$, and $(\hat{a}_3, +\infty)$, then we take $\hat{y}_i = 1$, $\hat{y}_i = 2$, $\hat{y}_i = 3$ and $\hat{y}_i = 4$, respectively. Using the training data (in-sample) and the test data (out-of-sample), we calculate this index.

```
library(tidyverse) #use case when()
# coefficients
bp <- matrix(coef(oprobit), nrow = 2); bl <- matrix(coef(ologit), nrow = 2)</pre>
# cutoff value
ap <- oprobit$zeta; al <- ologit$zeta
# in-sample prediction
indt <- as.matrix(train dt[,c("lnPrice", "Top25")])</pre>
in xbp <- indt %*% bp; in xbl <- indt %*% bl
in_hatYp <- case_when(</pre>
  in xbp \leq ap[1] ~ 1,
  in xbp \leq ap[2] ~ 2,
  in\_xbp \le ap[3] \sim 3,
  TRUE ~ 4
)
in_hatYl <- case_when(</pre>
  in_xbl <= al[1] ~ 1,
  in_xbl \le al[2] \sim 2,
  in xbl \leq al[3] ~ 3,
  TRUE ~ 4
)
```

```
inpred p <- round(sum(train dt$Level == in hatYp)/nrow(train dt), 3)
inpred 1 <- round(sum(train dt$Level == in hatYl)/nrow(train dt), 3)
# out-of-sample prediction
outdt <- as.matrix(test dt[,c("lnPrice", "Top25")])</pre>
out_xbp <- outdt %*% bp; out_xbl <- outdt %*% bl
out hatYp <- case_when(</pre>
  out xbp \leq ap[1] \sim 1,
  out xbp \leq ap[2] \sim 2,
  out_xbp \leftarrow ap[3] \sim 3,
 TRUE ~ 4
)
out_hatYl <- case_when(</pre>
  out xbl <= al[1] ~ 1,
  out xbl <= al[2] ~ 2,
  out xbl <= al[3] ~ 3,
 TRUE ~ 4
)
outpred p <- round(sum(test dt$Level == out hatYp)/nrow(test dt), 3)
outpred 1 <- round(sum(test dt$Level == out hatYl)/nrow(test dt), 3)
```

As a result, the percent correctly predicted is almost 16% when we use the in-sample data. When we use the test data, this index slightly increases. Overall, out model seems not to be good because the percent correctly predicted is low.

```
library(stargazer)
stargazer(
 oprobit, ologit,
 report = "vcs", keep.stat = c("n"),
 omit = c("Constant"),
  add.lines = list(
    c("Cutoff value at 1|2", a_oprobit[1], a_ologit[1]),
    c("Cutoff value at 2|3", a oprobit[2], a ologit[2]),
    c("Cutoff value at 3|4", a oprobit[3], a ologit[3]),
    c("Percent correctly predicted (in-sample)", inpred_p, inpred_l),
    c("Percent correctly predicted (out-of-sample)", outpred_p, outpred_l)
 ),
 omit.table.layout = "n", table.placement = "t",
 title = "Floor Level of House: Ordered Probit and Logit Model",
 label = "housing",
 type = "latex", header = FALSE
)
```

Table 1: Floor Level of House: Ordered Probit and Logit Model

	Dependent variable: Level	
	$ordered \\ probit$	$ordered \ logistic$
	(1)	(2)
lnPrice	-0.007 (0.019)	-0.013 (0.031)
Top25	0.133 (0.080)	0.202 (0.132)
Cutoff value at 1 2	-0.371	-0.611
Cutoff value at 2 3	0.02	0.014
Cutoff value at 3 4	0.719	1.163
Percent correctly predicted (in-sample)	0.161	0.161
Percent correctly predicted (out-of-sample)	0.175	0.175
Observations	1,074	1,074

2 Empirical Application of Multinomial Model: Gender Discremination in Job Position

2.1 Background and Data

Recently, many developed countries move toward women's social advancement, for example, an increase of number of board member. In this application, we explore whether the gender discremination existed in the U.S. bank industry. Our hypothesis is that women are less likely to be given a higher position than male.

We use a built-in dataset called BankWages in the library AER. This datase contains the following variables:

- job: three job position. The rank of position is custodial < admin < manage.
- education: years of education
- gender: a dummy variable of female

Again, we split data into two subsets: the *training* data and the *test* data. The training data, which is used for estimation and model fitness, is randoly drawn from the original data. The sample size of this subset is two thirds of total observations of the original one (N=316). The test data, which is used for model prediction, consists of observations which the training data does not include (N=158).

To use the multinomial logit model in R, we need to transform outcome variable into the form factor, which is special variable form in R. The variable form factor is similar to dummy variables. For example, factor(dt\$job, levels = c("admin", "custodial", "manage")) transforms the variable form job from the form character into the form factor. Moreover, when we use job as explanatory variables, R automatically makes two dummy variables of custodial and manage.

```
library(AER)
data(BankWages)
dt <- BankWages
dt$job <- as.character(dt$job)
dt$job <- factor(dt$job, levels = c("admin", "custodial", "manage"))
dt <- dt[,c("job", "education", "gender")]

set.seed(120511)
train_id <- sample(1:nrow(dt), size = (2/3)*nrow(dt), replace = FALSE)
train_dt <- dt[train_id,]; test_dt <- dt[-train_id,]
head(train_dt)</pre>
```

```
##
           job education gender
## 75
        admin
                       15 female
## 2
        admin
                       16
                            male
## 374
        admin
                       15
                            male
## 405
                       12 female
        admin
## 67
       manage
                       16
                            male
## 92
                        8 female
        admin
```

2.2 Model

The outcome variable y_i takes three values $\{0,1,2\}$. Note that there is no meaning in order. Then, the multinomial logit model has the following response probabilities

$$P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(y_i = j | \mathbf{x}_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_i \beta_j)}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 \exp(\mathbf{x}_i \beta_k)} & \text{if} \quad j = 1, 2\\ \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 \exp(\mathbf{x}_i \beta_k)} & \text{if} \quad j = 0 \end{cases}.$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$M_n(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^3 d_{ij} \log(P_{ij}),$$

where d_{ij} is a dummy variable taking 1 if $y_i = j$.

In R, some packages provide the multinomial logit model. In this application, we use the multinom function in the library nnet.

```
library(nnet)
est_mlogit <- multinom(job ~ education + gender, data = train_dt)</pre>
```

2.3 Interpretations and Model Fitness

Table 2 summarizes the result of multinomial logit model. The coefficient represents the change of $\log(P_{ij}/P_{i0})$ in corresponding covariate beucase the response probabilities yields

$$\frac{P_{ij}}{P_{i0}} = \exp(\mathbf{x}_i \beta_j) \Leftrightarrow \log\left(\frac{P_{ij}}{P_{i0}}\right) = \mathbf{x}_i \beta_j.$$

For example, eduction decreases the log-odds between custodial and admin by -0.562. This implies that those who received higher education are more likely to obtain the position admin. Highly-educated workers are also more likely to obtain the position manage. Moreover, a female dummy decrease the log-odds between manage and admin by -0.748, which implies that females are less likely to obtain higher position manage. From this result, we conclude that the U.S. bank disencouraged females to assign higher job position.

To evalue model fitness and prediction, we use two indices: the pseudo R-squared and percent correctly predicted. The preudo R-squared is calculated by $1-L_1/L_0$ where L_1 is the value of log-likelihood for estimated model and L_0 is the value of log-likelihood in the model with only an intercept. R snippet for calculation of pseudo R-squared is as follows: Note that nnet:::logLik.multinom() returns the value of log-likelihood.

```
loglik1 <- as.numeric(nnet:::logLik.multinom(est_mlogit))
est_mlogit0 <- multinom(job ~ 1, data = train_dt)
loglik0 <- as.numeric(nnet:::logLik.multinom(est_mlogit0))
pr2 <- round(1 - loglik1/loglik0, 3)</pre>
```

The second index is the *precent correctly predicted*. The predicted outcome is the outcome with the highest estimated probability. Using the training data (in-sample) and the test data (out-of-sample), we calculate this index. R snippet for calculation of this index is as follows.

```
# in-sample prediction
inpred <- predict(est_mlogit, newdata = train_dt, "probs")
inpred <- colnames(inpred)[apply(inpred, 1, which.max)]
inpcp <- round(sum(inpred == train_dt$job)/length(inpred), 3)
# out-of-sample prediction
outpred <- predict(est_mlogit, newdata = test_dt, "probs")
outpred <- colnames(outpred)[apply(outpred, 1, which.max)]
outpcp <- round(sum(outpred == test_dt$job)/length(outpred), 3)</pre>
```

As a result, our model is good in terms of fitness and prediction because the percent correctly predicted is high (83.9% of in-sample data and 88.0% of out-of-sample data), and the pseudo R-squared is 0.523.

Table 2: Multinomial Logit Model of Job Position

	Dependent variable:	
	custodial	manage
	(1)	(2)
Education	-0.547	1.322
	(0.116)	(0.229)
Female = 1	-10.507	-0.891
	(31.352)	(0.524)
Constant	4.634	-21.448
	(1.269)	(3.605)
Observations	948	
Percent correctly predicted (in-sample)	0.839	
Percent correctly predicted (out-of-sample)	0.88	
Log-likelihood	-102.964	
Pseudo R-sq	0.523	

```
stargazer(
 est mlogit,
 covariate.labels = c("Education", "Female = 1"),
 report = "vcs", omit.stat = c("aic"),
 add.lines = list(
    c("Observations", n, ""),
   c("Percent correctly predicted (in-sample)", inpcp, ""),
    c("Percent correctly predicted (out-of-sample)", outpcp, ""),
    c("Log-likelihood", round(loglik1, 3), ""),
   c("Pseudo R-sq", pr2, "")
 ),
 omit.table.layout = "n", table.placement = "t",
 title = "Multinomial Logit Model of Job Position",
 label = "job",
 type = "latex", header = FALSE
)
```

3 Empirical Application of Truncated Regression: Labor Participation of Married Women

3.1 Background and Data

To develop women's social advancement, we should create environment to keep a good balance between work and childcare after marriage. In this application, using the dataset of married women, we explore how much childcare prevents married women to participate in labor market.

Our dataset originally comes from Stata sample data. 2 This dataset contains the following variables:

```
dt <- read.csv(file = "./data/labor.csv", header = TRUE, sep = ",")
summary(dt)</pre>
```

```
##
         whrs
                          kl6
                                             k618
                                                                wa
##
            : 12
                    Min.
                             :0.0000
                                                                 :30.00
    Min.
                                       Min.
                                               :0.000
                                                         Min.
    1st Qu.: 645
                     1st Qu.:0.0000
                                        1st Qu.:0.000
                                                         1st Qu.:35.00
##
##
    Median:1406
                    Median :0.0000
                                       Median :1.000
                                                         Median :43.50
                                                                 :42.79
##
    Mean
            :1333
                    Mean
                             :0.1733
                                       Mean
                                               :1.313
                                                         Mean
##
    3rd Qu.:1903
                     3rd Qu.:0.0000
                                        3rd Qu.:2.000
                                                         3rd Qu.:48.75
##
    Max.
            :4950
                    Max.
                             :2.0000
                                       Max.
                                               :8.000
                                                         Max.
                                                                 :60.00
##
           we
            : 6.00
##
    Min.
    1st Qu.:12.00
##
    Median :12.00
##
##
            :12.64
    Mean
##
    3rd Qu.:13.75
##
    Max.
            :17.00
```

²http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/laborsub.dt. Because this is dta file, we need to import it, using the read.dta function in the library foreign. I intentionally remove married women who could not participate in the labor market.