

Format of Certificate

Certificate of authenticity

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that Internship Project of Name of Student titled Title of project is an original work and that this work has not been submitted anywhere in any form. Indebtedness to other works/publications has been duly acknowledged at relevant places. The project work was carried during Start date to End Date in Name of Organization

Signature Internship IRC member/TnP officer	Signature of industry mentor/Supervisor
Name:	Name:
Designation:	Designation:
<i>(Seal of the organization with Date)</i>	<i>(Seal of the organization with Date)</i>

Format of Joining Report

Indian Institute of information Technology, Sonapat

Internship

JOINING REPORT (to be Submitted to TnP)

Date of Joining The Internship Station _____

Period of Internship	From	To	Total Months
Student Information	Name		Roll No
			Branch
	<i>Student's Signature with Date</i>		
Name and Address of the Internship Station			
Location of the Project			
Name and Designation of the Industry Guide/ Industry Mentor for the Project			

	Signature of Industry Mentor
Industry Mentor Contact No.	
Industry Mentor E-mail Address	

Sample for Mid Semester Evaluation (To be Submitted by TnP) for each student
Please find below the rubrics for all Internship assessments. Casual attitude to deadlines, not communicating in time and no rigor in work done are the most important parameters to look for during assessment.

MEANING OF RUBRICS FOR MIDSEM SEMINAR (Maximum: 40 Mark)

MIDSEM SEMINAR: EVALUATION (to filled by industry mentor/ Shared by TnP Cell)

Criteria	Max marks	Inadequate Marks		Average Marks		Good Marks		Marks awarded
Background content	6	Material not clearly related to topic OR background dominated seminar	1 to 2	Material sufficient for clear understanding but not clearly presented	3 to 4	Material sufficient for clear understanding AND effectively presented	>5	
Motivation for study	4	Objective and motivation of the project are not clear or described.	<2	Major research questions and context of literature are framed but not adequate	2 to 3	Major research questions and context of literature are framed and discussed. Critical background is mastered	4	
Objectives of the work	14	Not clearly defined/Yet to be defined/No scope of project work/	5 to 6	Objectives are defined but not critical or complex in nature and not equivalent to credits of work/	6 to 10	Objectives are defined/ critical and equivalent to credits of work	10 to 14	
Milestones (Methods) identified	8	Main points of the work under discussion not identified. Only learning and training with no scope for research questions	3	Methods too brief. less complex, less significant, less exhaustive/ less imaginative and less impactful on organization	4 to 6	Interesting, exhaustive and complex methods planned, time consuming analysis, significant and impactful to organization	6 to 8	