Functional Programming and the Scala Language

Lecture 5

Eugene Zouev
Innopolis University
Spring Semester 2018

Pattern Matching Basic Scala Collections

Match Expression

No switch statement - match expression instead

Example from the previous lecture

```
val firstArg = if (args.length>0) args(0) else ""

val friend = firstArg match {
   case "salt" => "pepper"
   case "chips" => "salsa"
   case "eggs" => "bacon"
   case _ => "huh?"
}
println(friend)
```

The main point: What can be specified as "case"?

1. Constants (literals)

```
val ch: Char
...
val sign = ch match {
  case '+' => 1
  case '-' => -1
  case _ => 0
}
```

2. Variables

```
var str: String

val sym = str(i) match {
  case '+' => 1
  case '-' => -1
  case ch => Character.digit(ch,10)
}
Here, ch matches any
character except '+' & '-'
```

3. Types (!)

4. Type & Variables

```
val obj = ...
val res = obj match {
  case x: Int => x
  case s: String => Integer.parseInt(s)
  case _: BigInt => Int.MaxValue
  case _ => 0
}
```

If obj is of type Int, then x matches obj as of type Int.
Else if obj is of type String, then s matches obj as of type String.
Etc...

5. Tuples

Second case: x & y match corresponding tuple elements. Here we need them to compare for equality (in guard clause).

First case: we don't care about 1st tuple

element; we check if its 2nd element

6. Arrays (and other collections)

What's wrong with the code?

```
anArray match {
 case Array(_, _) => "array with two elements"
 case Array(x, y) if x==y => "array with two equal elements"
```

The second case is never considered because the first one "covers" the second!

similar situation with c++
exception handlers in Or: cases are processed in order of their the appearance in the code.

The consequence:

Put more specialized cases first

Cases as function literals (!)

```
val obj = ...
val res = obj match {
  case x: Int => x
  case s: String => Integer.parseInt(s)
  case _: BigInt => Int.MaxValue
  case _ => 0
}
```

Cases in match can be considered as multiple "entries" to functions where constructs after each case are treated as function parameters, and expressions after => as function bodies...

match: Pattern Matching in Full

Simplified expression grammar

```
Expression: Variable
| Number
| UnaryOperator Expression
| Expression BinaryOperator Expression
```

How the grammar could be represented programmatically:

```
abstract class Expr
case class Var(name: String) extend Expr
case class Number(num: Double) extend Expr
case class UnOp(op: String, arg: Expr) extend Expr
case class BinOp(op: String, left: Expr, right: Expr) extend Expr
```

Expression example

```
Binop("*", a*(b+2)

Var("a"),

Binop("+",

Var("b"),

Number(2)))
```

match: Pattern Matching in Full

The task: to implement expression simplification using a few obvious rules

```
Transformation rules ("algebra"):

-(-e) \Rightarrow e //double negation

e + 0 \Rightarrow e // adding zero

e * 1 \Rightarrow e // multiplication by one

Where e is an expression

Unop("-", Unop("-", e)) => e

Binop("+", e, Number("0")) => e

Binop("*", e, Number("1")) => e
```

```
def simplify(expr: Expr): Expr =
   expr match {
    case UnOp("-", UnOp("-",expr)) => expr
    case BinOp("+",expr,Number("0")) => expr
    case BinOp("*",expr,Number("1")) => expr
    case _ => expr
}
```

match: Full Coverage by Cases

The problem with case class hierarchies:

```
abstract class Expr
case class Var(name: String) extend Expr
case class Number(num: Double) extend Expr
case class UnOp(op: String, arg: Expr) extend Expr
case class BinOp(op: String, left: Expr, right: Expr) extend Expr
```

```
def simplify(expr: Expr): Expr =
   expr match {
    case UnOp("-", UnOp("-", expr)) => expr
    case BinOp("+", expr, Number("0")) => expr
    case BinOp("*", expr, Number("1")) => expr
    case _ => expr
}
Common behavior
```

Suppose a user has added a new class to the hierarchy in his/her own program:

```
case class ArrayElem(arr: Expr, index: Expr) extend Expr
```

In that case, simplify cannot handle all possible cases.

match: Full Coverage by Cases

The problem with case class hierarchies:

```
abstract class Expr
case class Var(name: String) extend Expr
case class Number(num: Double) extend Expr
case class UnOp(op: String, arg: Expr) extend Expr
case class BinOp(op: String, left: Expr, right: Expr) extend Expr
```

```
def simplify(expr: Expr): Expr =
   expr match {
    case UnOp("-", UnOp("-", expr)) => expr
    case BinOp("+", expr, Number("0")) => expr
    case BinOp("*", expr, Number("1")) => expr
    case _ => expr
}
```

Common behavior will cover the case with ArrayElem, but it's impossible to specify non-default processing for it

The solution: explicitly prohibit adding new classes to the hierarchy:

```
sealed abstract class Expr
```

match: Full Coverage by Cases

The problem with case class hierarchies:

```
sealed abstract class Expr
case class Var(name: String) extend Expr
case class Number(num: Double) extend Expr
case class UnOp(op: String, arg: Expr) extend Expr
case class BinOp(op: String, left: Expr, right: Expr) extend Expr
```

```
def describe(e: Expr): String =
  e match {
    case Number(_) => "a number"
    case Var(_) => "a variable"
  }
}
```

```
Warning: match is not exhaustive! missing combination UnOp missing combination BinOp
```

In case of sealed, compiler is able to detect missing cases

Write the class hierarchy for expressions presented on the last lecture. Test the function simplify against your own examples.

Write the function simplifyAll that should perform the same actions for the whole expression but not for its top-level parts.

Hint: the function should recursively call itself.

In addition to simplifications defined previously, implement the following transformations:

```
+e \rightarrow e // identity operation

e * 0 \rightarrow 0 // multiplication by zero

e + e \rightarrow e*2 // replace addition for mult.
```

Test simplifyAll against a reasonable set of expressions.

Suppose there is an expression written in accordance with the grammar from the lecture with two exceptions: only binary operators and operands are only integer constants (no variables).

The simplified grammar:

```
abstract class Expr
case class Number(num: Integer) extend Expr
case class BinOp(op: String, left: Expr, right: Expr) extend Expr
```

Example of expression:

Operator signs:

```
+ - * /
```

Remark 1: operator / means integer division

Remark 2: +, - have lower precedence; *, / have higher precedence

The assignment is to write a Scala program reading the input string with an expression written in accordance with the grammar and calculate the value of the expression.

Example: the input expression like

$$1+(2-3*4)/5$$
 should be calculated to -1

The solution should consist of (at least) two functions:

- The first function (read) should read the expression from the input string and build the corresponding tree with nodes of types BinOp and Number.
 Perhaps you will need some nested functions within read.
- The second function, calculate, should perform actual calculations. The function should use pattern matching mechanism.

read: a draft

Organize a loop over characters taken from the input string. On each iteration check the character:

- If it is '(' then skip it and invoke read() function recursively.
 It should return an Expr. After returning from the function, check for final ')'.
- If it is a **digit** then scan the source until the number ends, create and return Number (substring)
- Otherwise, invoke read() function recursively, get rightOp of type Expr as the result. Then check for an operator sign, and if there is one, keep it and invoke read() again for the right operand. After that create and return BinOp(sign, leftOp, rightOp).
- Remarks: take care about operator precedence, (perhaps you'll need two nested functions for additive and multiplicative operators) and about end of source string. Assume that the input string always contains syntactically correct expression.

calculate: a draft

```
def calculate(expr: Expr): Integer =
   expr match {
    case Number(str) => Integer.parseInt(str)
    case BinOp("+",expr1,expr2) =>
        calculate(expr1)+calculate(expr2)
    case BinOp("*",expr1,expr2) =>
        calculate(expr1)*calculate(expr2)
    ...
    case _ => ???
}
```

BTW, this is not an informal remark: this is the name of a real method throwing the exception like NotImplemented ©

Basic Scala Collections: Array List

Scala Collections: Array & List

Some common info about arrays & lists in Scala

- Both are fundamental and popular data structures in Scala; they don't differ much from similar data structures in other languages (e.g., C++, C# etc.).
- Both contain elements of some type. The type is the same for all elements: these structures are homogeneous.
- Both are **generic** data structures: i.e., they are parametrized by a type of their elements.
- Both are implemented as classes.
- Both are the part of the standard Scala library.
- Both have a big set of operations (implemented as class methods and/or operators)

Scala Collections: Array & List

What's the common differences between arrays & lists?

- Advantages & disadvantages; where and when to use array/list instead of list/array?
- How arrays and lists are represented internally?

 You should know that or read any textbook about data structures

 textbook about data structures

Some differences between arrays & lists in Scala

- Arrays are mutable in Scala; lists are immutable.
- The implementation of arrays is based on the Java's array implementation. This gives full interoperability between Java & Scala programs (i.e., a Scala program can use arrays declared in a Java program, and vice versa).
- Lists are implemented "from scratch" in Scala

How to declare and create an array?

```
Conventional (Java-like) way
```

```
val greets = new Array[String](3)
```

How to assign to array elements?

```
greets(0) = "Hello" Conventional (Java-like) way
greets(1) = ", "
greets(2) = "world!"

Internally gets converted to:
    greets.update(0, "Hello")
    greets.update(1, ", ")
    greets.update(2, "world!")
```

Why we use parentheses instead of square brackets? Quick explanation:

- Arrays in Scala are just class instances. Therefore, any access to a class instance is actually a call to a method. In case of arrays there are two methods: apply for getting array elements, and update for modifying them.

```
val s = greets(0)

val s = greets.apply(0)
```

How to declare and create an array?

Scala way

```
val nums = Array("zero", "one", "two")
```

No need to mention String as the type of elements, and the array size:

- Compiler understands (infers, deduces)
 the type of array elements from (types of) initializers
- Compiler deduces the size of the array from amount of initializers from the list


Why don't we write new keyword?

- Here, compiler doesn't use Array's constructor for creating the new instance; instead, it uses apply factory method for creation.

The full form:

```
val greets = Array.apply("zero", "one", "two")
```

What is "factory method"?



Arrays are mutable objects


```
val greets = Array("Hello", ", ", "world!")
```

After array was created, it's impossible to change its type and size. However...

```
greets(2) = "Bob!"
```

...it's quite possible to change values of its elements.

- Do you know what's the difference between arrays and lists in general?
- Do you know how lists are represented internally?



Later we will see how lists are represented in Scala (they have recursive structure)

How to declare and create a list?

```
val fruit = List("apples", "oranges", "pears")
                                        val fruit: List[String] =
                                         List("apples", "oranges", "pears")
val nums = List(1, 2, 3, 4)
                                        val nums: List[Int] =
                                                  List(1, 2, 3, 4)
val matrix = List( List(1, 0, 0),
                      List(0, 1, 0),
                      List(0, 0, 1)
                                        val matrix: List[List[Int]]
                                                   = List( List(1, 0, 0),
                                                         List(0, 1, 0),
                                                         List(0, 0, 1)
val empty = List()
                                        val empty: List[Nothing] = List()
```

- Lists are generic structures
- Lists are homogeneous structures
- Lists are immutable structures
- Lists are covariant structures

```
val empty = List()
```

Empty lists are represented as List() (or as Nil, but **not** as ()) and have type List[Nothing]

Nothing is the lowest type in the Scala type hierarchy (will see & discuss later)

Basic operators on lists

: infix binary operator for *cons*tructing lists

(the similar operator is called cons in other functional languages; came from Lisp initially)

element :: list

Common form: this is a list where element is its first element, and elements from 7ist go after it

Examples

```
val example0 = Nil
```

```
val example1 = List(1)
```

Gets converted to:

val example1 = 1 :: Nil

```
val example3 = List(1,2,3)
```

Gets converted to:

```
val example3 = 1 :: (2 :: (3:: Nil))
```

Basic operators on lists

The :: operator is <u>right associative</u>. This means that the construct like

```
val nums = 1 :: (2 :: (3 :: (4 :: Nil)))
```

is equivalent to

```
val nums = 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: Nil
```

...and in turn is the same as

```
val nums = List(1, 2, 3, 4)
```

The common rule taken in Scala: if the name of an operator ends with ":", then the operator has <u>right associativity</u>. ©©

Basic operators on lists

head the method returns the first element of the list

```
val nums = List(1, 2, 3, 4)
val f = nums.head // returns 1
```

tail the method returns the list starting from the second element of the initial list

```
val nums = List(1, 2, 3, 4)
val t = nums.tail // returns (2, 3, 4)
```

is Empty the method returns true if the list is empty, and false otherwise

```
val nums = List(1, 2, 3, 4)
val e = nums.isEmpty // returns false
```

Scala Collections: List Example & assignment

Sorting list elements by insertions

The idea is as follows: in order to sort a non-empty list represented as x::xs its tail xs gets sorted first, and then the first element x is inserted to the appropriate position of the result.

```
def isort(xs: List[Int]): List[Int] =
   if (x.isEmpty) Nil
   else insert(xs.head, isort(xs.tail)

def insert(x: Int, xs: List[Int]) : List[Int] =
   if (xs.isEmpty || x <= xs.head) x::xs
   else xs.head :: insert(x, xs.tail)</pre>
```

The assignment is to **test** the algorithm on some real list consisting of random-generated integer values and **estimate the complexity** of the algorithm.

32/32