

# Computer Science & IT

## Database Management System



**Relational Model & Normal Forms**

**Lecture No. 09**



**By- Vishal Sir**

# Recap of Previous Lecture



Topic

FD set of a sub-relation

Topic

Minimal cover or Canonical cover





# Topics to be Covered



Topic

Schema refinement (Normalization)

Topic

Problems because of redundancy in a relation

Topic

Properties of decomposition



#e.g., Consider the following FD set

$F = \{A \rightarrow BC$

$CD \rightarrow E$

$E \rightarrow C$

$D \rightarrow AEH$

$ABH \rightarrow BD$

$DH \rightarrow BC$

$\}$

Find minimal cover of F.

Step 1

$A \rightarrow B$

$A \rightarrow C$

$CD \rightarrow E$

$E \rightarrow C$

$D \rightarrow A$

$D \rightarrow E$

$D \rightarrow H$

$ABH \rightarrow B$

$ABH \rightarrow D$

$DH \rightarrow B$

$DH \rightarrow C$

Step-2

$A \rightarrow B$

$A \rightarrow C$

$(C)^+ = \{C\}$   $(D)^+ = \{D, C, \dots\}$   
C is extraneous

~~$D \rightarrow E$~~

$E \rightarrow C$

$D \rightarrow A$

$D \rightarrow E$

$D \rightarrow H$

$(A)^+ = \{A, B, C\}$

$AH \rightarrow D$

$(D)^+ = \{D, H, \dots\}$

$D \rightarrow B$  (Redundant)

$D \rightarrow C$  (Redundant)

Step-3

$A \rightarrow B$

$A \rightarrow C$

$E \rightarrow C$

$D \rightarrow A$

$D \rightarrow E$

$D \rightarrow H$

$AH \rightarrow D$

Step-4

$A \rightarrow BC$

$E \rightarrow C$

$D \rightarrow AEH$

$AH \rightarrow D$

Minimal cover of F.

$F = \{$   
 $AB \rightarrow C$   
 $\}$

trivial FD



## Topic : NOTE



- ★ Minimal cover of FD set  $F$  need not be unique, but all minimal cover are logically equivalent.



#e.g., Consider the FD set

$$F = \{AB \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow B\}$$

Find the number of minimal covers of  $F$ .

$$F = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} AB \rightarrow C \\ \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{A} \\ \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B} \end{array} \right\}$$

None of these two FDs can be removed

①  $AB \rightarrow C$

& we have  $A \rightarrow B$

$\therefore B$  is extraneous if  $A$  is present

Hence,

Minimal Cover  $F_{m1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow C \\ B \rightarrow A \\ A \rightarrow B \end{array} \right\}$

②  $AB \rightarrow C$

& we have  $B \rightarrow A$

$\therefore A$  is extraneous if  $B$  is present.

Hence,

Minimal Cover  $F_{m2} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B \rightarrow C \\ B \rightarrow A \\ A \rightarrow B \end{array} \right\}$



## Topic : Schema refinement (Normalization)



*duplicate  
information*

Normalization is a process of reducing / eliminating the redundancy present in the relational table





## Topic : Redundancy in a relation

If independent informations are stored in a single relational table then redundancy is possible.



information  
related to students  
in a college

## Enrollment

Sid	Sname	Cid	Cname
S <sub>1</sub>	A	C <sub>1</sub>	OS
S <sub>1</sub>	A	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>2</sub>	A	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>3</sub>	B	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>3</sub>	B	C <sub>3</sub>	OS

W.r.t. Student information

Sid → Sname  
will hold

it is not redundancy,  
it is important information.

It is  
redundancy

information  
about Courses  
in a College

Let Cid → Cname  
holds w.r.t. Course info

Consider, the FD set F  
w.r.t. relation Enrollment  
is,  $F = \{ \text{Sid} \rightarrow \text{Sname} \}$   
 $\{ \text{Cid} \rightarrow \text{Cname} \}$

∴ Candidate Key  
of Enrollment table = (Sid, Cid)





## Topic : Problems because of redundancy

- If redundancy is present in the database, then  
Various problems are possible.

① It requires more storage space { Not a big problem }

Serious Problem {

- ② Insertion Anomaly
- ③ Deletion Anomaly
- ④ Updation Anomaly



# Insertion Anomaly :-

i.e. (Sid, Cid) is Primary Key.

Enrollment

Sid	Sname	Cid	Cname
S <sub>1</sub>	A	C <sub>1</sub>	OS
S <sub>1</sub>	A	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>2</sub>	A	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>3</sub>	B	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>3</sub>	B	C <sub>3</sub>	OS
<u>NULL</u>	<u>NULL</u>	C <sub>5</sub>	AI

Can not be NULL because it is a part of Primary Key.

$\therefore (C_5, AI)$  can not be inserted

Consider, the FD set F w.r.t. relation Enrollment is,  $F = \{ Sid \rightarrow Sname, Cid \rightarrow Cname \}$

$\therefore$  Candidate Key of Enrollment table = (Sid, Cid)

Assume (Sid, Cid) is also the Primary Key of Relation



\* Insertion Anomaly :- If independent informations are stored in the same table, then some times it may not be possible to insert one information without inserting other independent informations.

eg. In the above table we can not insert the information of a new course (C5, AI) until some students enroll for that course, because 'Sid' is a part of Primary key and it can not be set to NULL.



Deletion Anomaly

i.e. (Sid, Cid) is primary key.

Enrollment

Sid	Sname	Cid	Cname
<del>S1</del>	<del>A</del>	<del>C1</del>	<del>OS</del>
<del>S1</del>	<del>A</del>	<del>C2</del>	<del>DBMS</del>
S2	A	C2	DBMS
S3	B	C2	DBMS
S3	B	C3	OS

Not allowed

∴ delete complete tuple with Sid = S1

Consider, the FD set  $F$  w.r.t. relation Enrollment is,  $F = \{ \text{Sid} \rightarrow \text{Sname}, \text{Cid} \rightarrow \text{Cname} \}$

∴ Candidate key of Enrollment table = (Sid, Cid)

Assume (Sid, Cid) is also the Primary Key of Relation



Deletion Anomaly : Some times it may not be possible to delete one independent information without deleting other associated information.

"It may result in loss of data".

From the above table if we try to delete the information of student with "Sid = S<sub>1</sub>", then we will have to delete the complete tuples associated with 'Sid = S<sub>1</sub>' (because Sid can not be NULL)

As a result we will loose the information of Course "C<sub>1</sub>" as well. Information about Course "C<sub>2</sub>" is still available wrt. Sids S<sub>2</sub> & S<sub>3</sub>



## Update Anomaly

:- If redundancy is present, then update is required in all the duplicate copies, it may be a time consuming operation.



## Topic : Schema refinement (Normalization)

Normalization is a process of **decomposing (splitting)** a relational tables into smaller tables (sub-relations) such that it eliminates/reduces the data redundancy, and it can overcome undesirable characteristics like Insertion, Updation and Deletion Anomalies

eg. in the next slid.



ie. (Sid, Cid)  
is primary  
key.

Functional  
dependency  
set  $F = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Sid \rightarrow Sname \\ Cid \rightarrow Cname \end{array} \right\}$

Enrollment

Sid	Sname	Cid	Cname
S <sub>1</sub>	A	C <sub>1</sub>	OS
S <sub>1</sub>	A	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>2</sub>	A	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>3</sub>	B	C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
S <sub>3</sub>	B	C <sub>3</sub>	OS

Assume that the above relation is  
decomposed into following  
Sub-relations.

After decomposition  
all anomalies are  
Overcome.

Student

Sid	Sname
S <sub>1</sub>	A
S <sub>2</sub>	A
S <sub>3</sub>	B

$F_1 = \{ Sid \rightarrow Sname \}$

Course

Cid	Cname
C <sub>1</sub>	OS
C <sub>2</sub>	DBMS
C <sub>3</sub>	OS
C <sub>4</sub>	AI

$F_2 = \{ Cid \rightarrow Cname \}$

Enrollment

Sid	Cid
S <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>
S <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>
S <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>
S <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>
S <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>

$F_2 = \{ \}$   
Empty





## 2 mins Summary



**Topic**

Schema refinement (Normalization)

**Topic**

Problems because of redundancy in a relation

**Topic**

Properties of decomposition



**THANK - YOU**