

RP (IT4010) -Progress Review Presentation 2 – Mark Sheet [Total contribution = 18%]

Group ID:

Student ID:

Student Name :

Sub Assessment Criteria	Excellent (100 - 75)	Good (74 - 60)	Average (59 - 40)	Below Avg. (39 - 0)	Marks [Out of 100]
Proven gap/Creative Solution [Based on LO1] - [10%]					
Problem definition (30%)	The identified problem is clearly presented referring to the current implementation.	The identified problem is adequately presented referring to the current implementation.	The identified problem is marginally presented referring to the current implementation.	The identified problem is not clearly presented. The current implementation does not help to understand the problem.	
Transfers the prototype model into functional units (70%)	A clear justification of how the prototype model is transferred into the current implementation.	An adequate justification of how the prototype model is transferred into the current implementation.	Some evidence of how the prototype model is transferred into the current implementation.	A poor or no justification of how the prototype model is transferred into the current implementation.	
Capability in applying the knowledge in particular stream [Based on LO2] - [20%]					
Application of key pillars in the specialized area of knowledge (30%)	The current implementation shows the use of extensive knowledge in the most appropriate research/knowledge areas.	The current implementation shows the use of adequate knowledge in the most appropriate research/knowledge areas.	The current implementation shows the use of some knowledge in the most appropriate research/knowledge areas.	The current implementation shows the use of little or no knowledge in the most appropriate research/knowledge areas.	
Application of technologies in the relevant key pillar/area (70%)	Technologies being applied are well presented and in-depth knowledge of technologies is demonstrated.	Technologies being applied are adequately presented. An acceptable knowledge of technologies is demonstrated.	Technologies being applied are presented with missing details. Some knowledge of technologies is demonstrated.	Technologies being applied are poorly presented with incomplete/missing details. Knowledge of technologies is poorly demonstrated.	
Solution Implementation [Based on LO3] - [40%]					
Design Excellence (30%)	Demonstrated excellent design features.	Demonstrated sufficient design features.	Demonstrated marginal/minimal design features.	Demonstrated poor design features.	
Completion of product/research (40%)	Work completed is satisfactory (approximately 90% where applicable) and no identifiable delay as per the project plan.	Work completed is acceptable. There are minor delays as per the project plan. Corrective actions have been identified and are being executed.	Work completed is not sufficient. There are some delays as per the project plan. Acceptable corrective actions have been identified.	Work completed is not sufficient. There are major delays as per the project plan. Acceptable corrective actions have not been identified.	
Standards/best practices (20%)	Application of appropriate standards/best practices is well demonstrated and clear evidence are present.	Application of appropriate standards/best practices is adequately demonstrated and some evidence are present.	Application of some standards/best practices is demonstrated. Few evidence are present.	Application of standards/best practices is not demonstrated. No evidence.	
Professional, legal, social, security and ethical issues (5%)	Professional, legal, social, security and ethical issues have been clearly identified.	Professional, legal, social, security and ethical issues have been adequately identified.	Some professional, legal, social, security and ethical issues have been identified.	Poor understanding of Professional, legal, social, security and ethical issues.	
Nonfunctional requirements (5%)	Clearly demonstrated the non functional requirement of the project.	Adequately demonstrated the non functional requirement of the project.	Demonstrated some non functional requirement of the project.	Little or no demonstration of non functional requirement of the project.	
Effective Communication [Based on LO4] - [15%]					
Communication skills (60%)	Excellent structure and smooth flow of the presentation. Excellent performance at the Q&A session.	Well developed structure and good flow of the presentation. Good performance at the Q&A session.	Fairly developed structure and the flow of the presentation. Fair performance at the Q&A session.	Poorly developed structure and fragmented flow of the presentation. Poor performance at the Q&A session.	
Presentation skills (40%)	Excellent stage presence, body language, eye contact, voice projection and clarity. Commendable use of visual aids. Excellent time management.	Good stage presence and body language. Use of visual aids. Hardly managed the time.	Average stage presence with no body language. Little or no use of visual aids. poor time management.	Poor stage presence. No use of visual aids. Poor time management.	
Ability of commercialization / potential for entrepreneurship [Based on LO5] - [15%]					
Commercialization / potential for entrepreneurship (100%)	Demonstrated sound evidence to prove business potential highlighting many achievable user benefits.	Sufficient evidence to prove business potential highlighting some achievable user benefits.	Few evidence to prove business potential with few user benefits.	Very few or No evidence to prove business potential with unachievable or No user benefits.	
Comments					

Examiner 1

Examiner 2

Moderator