# Charitable Giving, Tax Reform, and Political Trust

Hiroki Kato $^1$  Tsuyoshi Goto $^2$  Yong-Rok Kim $^3$   $^1{\rm Osaka\ University}$   $^2{\rm Chiba\ University}$   $^3{\rm Kobe\ University}$  2021/01/25

# Introduction

# Background of South Korea Tax Reform

To investigate the price effect, we use the 2014 tax reform in the South Korea.

- ▶ Before 2014, tax deduction was adopted to subsidize charitable donation behavior.
- After 2014, tax credit have been adopted.

The main difference is that tax credits reduce taxes directly, while tax deductions indirectly lower the tax burden by decreasing the taxpayer's marginal tax rate, which increases with gross income

#### Data

# National Survey of Tax and Benefit (NaSTaB)

- ► The Korea Institute of Taxation and Finance implements the financial panel survey to study the tax burden of households and the benefits that households receive from government.
- ➤ The subjects of this survey are general household and household members living in 15 cities and provinces nationwide.
- This survey is based on a face-to-face interview. If it is difficult for investigators to meet subjects, another family member answers on behalf of him.
- Survey items: Annual taxable income (last year), charitable donations (last year), trust for politicians (5-Likert scale), and other covariates (age, education, gender etc.).
- ➤ Survey period: 2008 ~ 2019
  - We use survey data after 2013 to focus on tax policy change in 2014.

# Time Series of Chariable Giving




Figure 1: Proportion of Donors and Average Donations among Donors

# Summary Statistics of Covariates

Table 1: Summary Statistics of Covariates

	2012	2013	2014	2015
Female	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.52
Age	38.39	39.10	39.67	40.51
Annual taxable income	1699.86	1764.04	1838.76	1872.54
University graduate	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.30
High school graduate	0.30	0.30	0.31	0.31
#.Respondents	14138	13984	13787	13524
#.Households	4756	4807	4819	4832

# Summary Statistics of Covariates (Cont'd)

Table 2: Summary Statistics of Covariates (Continued)

	2016	2017	2018
Female	0.52	0.52	0.52
Age	41.07	41.89	42.55
Annual taxable income	1906.91	1951.55	2039.47
University graduate	0.31	0.33	0.34
High school graduate	0.31	0.31	0.31
#.Respondents	13238	12963	12795
#.Households	4790	4770	4765

# What is Giving Price?

Consider allocation between private consumptions  $(x_i)$  and charitable giving  $(g_i)$ . Let  $y_i$  be pre-tax total income. Then, the budget constraint is

$$x_i + g_i = y_i - T_i(y_i, g_i),$$

where  ${\cal T}_i$  is tax amount depending on the pre-tax income and charitable giving.

#### Determination of Tax Amount

Tax deduction reduces taxable income by giving, that is,

$$T_i = \tau(y_i - g_i) \cdot (y_i - g_i),$$

where  $\tau(\cdot)$  is the marginal income tax rate which is determined by  $y_i-g_i.$ 

Tax credit reduces tax amount directly, that is,

$$T_i = \tau(y_i) \cdot y_i - mg_i,$$

where  $m \in [0,1]$  is the tax credit rate.

# Derive Giving Price

Under the tax deduction system, the budget constraint is

$$x_i + [1 - \tau(y_i - g_i)]g_i = [1 - \tau(y_i - g_i)]y_i.$$

Thus, the giving price of tax deduction system is  $p_i^d = 1 - \tau(y_i - g_i).$ 

Under the tax credit system, the budget constraint is

$$x_i+(1-m)g_i=[1-\tau(y_i)]y_i.$$

Thus, the giving price of tax credit system is  $p_i^c = 1 - m$ .

# Construct Giving Price

In the South Korea, the tax policy about charitable giving drastically changed in 2014.

- $\blacktriangleright$  tax deduction (before 2014):  $\mathsf{Price}_i = 1 \tau(y_i g_i)$ 
  - the giving price is endogenous because people can manipulate  $\tau(y_i-g_i)$  using the charitable giving  $g_i$ . Since this problem is caused by *last* donations, we use the giving price applying to the *first* donations (**first price**). The first price is calculate by  $\tau(y_i)$  where  $y_i$  is the annual taxable income reported in the NaSTaB.
- $\blacktriangleright$  tax credit (after 2014): Price<sub>i</sub> = 1-m
  - In the South Korea, the tax credit rate determines exogeneity,  $m=0.15. \label{eq:model}$

# Income Distribution and Giving Price




Figure 2: Income Distribution and Giving Price in 2013