Charitable Giving, Tax Reform, and Political Trust

Hiroki Kato 1 Tsuyoshi Goto 2 Yong-Rok Kim 3 $^1{\rm Osaka\ University}$ $^2{\rm Chiba\ University}$ $^3{\rm Kobe\ University}$ 2021/01/19

Introduction

Background of South Korea Tax Reform

To investigate the price effect, we use the 2014 tax reform in the South Korea.

- ▶ Before 2014, tax deduction was adopted to subsidize charitable donation behavior.
- After 2014, tax credit have been adopted.

The main difference is that tax credits reduce taxes directly, while tax deductions indirectly lower the tax burden by decreasing the taxpayer's marginal tax rate, which increases with gross income

Data

National Survey of Tax and Benefit (NaSTaB)

- ▶ The Korea Institute of Taxation and Finance implements the financial panel survey to study the tax burden of households and the benefits that households receive from government.
- ➤ The subjects of this survey are general household and household members living in 15 cities and provinces nationwide.
- This survey is based on a face-to-face interview. If it is difficult for investigators to meet subjects, another family member answers on behalf of him.
- Survey items: Annual taxable income (last year), charitable donations (last year), trust for politicians (5-Likert scale), and other covariates (age, education, gender etc.).
- ➤ Survey period: 2008 ~ 2019
 - We use survey data after 2013 to focus on tax policy change in 2014.

Time Series of Chariable Giving




Figure 1: Proportion of Donors (bar chart) and Average Donations among Donors (blue line)

Summary Statistics of Covariates

Table 1: Summary Statistics of Covariates

2012	2013	2014	2015
0.51	0.51	0.52	0.52
38.39	39.10	39.67	40.51
1699.86	1764.04	1838.76	1872.54
0.42	0.41	0.40	0.39
0.30	0.30	0.31	0.31
0.28	0.28	0.29	0.30
14138	13984	13787	13524
4756	4807	4819	4832
	0.51 38.39 1699.86 0.42 0.30 0.28 14138	0.51 0.51 38.39 39.10 1699.86 1764.04 0.42 0.41 0.30 0.30 0.28 0.28 14138 13984	0.51 0.51 0.52 38.39 39.10 39.67 1699.86 1764.04 1838.76 0.42 0.41 0.40 0.30 0.30 0.31 0.28 0.28 0.29 14138 13984 13787

Summary Statistics of Covariates (Cont'd)

Table 2: Summary Statistics of Covariates (Continued)

2016	2017	2018
0.52	0.52	0.52
41.07	41.89	42.55
1906.91	1951.55	2039.47
0.38	0.37	0.35
0.31	0.31	0.31
0.31	0.33	0.34
13238	12963	12795
4790	4770	4765
	0.52 41.07 1906.91 0.38 0.31 0.31 13238	0.52 0.52 41.07 41.89 1906.91 1951.55 0.38 0.37 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.33 13238 12963

What is Giving Price?

Consider allocation between private consumptions (x_i) and charitable giving (g_i) . Let y_i be pre-tax total income. Then, the budget constraint is

$$x_i + g_i = y_i - T_i(y_i, g_i),$$

where ${\cal T}_i$ is tax amount depending on the pre-tax income and charitable giving.

Determination of Tax Amount

Tax deduction reduces taxable income by giving, that is,

$$T_i = \tau(y_i - g_i) \cdot (y_i - g_i),$$

where $\tau(\cdot)$ is the marginal income tax rate which is determined by $y_i-g_i.$

Tax credit reduces tax amount directly, that is,

$$T_i = \tau(y_i) \cdot y_i - mg_i,$$

where $m \in [0,1]$ is the tax credit rate.

Derive Giving Price

Under the tax deduction system, the budget constraint is

$$x_i+[1-\tau(y_i-g_i)]g_i=[1-\tau(y_i-g_i)]y_i.$$

Thus, the giving price of tax deduction system is $p_i^d=1-\tau(y_i-g_i).$

Under the tax credit system, the budget constraint is

$$x_i + (1 - m)g_i = [1 - \tau(y_i)]y_i.$$

Thus, the giving price of tax credit system is $p_i^c = 1 - m$.

Construct Giving Price

In the South Korea, the tax policy about charitable giving drastically changed in 2014.

- \blacktriangleright tax deduction (before 2014): $Price_i = 1 \tau(y_i g_i)$
 - the giving price is endogenous because people can manipulate $\tau(y_i-g_i)$ using the charitable giving g_i . Since this problem is caused by *last* donations, we use the giving price applying to the *first* donations (**first price**). The first price is calculate by $\tau(y_i)$ where y_i is the annual taxable income reported in the NaSTaB.
- \blacktriangleright tax credit (after 2014): Price_i = 1 m
 - In the South Korea, the tax credit rate determines exogeneity, $m=0.15.\,$

Income Distribution and Giving Price




Figure 2: Income Distribution and Giving Price

Price Elasticity

Baseline Regressions

Our baseline regression equation is

$$\log(\mathsf{Giving}_{ijt}) = \alpha_i + \beta_1 \log(\mathsf{Price}_{ijt}) + \delta X_{ijt} + \lambda_t + \epsilon_{ijt}.$$

- $lackbox{log}(\mathsf{Giving}_{ijt})$ is logarithm of individual i's charitable giving in year t.
- $ightharpoonup \log(\mathsf{Price}_{ijt})$ is logarithm of individual i's giving price in year t.
- \triangleright β_1 represents the price elasticity of giving.
- $ightharpoonup lpha_i$ and λ_t are individual and time fixed effect, respectively.

Result of Baseline Regressions

We found the **price effect** of giving (1% price increase leads to about 1.1% giving decrease)

Table 3: Baseline Regressions

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
In(giving price)	-1.071***	-1.071***	-1.229***	-1.059***	-1.062***
	(0.201)	(0.201)	(0.227)	(0.226)	(0.226)
Logged Income	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Age	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Year X Educ	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ
Year X Gender	N	N	N	Υ	Υ
Resident Area	N	N	N	N	Υ
Obs	54213	54213	54211	54211	54211

Conclusions

Conclusions