

Charitable Giving, Tax Reform, and Self-selection of Tax Report: Evidence from South Korea

true true true

2021/07/21

Introduction

Charitable Giving and Taxation

In many countries, governments set a tax relief for charitable giving.

To evaluate the effect of tax relief, many papers investigate the elasticity of charitable donations with respect to their tax price (Almunia et al., 2020; Auten et al., 2002; Bakija and Heim, 2011; Fack and Landais, 2010; Randolph, 1995).

Focusing on the tax deduction or tax credit on the charity, they show that the price elasticity of giving is about -1 or more in terms of absolute value.

Charitable Giving and Taxiation

In addition to the tax price charitable donations, the donations may be affected by people's perception towards the government.

This is because the works and missions of private charity often mirror or overlap with one of governments, and the charity is not needed if the government adequately satisfies the needs of society.

Thus, the different perception towards the government may make the different behavior for charitable giving.

We investigate the relation between tax price elasticity of charitable donations and the different perception towards the government using the South Korean dataset.

Summary in short

Our result classifies that:

- ▶ the price elasticity of giving in Korea is $-1.07 \sim -1.26$, which is within the range of the extant research.
- ▶ the amount of donation is not different between those who regard government as inefficient and the others.
- ▶ the giving price elasticity of those who regard government as inefficient is more elastic than the others. This means that those who think of government as inefficient have more willingness to donate for 1% reduction of giving price.

South Korean tax reform

We can utilize the effect of the 2014 tax reform in the South Korea.

- ▶ Before 2014, tax deduction was adopted to subsidize charitable donation behavior.
- ▶ After 2014, tax credit have been adopted.

The main difference is that tax credits reduce taxes directly, while tax deductions indirectly lower the tax burden by decreasing the marginal tax rate, which increases with gross income.

In addition, the dataset contains the information about perception towards the government.

Related Literature

This study mainly relates to the two strands of studies.

1. Research about tax price elasticity of charitable donations
2. Research about perception towards the government and donation/tax payment.

Research about tax price elasticity of charitable donations

Papers in this strand examines the price and income elasticity of charitable donations using the tax deduction applied for donation. The estimated price elasticities vary, but the typical one is said as -1 (Andreoni and Payne, 2013).

- ▶ Auten et al.(2002): -0.79~-1.26 (the U.S.)
- ▶ Fack and Landais(2010): -0.15~-0.57 (France)
- ▶ Bakija and Heim (2011): -0.61~-1.1 (the U.S.)
- ▶ Duquette (2016): -2.15~-5.01 (the U.S.)
- ▶ Almunia et al.(2020): -0.24~-1.5 (the U.K.)

The study in non-Western country, where the culture of donation may be different, is few. Thus, we firstly examine the elasticity of giving in Korea.

Research about perception towards the government and donation/tax payment.

Experimental studies show that the giving behavior may be affected by perception towards the government.

- ▶ Li et al.(2011) suggest that governmental organizations collect less donation than private charities though they have the same mission and work.
- ▶ Sheremeta and Uler(2020) show that individuals provide public good reacting the wasteful spending of government.

This may be because people with distrust in government think that

1. the direct donation is more efficient than public service provision or
2. people can directly allocate and control their funds by donation, unlike public service provision.

Thus, people having the different trust in the government would have different elasticities of giving.

Institutional background

Tax relief for charitable giving by tax deduction and tax credit

In the South Korea, the tax policy about charitable giving drastically changed in 2014. Before then, tax relief of charitable giving was provided by tax deduction while, from 2014, tax relief by tax credit was introduced instead of tax deduction.

The tax deduction and tax credit may have different effects on giving behavior. This section summarize the difference of tax deduction and tax credit.

Budget Set

Consider that a household has a choice between private consumptions (x_i) and charitable giving (g_i). Let y_i be pre-tax total income. Then, the budget constraint is

$$x_i + g_i = y_i - T_i(y_i, g_i).$$

T_i is tax amount which depends on the pre-tax income and charitable giving.

Tax Deduction

Tax deduction reduces taxable income by giving, that is,

$$T_i = \tau(y_i - g_i) \cdot (y_i - g_i),$$

where $\tau(\cdot)$ is the marginal income tax rate which is determined by $y_i - g_i$. The budget constraint will be

$$x_i + [1 - \tau(y_i - g_i)]g_i = [1 - \tau(y_i - g_i)]y_i.$$

The relative price of giving is $p_i^d \equiv 1 - \tau(y_i - g_i)$. Since the giving price in tax deduction scheme varies depending on (1) the income level and (2) the amount of charitable giving, it is endogenous to them.

Tax Credit

Tax credit reduces tax amount directly, that is,

$$T_i = \tau(y_i) \cdot y_i - mg_i,$$

where $m \in [0, 1]$ is the tax credit rate. Under the tax credit system, the budget constraint is

$$x_i + (1 - m)g_i = [1 - \tau(y_i)]y_i.$$

The relative price of giving is $p_i^c = 1 - m$, which is only dependent on the tax credit rate m , which is exogenously determined by the government.

Korean tax reform in 2014

- ▶ The tax incentives for charitable giving in Korea started in 2000 and the market of charitable giving in Korea totaled 10.9 trillion KRW (approximately 1.09 billion USD, 0.761% of GDP) in 2012 according to the national tax statistics.
- ▶ Since the income tax deduction was initially used as a tax incentive and the marginal income tax rate was determined as Table 1, the minimum giving price before 2014 was 0.62.

Marginal income tax rate

Table 1: Marginal Income Tax Rate

Income/Year	2008	2009	2010 ~ 2011	2012 ~ 2013	2014 ~ 2016	2017	2018
(A) ~ 1200	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%
(B) 1200 ~ 4600	17%	16%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%
(C) 4600 ~ 8800	26%	25%	24%	24%	24%	24%	24%
(D) 8800 ~ 15000					35%		35%
(E) 15000 ~ 30000				35%		35%	38%
(F) 30000 ~ 50000	35%	35%	35%		38%	38%	40%
(G) 50000 ~				38%		40%	42%

Notes: Marginal income tax rates applied from 2008 to 2018 are summarized. The income level is shown in terms of 10,000 KRW, which is approximately 10 United States dollars (USD) at an exchange rate of 1,000 KRW to one USD.

Data

National Survey of Tax and Benefit (NaSTaB)

- ▶ An annual financial panel survey implemented by The Korea Institute of Taxation and Finance implements to study the tax burden of households and the benefits that households receive from government.
- ▶ The subjects of this survey are general household and household members living in 15 cities and provinces nationwide.
- ▶ This survey is based on a face-to-face interview. If it is difficult for investigators to meet subjects, another family member answers on behalf of him.
- ▶ We use data from 2012 to 2018 **since the items of the value survey which we focused is not available before 2012 (ここは違いま???)**. In addition, we exclude the subject of the sample, whose age is under 23, since they are not likely to have income or asset.

Summary Statistics

	N	Mean	Std.Dev.	Min	Median	Max
Charitable Donations						
Annual charitable giving (unit: 10,000KRW)	67848	29.52	132.91	0.00	0.00	10000.00
Dummy of Donation > 0	67848	0.20	0.40	0.00	0.00	1.00
Income, giving price, and tax report						
Annual taxable income (unit: 10,000KRW)	53269	1876.12	2700.97	0.00	900.00	91772.00
First giving price	62877	0.86	0.04	0.62	0.85	0.94
Dummy of tax report	18596	0.30	0.46	0.00	0.00	1.00
Individual Characteristics						
Age	67848	51.35	15.81	24.00	50.00	104.00
Female dummy	67848	0.53	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Employee dummy	42362	0.53	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
University graduate	67842	0.41	0.49	0.00	0.00	1.00
High school graduate dummy	67842	0.35	0.48	0.00	0.00	1.00
Junior high school graduate dummy	67842	0.24	0.43	0.00	0.00	1.00

Charitable Giving




Figure 1: Proportion of Donors and Average Donations among Donors Notes: The left and

Income and Giving Price

- ▶ Figure ?? shows the giving price after 2012 and income distribution in 2013.
 - ▶ Blue line shows the giving price in 2012 and 2013, which depends on income
 - ▶ Red dashed line shows the giving price after 2014, which is not a function of income.
- ▶ We can make three groups in terms of the benefit from the 2014 tax reform.
 - ▶ Benefit group: Final taxable income is less than 1200×10^4 KRW.
 - ▶ Neutral group: Final taxable income lies between $(1200 \times 10^4, 4600 \times 10^4)$.
 - ▶ Loss group: Final taxable income is more than 4600×10^4 KRW.

Giving Price and Income Distribution (Cont'd)




Figure 2: Income Distribution and Giving Price in 2013

References

References I

- Almunia, M., Guceri, I., Lockwood, B., Scharf, K., 2020. More giving or more givers? The effects of tax incentives on charitable donations in the UK. *Journal of Public Economics* 183. doi:10.1016/j.jpubeco.2019.104114
- Auten, G.E., Sieg, H., Clotfelter, C.T., 2002. Charitable giving, income, and taxes: An analysis of panel data. *American Economic Review* 92, 371–382.
- Bakija, J., Heim, B.T., 2011. How does charitable giving respond to incentives and income? New estimates from panel data. *National Tax Journal* 64, 615–650. doi:10.17310/ntj.2011.2S.08
- Fack, G., Landais, C., 2010. Are tax incentives for charitable giving efficient? Evidence from france. *American Economic Journal - Economic Policy* 2, 117–141. doi:10.1257/pol.2.2.117

References II

Randolph, W.C., 1995. Dynamic income, progressive taxes, and the timing of charitable contributions. *Journal of Political Economy* 103, 709–738.
doi:10.1086/262000