

# RP (IT4010) - Proposal Presentation Mark Allocation Sheet [Total contribution = 5%]

Student ID:

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Student Name:

Sub Assessment Criteria	Excellent (100 - 75)	Good (74 - 60)	Average (59 - 40)	Below Avg. (39 - 0)	Marks [Out of 100]		
<b>Proven gap/Creative Solution [Based on LO1] - [35%]</b>							
Knowledge gap (Problem) with novel and creative solution 70%	Clearly argued the existence of knowledge gap using credible, multiple sources. Excellent justification for novelty and creativity.	Sufficiently argued the existence of knowledge gap using limited sources. Good justification for novelty and creativity.	Moderately argued the knowledge gap with very few or no sources. Average justification for novelty and creativity.	Knowledge gap is not clearly identified. Poor or No justification for novelty and creativity.			
2.Compare existing systems and related work 30%	Extensively compared the research problem in the present context of the research domain / with similar products and services.	Sufficiently compared the research problem in the present context of the research domain / with similar products and services.	Moderately compared the research problem in the present context of the research domain / with similar products and services	Poorly compared or No comparison has been done			
<b>Capability in applying the knowledge in particular stream [Based on LO2] - [30%]</b>							
Application of key pillars in the specialized area of knowledge 50%	Clearly identified the most appropriate research area (Machine learning, Image processing, Data Science etc.)	Satisfactorily identified the research area.	Vaguely identified. But appropriateness is doubtful.	Indistinctly identified or Not identified.			
Application of technologies in the relevant key pillar/area 50%	Demonstrated full awareness of technologies in the relevant area and a critical evaluation of technologies proving selection of the best technology/s.	Adequate awareness of technologies to be used and a good evaluation of technologies proving selection of the best technologies.	Moderate awareness of technologies to be used.	Poor or Zero awareness of technologies to be used.			
<b>Solution Implementation [Based on LO3] - [5%]</b>							
High-level System Architecture and identification of self-evaluation plan/criteria 50%	Brilliantly justified high-level SA with highly acceptable self-evaluation plan.	Adequately justified high-level SA with acceptable self-evaluation plan.	Barely justified high-level SA with fairly acceptable self-evaluation plan.	Poorly justified or No evidence of High-level SA with inappropriate or No self-evaluation plan.			
User Requirements / Functional Requirements 20%	Comprehensive and realistic user requirements and the functional requirements well described.	Comprehensive and realistic user requirements and the functional requirements adequately described.	Comprehensive and realistic user requirements and the functional requirements barely described.	Comprehensive and realistic user requirements and the functional requirements poorly described.			
Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) 30%	Comprehensive planning demonstrate in WBS, realistic time estimates and right workload distribution.	Good planning demonstrate in WBS, realistic time estimates and good workload distribution.	Satisfactory planning of WBS, barely feasible time estimates and acceptable workload distribution.	Poor or No planning of WBS, Unrealistic time estimates and unacceptable workload distribution.			
<b>Effective Communication [Based on LO4] - [15%]</b>							
Communication skills 60%	Excellent structure and smooth flow of the presentation. Excellent performance at the Q&A session.	Well-developed structure and good flow of the presentation. Good performance at the Q&A session.	Fairly developed structure and the flow of the presentation. Fair performance at the Q&A session.	Poorly developed structure and fragmented flow of the presentation. Poor performance at the Q&A session.			
Presentation skills 40%	Excellent stage presence, body language, eye contact, voice projection and clarity. Commendable use of visual aids. Excellent time management.	Good stage presence and body language Use of visual aids Hardly managed the time	Average stage presence with no body language Little or no use of visual aids poor time management	Poor stage presence No use of visual aids Poor time management			
<b>Ability of commercialization / potential for entrepreneurship [Based on LO5] - [15%]</b>							
Ability of commercialization / potential for entrepreneurship 100%	Demonstrated sound evidence to prove business potential highlighting many achievable user benefits	Sufficient evidence to prove business potential highlighting some achievable user benefits	Few evidence to prove business potential with few user benefits	Very few or No evidence to prove business potential with unachievable or No user benefits			
Comments							

Examiner

Moderator

Supervisor