

RP (IT4010) – Final Report – Mark Sheet [Total contribution = 19%]

Group ID Student ID: Student Name :

Sub Assessment Criteria	Excellent (100 - 75)	Good (74 - 60)	Average (59 - 40)	Below Avg. (39 - 0)	Marks [Out of 100]
Proven gap/Creative Solution [Based on LO1] - [10%]					
Literature survey with a comparison of similar work (50%)	Excellent use of the literature based on many credible sources to identify the gap.	Good use of the literature based on some credible sources to identify the gap	Average use of the literature to identify the gap	Poor/No use of the literature to identify the gap	
Research problem is identified with a knowledge gap (50%)	Completely new idea with an excellent justification for novelty. Research problem and the objectives are mentioned clearly	Improved solution for an existing problem. Good justification for novelty. Research problem and the objectives are mentioned sufficiently.	Some novelty with an average justification Research problem and the objectives are mentioned poorly	Very less / No novelty with poor/No justification. Research problem and the objectives are unacceptable	
Capability in applying the knowledge in particular stream [Based on LO2] - [10%]					
Application of key pillars in the specialized area of knowledge (100%)	Use of extensive knowledge in the most appropriate research/knowledge areas in the final product	Use of adequate knowledge in the most appropriate research/knowledge areas in the final product	Use of some knowledge in the most appropriate research/knowledge areas in the final product	Use of little or no knowledge in the most appropriate research/knowledge areas in the final product	
Solution Implementation [Based on LO3] - [45%]					
High level view of the system design (25%)	Excellent demonstration of the system design showing the major components and their relationships with each other Excellent demonstration of the communication with external interfaces	Adequate demonstration of the system design showing the major components and their relationships with each other Good demonstration of the communication with external interfaces	Average demonstration of the system design showing the major components and their relationships with each other Average demonstration of the communication with external interfaces	Poor demonstration of the system design showing the major components and their relationships with each other Poor demonstration of the communication with external interfaces	
Use of appropriate tools, technologies and design techniques in the development with a proper justification (35%)	Excellent use of appropriate technologies with proper justification Excellent use of development framework tools	Adequate use of appropriate technologies with proper justification Adequate use of development framework tools	Average use of appropriate technologies with proper justification Average use of development framework tools	Poor use of appropriate technologies with proper justification Poor use of development framework tools	
Completeness of the functional/non- functional requirements (35%)	Covers all key functional /non- functional requirements Covers all scenarios in test cases	Covers sufficient key functional /non- functional requirements Covers sufficient scenarios in test cases	Covers some key functional /non-functional requirements Covers some scenarios in test cases	Covers few/no key functional /non-functional requirements Covers few/no scenarios in test cases	
Consideration of social, security and ethical aspects of the system and the limitations (5%)	Covers all social, security and ethical aspects and limitations	Covers sufficient social, security and ethical aspects and limitations	Covers some social, security and ethical aspects and limitations	Covers few/no social, security and ethical aspects and limitations	
Effective Communication [Based on LO4] - [20%]					
Idea delivery (50%)	Excellent explanation of the report content	Sufficiently explained the report content	Report content explained but containing some irrelevant information	Weakly explained the report content with lot of irrelevant information	
Structure and mechanics of language (30%)	Excellent structure and formatting , meaningful chapters (as recommended) with logical flow Excellent language usage without grammatical and typographical errors	Acceptable structure and formatting , meaningful chapters (as recommended) with logical flow •Fairly good language usage with very few grammatical and typographical errors	Poorly designed structure and formatting. Many grammatical and typographical errors	Unacceptable structure. Very poor writing	
Referencing (IEEE) (20%)	Proper citing and referencing.	Acceptable level of citing and referencing	Few citations with incorrect referencing	Very few/ no citations and incorrect or No referencing	
Ability of commercialization / potential for entrepreneurship [Based on LO5] - [15%]					
Commercialization / potential for entrepreneurship (100%)	Sound evidences to prove commercialization of the product	Sufficient evidences to prove commercialization of the product	Average evidences to prove commercialization of the product	Poor/no evidences to prove commercialization of the product	
Comments					

Moderator/ Co - Supervisor

Supervisor