**Project Title:** COVID-19 Open Research Dataset Challenge (CORD-19)

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

### (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 1

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 1

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 1

#### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 1

## (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 1

**Project Title:** Soccer Prediction

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

# (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 6

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 5

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 5

#### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 7

## (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 4

**Project Title:** Classification Of Skating Ice Conditions

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

### (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 9

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 3

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 7

### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 9

# (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 9

**Project Title:** Course Recommendation System

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

### (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 2

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 6

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 2

## (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 5

# (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 2

Project Title: Merger and Acquisition Prediction using ML

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

### (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 7

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 8

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 6

### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 10

#### (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 7

Project Title: Quora Question Pairs

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

#### (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 3

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 7

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 8

### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 2

# (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 6

**Project Title:** Customer Segmentation

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

### (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 10

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 10

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 9

### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 3

# (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 8

Project Title: Recommender System Using Amazon Reviews

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

### (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 8

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 4

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 4

### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 4

#### (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 5

**Project Title:** Market Basket Analysis Change Mining on Invoice Data

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

### (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 4

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 9

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 10

### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 6

# (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 10

**Project Title:** Image-based Product Recommendation System

**Reviewer:** Anonymous

Directions: Please compete this document for each project assigned to you. This review will be graded on a 10-point scale and be applied to your "final exam" score. The quality of your responses will be graded by the instructor and the course teaching assistants. The grading weight of each part of the review is enumerated in red below. For each question below, assign an integer ranking from 1 to 10 inclusive for each question, where 10 is best. For each question, you will rank all the projects that you are grading. Do not assign the same rank for the same question to two different projects. You will receive no credit for reviewing those questions unless you follow these directions carefully. Make sure to submit this form on CMT as "Anonymous". Merge all your forms and post them on BB under "Reviews".

# (2 pts review score) Goal of the team project

Was the overall goal of the project clearly explained? Did the presentation clearly connect the goals to some business or scientific objective?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 5

### (2 pts review score) Dataset clearly explained

Did the presentation explain the data set adequately? Were you convinced that the dataset could be used to achieve the goals?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 2

#### (2 pts review score) Technical approaches clearly explained?

Did the presentation explain the technical methods adequately to you as a data scientist?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 3

#### (2 pts review score) Failures explained excellently?

Did the presentation describe failed methods and give convincing reasons for failure?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 8

## (2 pts review score) Interview quality presentation?

If you watched this presentation to screen a candidate for a position, how would you recommend the candidate?

Rank towards ProjectScore: 3