# Internet Anonymity

Mike Hoffert Nathan Abramyk Jeff Pereyma Kari Vass

March 24, 2014

### Internet anonymity is important

- Supports freedom of speech: governments can't censor you if they don't know who you are
- Skirting surveillance generally requires anonymity (perhaps to avoid government censorship)
- Oppressive governments often attempt censorship
  - Turkey recently blocked Twitter
  - Amnesty International stated that China "has the largest recorded number of imprisoned journalists and cyber-dissidents in the world"
  - In Iran, internet users must promise not to access "non-Islamic" websites

### Internet anonymity is important

- Whistleblowers may need anonymity to prevent retaliation
- Undercover military and law enforcement agents often need anonymity for their protection
- Journalists may have to protect their sources
- One line of defence against targeted attacks
- Removes real life consequences for controversial opinions
- Some people feel uncomfortable speaking publicly

## Threats to internet anonymity

- IP addresses can be tied to an ISP customer (but insufficient to identify a specific individual)
  - There could be another person using the computer
  - Drive by downloading
- A number of recent American actions would have implications on internet anonymity: SOPA, PIPA, PRISM, CISPA, etc
- ISPs that sell your information
- Tracking services (especially with online advertisers)
- Browsers have lots of identifying information this
  is what our project focused on

## Browser fingerprint

- A browser fingerprint is a way to identify a specific browser, based an unique identifiers that is holds
- Some features include things like:
  - Header Information
  - Installed Plugins
  - Installed Fonts
  - Time Zone
  - Screen Size
  - Cookies
  - User Agent
- If you combine these features of a browser together, you will find that every browser tends to have a very unique set of these properties. This is your "Browser Fingerprint"

## Panopticlick<sup>1</sup>

- The tool used to gauge the effectiveness of our changes was the EFF's Panopticlick research project
- Panopticlick <a href="https://panopticlick.eff.org/">https://panopticlick.eff.org/</a> is an attempt to identify the uniqueness of a browser via some of the previously mentioned techniques
- We picked the most identifying techniques and attempted to thwart them
- Let's go into more detail on how we did that

#### HTTP Headers

- The fields in your HTTP headers can be used to help to detect your browser fingerprint.
- The header field User-Agent contains identifying information regarding your operating system and version, as well what browser you're using and what version it is.
- The Language-Accept field can also pass identifying information regarding language settings. For instance, your browser might be passing en-CA (Canadian English) as your preferred language.

#### HTTP Headers: Our Solution

- Our extension works to solve this problem by modifying the header fields before they are sent.
- For instance, in setting the Language-Accept field to accept US English, or any other English. This is more generic than passing en-CA.
- Consider that with our language preference set to Canadian English, roughly 1 in 220,000 browsers have this value. Once our extension passes in en-US instead, 1 in 40 browsers have this value.

#### Available fonts

- Browser fonts can be incredibly identifying because most people have a unique set of fonts installed
- Clever and varying techniques using Javascript can be used to accomplish this
- Primary method we tried to break was measuring font width/height
- 2 Approaches to the problem:
  - Override the specific functions that measure fonts
  - Override or block common DOM methods used when measuring fonts
- Problems arise with page load times and overriding DOM methods

## Available plugins

- TODO: Detail how the list of available plugins impacts fingerprinting, how we solved this, and the major shortfall of our solution
- Be sure to detail how the browser/JS implementation could offer a better solution than our hacky fix

# Other fingerprinting threats

- Flash is an effective and very common method to detect fonts
- Panopticlick uses Flash for it's font detection
- To prevent these, there are extension like Flashblock, or Ghostery
- You can also change settings in your Flash install
  - File: mms.cfg Line: DisableDeviceFontEnumeration = 1

# Putting it all together

- Our Chrome plugin, named "Fingerprint Anonymizer", adds several hooks and overrides to prevent or reduce fingerprinting
- The goal was not to flat out block actions that could be used to identify the user, but rather to let the user know that they were taking place and allow them to choose whether or not to allow them
- This goal was ultimately not possible, due to the fact that much of the data was accessed through parameters and not functions – we couldn't add functionality to detect when information was read

## Putting it all together

- A whitelist was implemented, which the user could add domains for which the blocking is not activated
- The user can manually add regex for matching domains to the whitelist in the extension's options page
- We also implemented a browser action (a button in the browser's main toolbar that opens a prompt) to add the current domain to the whitelist
- Rewriting the HTTP headers is done for all pages
- TODO: mention end result (panopticlick results)

#### Drawbacks

- TODO: Mention the limitations of our project
- Include mention of limitations of fingerprinting (frequently changes, tracks machine and not user, etc)
- Mention technical limitations such as having to block all plugins and not being able to block font detection

#### Conclusion

 TODO: Rough conclusion of the things browsers/JS can improve on to prevent fingerprinting, other extensions (like Flashblock, etc), and how effective we think our techniques are at stopping fingerprinting

#### Demo

Let's now consider a quick demo of the extension in action

#### TODO: Remove me

#### This slide demonstrates how source code is displayed

```
// Populate the text area with our previously saved array
chrome.storage.sync.get (
    'whitelist'.
    function (result) {
        // Get the stored whitelist array
        var whitelist = result.whitelist;
        // Iterate over array and populate our page
        for(var i = 0; i < whitelist.length; i++)</pre>
            $('#whitelist').append(whitelist[i] + "\n");
```